

ASCE STANDARD

ASCE/SEI

76-23

Standard for Mitigation of Disproportionate Collapse Potential in Buildings and Other Structures

Currently in preview, click buy full version

ASCE STANDARD

ASCE/SEI

76-23

Standard for Mitigation of Disproportionate Collapse Potential in Buildings and Other Structures



PUBLISHED BY THE AMERICAN SOCIETY OF CIVIL ENGINEERS

Publication information is registered with the Library of Congress.

Names: American Society of Civil Engineers, author.

Title: Standard for mitigation of disproportionate collapse potential in buildings and other structures / American Society of Civil Engineers.

Description: Reston, Virginia : ASCE, American Society of Civil Engineers, [2023] | Series: ASCE standard | Includes bibliographical references and index. | Summary: "Standard for Mitigation of Disproportionate Collapse Potential in Buildings and Other Structures, ASCE/SEI 76-23, provides a performance-based consensus perspective on minimum requirements for design, construction, and qualification testing to mitigate disproportionate collapse of new and existing buildings and other structures" – Provided by publisher.

Identifiers: LCCN 2023010928 | ISBN 9780784415931 (soft cover) | ISBN 9780784483800 (pdf)

Subjects: LCSH: Buildings—Standards—United States. | Structural engineering—Standards—United States. | Structural failures—Prevention—Standards—United States. | Hazard mitigation—Standards—United States.

Classification: LCC TH851 .A44 2023 | DDC 624.1/71—dc23/eng/20230422

LC record available at <https://lccn.loc.gov/2023010928>

Published by American Society of Civil Engineers

1801 Alexander Bell Drive

Reston, Virginia, 20191-4382

www.asce.org/bookstore | ascelibrary.org

This standard was developed by a consensus standards development process that has been accredited by the American National Standards Institute (ANSI). Accreditation by ANSI, a voluntary accreditation body representing public and private sector standards development organizations in the United States and abroad, signifies that the standards development process used by ASCE has met the ANSI requirements for openness, balance, consensus, and due process.

While ASCE's process is designed to promote standards that reflect a fair and reasoned consensus among all interested participants, while preserving the public health, safety, and welfare that is paramount to its mission, it has not made an independent assessment of and does not warrant the accuracy, completeness, suitability, or utility of any information, apparatus, product, or process discussed herein. ASCE does not intend, nor should anyone interpret, ASCE's standards to replace the sound judgment of a competent professional, having knowledge and experience in the appropriate field(s) of practice, nor to substitute for the standard of care required of such professionals in interpreting and applying the contents of this standard.

ASCE has no authority to enforce compliance with its standards and does not undertake to certify products for compliance or to render any professional services to any person or entity.

ASCE disclaims any and all liability for any personal injury, property damage, financial loss, or other damages of any nature whatsoever, including without limitation any direct, indirect, special, exemplary, or consequential damages, resulting from any person's use of, or reliance on, this standard. Any individual who relies on this standard assumes full responsibility for such use.

ASCE and American Society of Civil Engineers—Registered in US Patent and Trademark Office.

Photocopies and permissions. Permission to photocopy or reproduce material from ASCE publications can be requested by sending an email to permissions@asce.org or by locating a title in ASCE's Civil Engineering Database (<http://cedb.asce.org>) or ASCE Library (<http://ascelibrary.org>) and using the "Permissions" link.

Errata: Errata, if any, can be found at <http://dx.doi.org/10.1061/9780784415931>.

Copyright © 2023 by the American Society of Civil Engineers.

All Rights Reserved.

ISBN 978-0-7844-1593-1 (soft cover)

ISBN 978-0-7844-8380-0 (PDF)

Manufactured in the United States of America.

