

**American Society of Civil Engineers**

**Seismic Rehabilitation  
of Existing Buildings**

This document uses both the International System of Units (SI) and customary units.



Published by the American Society of Civil Engineers



## Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Seismic rehabilitation of existing buildings.

p. cm.

“ASCE standard ASCE/SEI 41-06.”

Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN-13: 978-0-7844-0884-1

ISBN-10: 0-7844-0884-X

1. Buildings—Repair and reconstruction—Standards. 2. Earthquake resistant design—Standards. 3. Buildings—Earthquake effects. I. American Society of Civil Engineers.

TH420.S43 2007

693.8'52—dc22

2007009080

Published by American Society of Civil Engineers

1801 Alexander Bell Drive

Reston, Virginia 20191

[www.pubs.asce.org](http://www.pubs.asce.org)

Any statements expressed in these materials are those of the individual authors and do not necessarily represent the views of ASCE, which takes no responsibility for any statement made herein. No reference made in this publication to any specific method, product, process, or service constitutes or implies an endorsement, recommendation, or warranty thereof by ASCE. The materials are for general information only; they are not intended as a reference in purchase of specifications, contracts, regulations, statutes, or any other legal document.

ASCE makes no representation or warranty of any kind, whether express or implied, concerning the accuracy, completeness, suitability, or utility of any information, apparatus, product, or process discussed in this publication, and assumes no liability therefor. This information should not be used without first securing competent advice with respect to its suitability for the general or specific application. Anyone utilizing this information assumes all liability arising from such use, including but not limited to infringement of any patent or patents.

ASCE and American Society of Civil Engineers—Registered in U.S. Patent and Trademark Office.

*Photocopies and reprints:* You can obtain instant permission to photocopy ASCE publications by using ASCE's online permission service ([www.pubs.asce.org/authors/RightslinkWelcomePage.htm](http://www.pubs.asce.org/authors/RightslinkWelcomePage.htm)). Requests for 100 copies or more should be submitted to the Reprints Department, Publications Division, ASCE (address above); email: [permissions@asce.org](mailto:permissions@asce.org). A reprint order form can be found at [www.pubs.asce.org/authors/reprints.html](http://www.pubs.asce.org/authors/reprints.html).

Copyright © 2007 by the American Society of Civil Engineers.

All Rights Reserved.

ISBN 13: 978-0-7844-0884-1

ISBN 10: 0-7844-0884-X

Manufactured in the United States of America.

## STANDARDS

In 2003, the Board of Direction approved the revision to the ASCE Rules for Standards Committees to govern the writing and maintenance of standards developed by the Society. All such standards are developed by a consensus standards process managed by the Society's Codes and Standards Committee (CSC). The consensus process includes balloting by a balanced standards committee made up of Society members and nonmembers, balloting by the membership of the Society as a whole, and balloting by the public. All standards are updated or reaffirmed by the same process at intervals not exceeding five years.

The following standards have been issued:

ANSI/ASCE 1-82 N-725 Guideline for Design and Analysis of Nuclear Safety Related Earth Structures  
ASCE/EWRI 2-06 Measurement of Oxygen Transfer in Clean Water  
ANSI/ASCE 3-91 Standard for the Structural Design of Composite Slabs and ANSI/ASCE 9-91 Standard Practice for the Construction and Inspection of Composite Slabs  
ASCE 4-98 Seismic Analysis of Safety-Related Nuclear Structures  
Building Code Requirements for Masonry Structures (ACI 530-02/ASCE 5-02/TMS 402-02) and Specifications for Masonry Structures (ACI 530.1-02/ASCE 6-02/TMS 602-02)  
ASCE/SEI 7-05 Minimum Design Loads for Buildings and Other Structures  
SEI/ASCE 8-02 Standard Specification for the Design of Cold-Formed Stainless Steel Structural Members  
ANSI/ASCE 9-91 listed with ASCE 3-91  
ASCE 10-97 Design of Latticed Steel Transmission Structures  
SEI/ASCE 11-99 Guideline for Structural Condition Assessment of Existing Buildings  
ASCE/EWRI 12-05 Guideline for the Design of Urban Subsurface Drainage  
ASCE/EWRI 13-05 Standard Guidelines for Installation of Urban Subsurface Drainage  
ASCE/EWRI 14-05 Standard Guidelines for Operation and Maintenance of Urban Subsurface Drainage  
ASCE 15-98 Standard Practice for Direct Design of Buried Precast Concrete Pipe Using Standard Installations (SIDD)  
ASCE 16-05 Standard for Load Resistance Factor Design (LRFD) of Engineered Wood Construction  
ASCE 17-05 Air-Supported Structures  
ASCE 18-96 Standard Guidelines for In-Process Oxygen Transfer Testing  
ASCE 19-96 Structural Applications of Steel Cables for Buildings  
ASCE 20-96 Standard Guidelines for the Design and Installation of Pile Foundations  
ANSI/ASCE/T&DI 21-05 Automated People Mover Standards—Part 1