27 26 25 24 23 1 2 3 4 5

ASCE STANDARDS

In 2016, the Board of Direction approved revisions to the ASCE Rules for Standards Committees to govern the writing and maintenance of standards developed by ASCE. All such standards are developed by a consensus standards process managed by the ASCE Codes and Standards Committee. The consensus process includes balloting by a balanced standards committee and reviewing during a public comment period. All standards are updated or reaffirmed by the same process every five or six years. Requests for formal interpretations shall be processed in accordance with Section 7 of ASCE Rules for Standards Committees, which are available at www.asce.org.

Errata, addenda, supplements, and interpretations, if any, for this standard can also be found at www.asce.org. This standard has been prepared in accordance with recognized engineering principles and should not be used without the user's competent knowledge for a given application. The publication of this standard by ASCE is not intended to warrant that the information contained therein is suitable for any general or specific use. ASCE takes no position respecting the validity of patent rights. The user is advised that the determination of patent rights or risk of infringement is entirely their own responsibility. A complete list of currently available standards is available in the ASCE Library (<http://ascelibrary.org/page/books/standards>).

This page intentionally left blank

Currently in preview, click buy full version

CONTENTS

PREFACE	xiii
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	xv
1 GENERAL	1
1.1 Purpose	1
1.2 Scope	1
1.2.1 Organization	1
1.2.2 Applicability	1
1.3 Basic Requirements	1
1.3.1 Typical Strength and Robustness Procedures	1
1.3.2 Alternative Rational Procedures	1
1.3.2.1 Analysis	1
1.3.2.2 Testing	1
1.3.2.3 Peer Review	1
1.4 Documentation of Design	2
1.5 Disclaimer	2
1.5.1 Qualifications	2
1.5.2 Information Sensitivity	2
Reference Standards	2
2 DEFINITIONS	3
2.1 Abnormal Event	3
2.2 Action	3
2.3 Alternative Load Paths	3
2.4 Authority Having Jurisdiction	3
2.5 Client	3
2.6 Collapse Resistance	3
2.7 Collapse-Resistant Design Category	3
2.8 Component (Structural)	3
2.9 Consequences	3
2.10 Continuity	3
2.11 Deformation-Controlled Actions	3
2.12 Design Criteria	3
2.13 Design Approaches	3
2.14 Design Objective	3
2.15 Design Professional (Designer)	3
2.16 Design Requirements	3
2.17 Direct Design	3
2.18 Disproportionate Collapse	3
2.19 Ductility	4
2.20 Element (Structural)	4
2.21 Event Control	4
2.22 Expected Strength	4
2.23 Exposure (Hazard)	4
2.24 Force-Controlled Action	4
2.25 Hazard Scenarios	4
2.26 Indirect Design	4
2.27 Initial Damage	4
2.28 Integrity (General Structural Integrity)	4
2.29 Key Element	4
2.30 Likelihood (Probability)	4
2.31 Local Resistance	4
2.32 Lower Bound Strength	4
2.33 Nominal Strength	4
2.34 Nonstructural Methods	4

2.35	Non-Threat-Specific Design	4
2.36	Owner	4
2.37	Partial Collapse.	4
2.38	Performance Objectives	4
2.39	Prescribed Local Resistance	4
2.40	Prescriptive Design Rules	4
2.41	Progressive Collapse	5
2.42	Propagating Action.	5
2.43	Protection.	5
2.44	Redundancy	5
2.45	Reliability.	5
2.46	Required Member Resistance (or Required Strength).	5
2.47	Resistance Factor.	5
2.48	Risk.	5
2.49	Robustness	5
2.50	Segment	5
2.51	Segment Border	5
2.52	Specific Local Resistance	5
2.53	Structural Methods	5
2.54	Threat-Specific Design	5
2.55	Verification Procedures	5
3	RISK ASSESSMENT	7
3.1	General Design Requirements	7
3.1.1	Objectives	7
3.1.2	Scope	7
3.1.3	Administrative Requirements	7
3.2	Risk Assessment	7
3.2.1	Quantification of Risk	7
3.2.2	Collapse-Resistant Design Category.	7
3.2.2.1	Collapse-Resistant Design Category A	7
3.2.2.2	Collapse-Resistant Design Categories B, C, and D	7
3.2.3	Collapse-Resistant Design Categories for Multiple Hazards	7
3.3	Hazards	8
3.3.1	Hazard Identification	8
3.3.1.1	Abnormal Events.	8
3.3.1.2	Malicious Threats	8
3.3.2	Hazard Assessment.	8
3.3.2.1	Non-Threat-Specific Hazard Scenarios	8
3.3.2.2	Threat-Specific Hazard Scenarios	8
3.4	Building Vulnerability	8
3.5	Consequences.	8
3.6	Risk Mitigation.	8
3.6.1	Basis for Risk Mitigation	8
3.6.2	Peer Review	8
3.7	Risk Acceptance and Communication.	8
3.7.1	Acceptable Risk	8
3.7.2	Documentation and Risk Communication.	8
4	PERFORMANCE CRITERIA	9
4.1	General Structural Integrity	9
4.2	Hazard Scenario Definitions	9
4.2.1	Primary and Secondary Elements and Components.	9
4.2.2	Non-Threat-Specific Initial Damage Scenarios	9
4.2.2.1	Hazard Independent Damage Scenarios Level H-1	9
4.2.2.2	Hazard Independent Damage Scenarios Level H-2	9
4.2.2.3	Hazard Independent Damage Scenarios Level H-3	9
4.2.2.4	Hazard Independent Damage Scenarios Level H-4	9
4.2.3	Threat-Specific Initial Damage Scenarios	9
4.2.4	Load Combinations	9
4.3	Performance Objectives	9
4.3.1	Area of Influence Associated with Damage Scenarios	9

4.3.2	Performance Requirements.	10
4.3.2.1	Performance Requirements for Collapse Resistant Design Category A	10
4.3.2.2	Performance Requirements for Collapse Resistant Design Category B	10
4.3.2.3	Performance Requirements for Collapse Resistant Design Category C	10
4.3.2.4	Performance Requirements for Collapse Resistant Design Category D	10
4.3.3	Component Performance Levels.	10
4.3.4	Partial Collapse and Debris Loading	10
4.4	Documentation	10
5	ANALYSIS AND DESIGN	11
5.1	Overview of Approach.	11
5.2	Design and Analysis Approaches	11
5.3	Alternative Load Path Analysis	11
5.3.1	General	11
5.3.2	Load and Resistance Factor Design for Alternative Load Path Analysis	11
5.3.3	Live Load Reduction.	11
5.3.4	Component Capacities	11
5.3.4.1	Component Capacities for Nonlinear Procedures	11
5.3.4.2	Component Capacities for the Linear Static Procedure	11
5.3.5	Hazard Scenario	11
5.3.6	Evaluation of Failure and Collapse	11
5.3.6.1	Structure with No Failed Components	12
5.3.6.2	Structure with Failed Components but No Collapse	12
5.3.6.3	Structure with Failed Components and Partial Collapse	12
5.3.7	Linear Static Procedure	12
5.3.7.1	Limitations on the Use of Linear Static Procedure	12
5.3.7.2	Analytical Modeling	13
5.3.7.3	Stability/P-Delta Effects	13
5.3.7.4	Loading.	13
5.3.7.5	Load Increase Factor.	14
5.3.7.6	Design Forces and Deformation.	14
5.3.7.7	Component Acceptance Criteria	15
5.3.8	Nonlinear Static Procedure.	15
5.3.8.1	Limitations on the Use of Nonlinear Static Procedure	15
5.3.8.2	Analytical Modeling	15
5.3.8.3	Stability/P-Delta Effects	15
5.3.8.4	Loading.	15
5.3.8.5	Dynamic Increase Factor for Nonlinear Static Procedure.	15
5.3.8.6	Design Forces and Deformations	16
5.3.8.7	Component Acceptance Criteria	16
5.3.9	Nonlinear Dynamic Procedure.	16
5.3.9.1	Limitations on the Use of Nonlinear Dynamic Procedure	16
5.3.9.2	Analytical Modeling	16
5.3.9.3	Lateral Stability and P-Delta Effects	16
5.3.9.4	Loading.	16
5.3.9.5	Design Forces and Deformations	16
5.3.9.6	Component Acceptance Criteria	16
5.4	Deemed to Comply Solutions	16
5.4.1	Hazard Independent Damage Scenarios-1.	16
5.4.2	Hazard Independent Damage Scenarios-2.	16
5.4.3	Hazard Independent Damage Scenarios-3.	16
5.4.4	Hazard Independent Damage Scenarios-4.	16
5.5	Alternative Rational Analysis	17
6	ACCEPTANCE CRITERIA	19
6.1	Scope.	19
6.2	Deformation- and Force-Controlled Actions.	19
6.3	Analysis Based on Linear Static Procedure	19
6.3.1	Deformation-Controlled Actions.	19
6.3.2	Force-Controlled Actions	22
6.4	Analysis Based on Nonlinear Procedures	22
6.4.1	Deformation-Controlled Actions.	22
6.4.2	Force-Controlled Actions	23

7	STRUCTURAL DETAILING	25
7.1	Concrete Connections	25
7.2	Steel Connections	25
7.3	Other Detailing	25
8	EXISTING BUILDINGS.	27
8.1	General	27
8.2	Investigation of Existing Buildings	27
8.2.1	Methodology	27
8.2.2	Data Collection Requirements	27
8.3	Uncertainty in Construction	27
8.4	Reinforced Concrete Properties	27
8.5	Structural Steel Properties	28
9	PERFORMANCE QUALIFICATION	29
9.1	Scope	29
9.2	Peer Review	29
9.3	Building Structural Components	29
9.3.1	Performance Qualification by Full-Scale Testing	29
9.3.2	Performance Qualification by Analysis and Design	29
9.4	Building Nonstructural Components	29
9.4.1	Performance Qualification by Full-Scale Testing	29
9.4.2	Performance Qualification by Analysis and Design	30

COMMENTARY FOR ASCE/SEI 76-23

C1	GENERAL	31
C1.1	Purpose	31
C1.2	Scope	31
C1.2.1	Organization	31
C1.2.2	Applicability	31
C1.3	Basic Requirements	32
C1.3.1	Typical Strength and Robustness Procedures	32
C1.3.2	Alternative Rational Procedures	32
C1.3.2.1	Analysis	32
C1.3.2.2	Testing	32
C1.3.2.3	Peer Review	32
C1.4	Documentation of Design	32
C1.5	Disclaimer	32
C1.5.1	Qualifications	32
C1.5.2	Information Sensitivity	33
C2	DEFINITIONS	35
C2.1	Abnormal Event	35
C2.2	Action	35
C2.3	Alternative Load Paths	35
C2.4	Authority Having Jurisdiction	35
C2.5	Event	35
C2.6	Collapse Resistance	35
C2.7	Collapse-Resistant Design Category	35
C2.8	Consequences	35
C2.10	Continuity	35
C2.11	Deformation-Controlled Actions	35
C2.12	Design Criteria	35
C2.13	Design Approaches	35
C2.14	Design Objectives	35
C2.16	Design Requirements	36
C2.17	Direct Design	36

C2.18	Disproportionate Collapse	36
C2.19	Ductility	36
C2.21	Event Control	36
C2.22	Expected Strength	36
C2.23	Exposure (Hazard)	36
C2.24	Force-Controlled Action	36
C2.25	Hazard Scenarios	36
C2.26	Indirect Design	36
C2.27	Initial Damage	36
C2.28	Integrity (General Structural Integrity)	36
C2.29	Key Element	36
C2.30	Likelihood (Probability)	36
C2.31	Local Resistance	36
C2.32	Lower Bound Strength	36
C2.33	Nominal Strength	37
C2.34	Nonstructural Methods	37
C2.37	Partial Collapse	37
C2.38	Performance Objectives	37
C2.39	Prescribed Local Resistance	37
C2.41	Progressive Collapse	37
C2.42	Propagating Action	37
C2.43	Protection	37
C2.44	Redundancy	37
C2.45	Reliability	37
C2.46	Required Member Resistance (or Required Strength)	37
C2.47	Resistance Factor	37
C2.49	Robustness	37
C2.50	Segment	37
C2.52	Specific Local Resistance	37
C2.53	Structural Methods	37
C2.54	Threat-Specific Design	37
C2.55	Verification Procedures	37
C3	RISK ASSESSMENT	39
C3.1	General Design Requirements	39
	C3.1.1 Objectives	39
	C3.1.2 Scope	39
	C3.1.3 Administrative Requirements	39
C3.2	Risk Assessment	39
	C3.2.1 Quantification of Risk	39
	C3.2.2 Collapse-Resistant Design Category	40
	C3.2.2.1 Collapse-Resistant Design Category A	40
	C3.2.2.2 Collapse-Resistant Design Categories B, C, and D	40
	C3.2.3 Collapse-Resistant Design Categories for Multiple Hazards	40
C3.3	Hazards	40
	C3.3.1 Abnormal Events	40
	C3.3.2 Malicious Threats	40
	C3.3.3 Hazard Assessment	41
	C3.3.2.1 Non-Threat-Specific Hazard Scenarios	41
	C3.3.2.2 Threat-Specific Hazard Scenarios	41
C3.4	Building Vulnerability	41
C3.5	Consequences	41
C3.6	Risk Mitigation	41
	C3.6.1 Basis for Risk Mitigation	41
	C3.6.2 Peer Review	42
C3.7	Risk Acceptance and Communication	42
	C3.7.1 Acceptable Risk	42
	C3.7.2 Documentation and Risk Communication	42
C4	PERFORMANCE CRITERIA	43
C4.1	General Structural Integrity	43
C4.2	Hazard Scenario Definitions	44
	C4.2.1 Primary and Secondary Elements	44

C4.2.2	Non-Threat-Specific Initial Damage Scenarios	44
C4.2.2.1	Hazard-Independent Damage Scenario Level H-1	44
C4.2.2.2	Hazard-Independent Damage Scenario Level H-2	44
C4.2.2.3	Hazard-Independent Damage Scenario Level H-3	44
C4.2.2.4	Hazard-Independent Damage Scenario Level H-4	44
C4.2.3	Threat-Specific Initial Damage Scenarios	44
C4.2.4	Load Combinations	44
C4.3.1	Area of Influence Associated with Damage Scenarios	44
C4.3.2.1	Performance Requirements for Collapse-Resistant Design Category A	45
C4.3.2.2	Performance Requirements for Collapse-Resistant Design Category B	45
C4.3.2.3	Performance Requirements for Collapse-Resistant Design Category C	45
C4.3.2.4	Performance Requirements for Collapse-Resistant Design Category D	45
C4.3.3	Component Performance Levels	45
C4.3.4	Partial Collapse and Debris Loading	45
C4.4	Documentation	45
C5	ANALYSIS AND DESIGN	47
C5.1	Overview of Approach	47
C5.3	Alternative Load Path Analysis	47
C5.3.1	General	47
C5.3.2	Load and Resistance Factor Design for Alternative Load Path Analysis	47
C5.3.4.2	Component Capacities for the Linear Static Procedure	47
C5.3.6	Evaluation of Failure and Collapse	47
C5.3.6.1	Structure with No Failed Components	48
C5.3.6.2	Structure with Failed Components but No Collapse	48
C5.3.6.3	Structure with Failed Components and Partial Collapse	48
C5.3.7.1	Limitations on the Use of Linear Static Procedure	48
C5.3.7.2	Analytical Modeling	48
C5.3.7.5	Load Increase Factor	49
C5.3.8.2	Analytical Modeling	49
C5.3.8.5	Dynamic Increase Factor for Nonlinear Static Procedure	49
C5.3.9.1	Limitations on the Use of Nonlinear Dynamic Procedure	49
C5.3.9.2	Analytical Modeling	50
C5.4.3	Hazard-Independent Damage Scenario-3	50
C5.5	Alternative Rational Analysis	50
C6	ACCEPTANCE CRITERIA	51
C6.1	Scope	51
C6.2	Deformation- and Force-Controlled Actions	51
C6.3	Analysis Based on Linear Static Procedure	51
C6.3.1	Deformation-Controlled Actions	51
C6.3.2	Force-Controlled Actions	51
C6.4	Analysis Based on Nonlinear Procedures	51
C6.4.1	Deformation-Controlled Actions	51
C6.4.2	Force-Controlled Actions	52
C7	STRUCTURAL DETAILING	53
C7.1	Concrete Connections	53
C7.2	Steel Connections	53
C7.3	Other Detailing	53
C8	EXISTING BUILDINGS	55
C8.1	General	55
C8.2	Investigation of Existing Buildings	55
C8.2.2	Data Collection Requirements	55
C8.3	Uncertainty in Construction	55
C8.5	Structural Steel Properties	56

C9	PERFORMANCE QUALIFICATION	57
C9.1	Scope	57
C9.2	Peer Review	57
C9.3.1	Performance Qualification by Full-Scale Testing	57
C9.3.2	Performance Qualification by Analysis and Design	58
C9.4.1	Performance Qualification by Full-Scale Testing	58
C9.4.2	Performance Qualification by Analysis and Design.	58
	REFERENCES	59
	INDEX.	61

This page intentionally left blank

PREFACE

Since the Ronan Point apartment building collapse in London in 1968, the structural engineering profession has engaged in serious discussion and research about causes and implications of widespread structural failure initiated by local damage. In the decades that followed, this work and additional studies of subsequent catastrophic structural failures have advanced the body of knowledge for enhancing robustness and limiting collapse when buildings are damaged. Numerous papers and several guide documents have informed structural engineers, but a single consensus perspective for mitigating disproportionate collapse has not been available.

Discussion about a standard for disproportionate collapse mitigation began when the Disproportionate Collapse Standard and Guidance Committee, met at the Structural Engineering Institute (SEI) Structures Congress in 2009. Subsequently, advocates for a standard formally proposed that SEI authorize a committee to develop a standard on the topic of disproportionate collapse mitigation. A committee was approved and met for the first time at the SEI Structures Congress in 2012. After developing a draft document and a series of ballots, the committee sent its

proposed document to the American Society of Civil Engineers (ASCE) for Public Ballot in 2021. Public balloting finished in 2023, leading to the publication of this standard.

The committee endeavored to make this standard performance-based, allowing engineers maximum flexibility when finding solutions to mitigate the potential for disproportionate collapse. The standard does not dictate a course of action, nor does it prescribe solutions to resist collapse. It does not address blunders in design or construction. Guidance is given about risk assessments and avoidance, as well as characteristics that enhance collapse resistance, including the strength, ductility, deformation capacity, and robustness necessary to resist collapse without exceeding relevant limit states. These goals may be demonstrated by procedures outlined in the document, or by approved alternate rational analyses.

This standard is intended to address the same structures covered by ASCE 7-16, *Minimum Design Loads and Associated Criteria for Buildings and Other Structures*. The standard is written in mandatory language, with the expectation that it could be referenced by building codes or contracts documents.

This page intentionally left blank

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

ASCE and its Structural Engineering Institute (SEI) gratefully acknowledge the devoted efforts of the Disproportionate Collapse Mitigation of Buildings and Other Structures Standards Committee. This committee comprises individuals from many backgrounds. This standard was prepared through ASCE's consensus standardization process in compliance with the ASCE Rules for Standards Committees and the procedures of ASCE's Codes and Standards Committee (CSC). ASCE's standardization process is accredited by the American National Standards Institute (ANSI).

Committee Members

Donald Dusenberry, P.E., F.SEI, F.ASCE, *Chair*
Farid Alfawakhiri, Ph.D., P.E., P.Eng, M.ASCE
Peter Blakely, S.E., M.ASCE
Jared Brewe, Ph.D., P.E., S.E., M.ASCE
Vincent Chiarito, P.E., F.SEI, M.ASCE
Matthew Cooper, P.E., M.ASCE
David Cormie, M.ASCE
Bradford Douglas, P.E., M.ASCE
Bruce Ellingwood, Ph.D., P.E., NAE, F.SEI, Dist.M.ASCE,
Chapter 3 Leader
David Fanella, P.E., F.SEI, F.ASCE
Caroline Field, CEng MICE, P.E., M.ASCE
Simon Foo
Feng Fu, Ph.D., CEng, F.ASCE, FICE, FIStructE
Michel Ghosn, Ph.D., F.SEI, M.ASCE
Ramon Gilsanz, P.E., S.E., F.SEI, F.ASCE, Hon. AIA NYS
Nathan Gould, D.Sc., P.E., S.E., F.SEI, M.ASCE, *Chapter 9
Leader*
Jesse Karns, P.E., S.E., M.ASCE
Ahmed Khalil, Ph.D., P.E., M.ASCE
Bradford Kiefer
Sashi Kunnath, Ph.D., P.E., F.SEI, F.ASCE
Dennis Lam, P.E.
H.S. Lew, Ph.D., P.E., F.SEI, F.ASCE, *Chapter 6 Co-Leader*
Judy Liu, Ph.D., A.M.ASCE
Shalva Marjanishvili, Ph.D., P.E., S.E., F.SEI, M.ASCE
Michael Mudlock, P.E., M.ASCE, Secretary, *Chapter 1 Leader*
Lawrence Novak, S.E., F.SEI, M.ASCE
Michael Oestler
Sarah Orton, Ph.D., P.E., M.ASCE

Nabil Rahman, Ph.D., P.E., M.ASCE
Fahim Sadek, Ph.D., P.E., F.SEI, M.ASCE, *Chapter 6
Co-Leader*
Mehrdad Sasani, Ph.D., P.E., F.SEI, F.ASCE
Robert Smilowitz, Ph.D., P.E., F.SEI, M.ASCE, *Chapters 7 and
8 Leader*
David Stevens, Ph.D., P.E., F.SEI, M.ASCE, *Chapter 5 Leader*
Ying Tian, Ph.D., P.E., M.ASCE
Mark Waggoner, P.E., M.ASCE, *Chapters 2 and 4 Leader*
Eric Williamson, Ph.D., P.E., F.SEI, M.ASCE

Committee Associate Members

John Abruzzo, P.E.
Ali Al Aloosi, Ph.D., P.E., M.ASCE
Brian Crowder, P.E.
Seda Dogruel, Ph.D., P.E., M.ASCE
Curtis Geise, A.M.ASCE
Willie Hirano
Joseph Main, Ph.D., M.ASCE, *Chapter 6 Co-Leader*
Aldo McKay, P.E., M.ASCE
Paul Mlakar, P.E., F.SEI, Dist.M.ASCE
Karl Rubenacker, P.E., S.E., F.SEI, M.ASCE

Nonmember Contributors

Brett Agee
Ady Aviram, Ph.D., P.E. (CA)
Joseph Gannon, P.E.
Marco Haberland, Dr.-Ing
Kirk Marchand, P.E.
Macarena Schachter, Ph.D., P.E., M.ASCE
Uwe Starossek, Dr.-Ing., P.E., M.ASCE

This page intentionally left blank

CHAPTER 1

GENERAL

1.1 PURPOSE

The purpose of this standard is to provide principles and minimum requirements to mitigate disproportionate collapse potential of new and existing buildings and other structures.

1.2 SCOPE

This standard provides minimum requirements for planning, assessment, analysis, material selection, design and detailing, construction, and qualification testing to mitigate disproportionate collapse of new and existing buildings and other structures. This standard includes threat-specific and non-threat-specific methodologies and identifies direct design and indirect design approaches.

This standard does not prescribe requirements for blast design. This topic is addressed in other documents (e.g., ASCE 59, *Blast Protection of Buildings*).

1.2.1 Organization

This standard is organized as follows:

- Chapter 1 provides general requirements.
- Chapter 2 provides terminology used throughout this standard.
- Chapter 3 defines requirements for performing a risk assessment to mitigate disproportionate collapse.
- Chapter 4 provides design objectives, including general initiating hazard scenarios and performance objectives.
- Chapter 5 presents technical approaches to design new buildings, determine the capacity of existing buildings to resist disproportionate collapse, and develop upgrades to existing buildings to mitigate disproportionate collapse.
- Chapter 6 provides acceptance criteria for component and system performance based on the selected analysis/design approach, construction materials, and structural systems.
- Chapter 7 provides minimum requirements and intents for structural detailing of various materials expected to be used as part of a disproportionate collapse mitigation strategy.
- Chapter 8 provides minimum requirements and discussion to evaluate and enhance the robustness of existing buildings and other structures.
- Chapter 9 provides procedures and standards for the peer review of the design of structures to resist disproportionate collapse and the verification of performance of selected components and structural assemblages.

1.2.2 Applicability The provisions in this standard are applicable to buildings and other structures that are within the scope of ASCE 7, *Minimum Design Loads and Associated Criteria for Buildings and Other Structures*.

1.3 BASIC REQUIREMENTS

Buildings and other structures shall be designed and constructed with adequate strength, ductility and deformation capacity, and robustness to provide resistance to disproportionate collapse. Acceptable performance shall be demonstrated using one or both of the following procedures:

1. Typical strength and robustness procedures of Section 1.3.1, and
2. Subject to the approval of the Authority Having Jurisdiction (AHJ) for individual projects, the alternative rational procedures of Section 1.3.2.

It shall be permitted to use either or both procedures for different parts of a structure and for different hazard scenarios providing the intent of this standard is met.

1.3.1 Typical Strength and Robustness Procedures Structural systems and their connections shall have adequate strength, ductility and deformation capacity, and robustness to resist disproportionate collapse in conformance with Chapters 3 through 9 of this standard, without exceeding the applicable limit states for the materials of construction.

1.3.2 Alternative Rational Procedures Structural systems and their connections shall be demonstrated by analysis or by a combination of analysis and testing to provide a reliability not less than that expected for similar systems and connections designed in accordance with the strength, ductility and deformation capacity, and robustness procedures of Section 1.3.1 when subject to initial damage identified as critical for the performance of the structure. Consideration shall be given to uncertainties in loadings, initial damage, and resistance.

1.3.2.1 Analysis Analysis shall employ rational methods based on accepted principles of engineering mechanics and shall consider all significant sources of deformation and resistance. Assumptions of stiffness, strength, damping, ductility, and other properties of components and connections incorporated in the analysis shall be based on approved test data or referenced standards. Analyses shall conform to the requirements of Chapter 5, Section 5.5, and Chapter 9 of this standard.

1.3.2.2 Testing Testing used to substantiate the performance of structural systems and connections under the influence of hazard scenarios shall accurately represent the materials, configuration, construction, loading, and boundary conditions anticipated in the structure. Testing shall comply with Chapter 9 of this standard.

1.3.2.3 Peer Review The basis, procedures, and results of analyses, testing, and calculations used to demonstrate compliance with the requirements of this standard shall be subject to an