ASCE 21-98 Automated People Mover Standards—Part 2  
ASCE 21-00 Automated People Mover Standards—Part 3  
SEI/ASCE 23-97 Specification for Structural Steel Beams with Web Openings  
ASCE/SEI 24-05 Flood Resistant Design and Construction  
ASCE/SEI 25-06 Earthquake-Actuated Automatic Gas Shutoff Devices  
ASCE 26-97 Standard Practice for Design of Buried Precast Concrete Box Sections  
ASCE 27-00 Standard Practice for Direct Design of Precast Concrete Pipe for Jacking in Trenchless Construction  
ASCE 28-00 Standard Practice for Direct Design of Precast Concrete Box Sections for Jacking in Trenchless Construction  
ASCE/SEI/SFPE 29-05 Standard Calculation Methods for Structural Fire Protection  
SEI/ASCE 30-00 Guideline for Condition Assessment of the Building Envelope  
SEI/ASCE 31-03 Seismic Evaluation of Existing Buildings  
SEI/ASCE 32-01 Design and Construction of Frost-Protected Shallow Foundations  
EWRI/ASCE 33-01 Comprehensive Transboundary International Water Quality Management Agreement  
EWRI/ASCE 34-01 Standard Guidelines for Artificial Recharge of Ground Water  
EWRI/ASCE 35-01 Guidelines for Quality Assurance of Installed Fine-Pore Aeration Equipment  
CI/ASCE 36-01 Standard Construction Guidelines for Microtunneling  
SEI/ASCE 37-02 Design Loads on Structures During Construction  
CI/ASCE 38-02 Standard Guideline for the Collection and Depiction of Existing Subsurface Utility Data  
EWRI/ASCE 39-03 Standard Practice for the Design and Operation of Hail Suppression Projects  
ASCE/EWRI 40-03 Regulated Riparian Model Water Code  
ASCE/SEI 41-06 Seismic Rehabilitation of Existing Buildings  
ASCE/EWRI 42-04 Standard Practice for the Design and Operation of Precipitation Enhancement Projects  
ASCE/SEI 43-05 Seismic Design Criteria for Structures, Systems, and Components in Nuclear Facilities  
ASCE/EWRI 44-05 Standard Practice for the Design and Operation of Supercooled Fog Dispersion Projects  
ASCE/EWRI 45-05 Standard Guidelines for the Design of Urban Stormwater Systems  
ASCE/EWRI 46-05 Standard Guidelines for the Installation of Urban Stormwater Systems  
ASCE/EWRI 47-05 Standard Guidelines for the Operation and Maintenance of Urban Stormwater Systems  
ASCE/SEI 48-05 Design of Steel Transmission Pole Structures

## FOREWORD

In 2003, the Board of Direction approved the revision to the ASCE Rules for Standards Committees to govern the writing and maintenance of standards developed by the Society. All such standards are developed by a consensus standards process managed by the Society's Codes and Standards Committee (CSC). The consensus process includes balloting by a balanced standards committee made up of Society members and nonmembers, balloting by the membership of the Society as a whole, and balloting by the public. All standards are updated or reaffirmed by the same process at intervals not exceeding five years.

The material presented in this Standard has been prepared in accordance with recognized engineering principles. This Standard should not be used without first securing competent advice with respect to its suitability for any given application. The publication of the material contained herein is not intended as a representation or warranty on the part of the American Society of Civil Engineers, or of any other person named herein, that this information is suitable for any general or particular use or promises freedom from infringement of any patent or patents. Anyone making use of this information assumes all liability from such use.

Currently in preview, click buy full version

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The Structural Engineering Institute (SEI) of the American Society of Civil Engineers (ASCE) acknowledges the devoted efforts of the membership of the Seismic Rehabilitation of Existing Buildings Standards Committee of the Codes and Activities Division of SEI. This group comprises individuals from many backgrounds, including consulting engineering, research, construction industry, education, government, design, and private practice.

Balloting for this standard began with FEMA 356, *Prestandard and Commentary for the Seismic Rehabilitation of Buildings*, prepared by ASCE for the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA). FEMA 356 was developed from FEMA 273, *NEHRP*

*Guidelines for the Seismic Rehabilitation of Buildings*, developed for FEMA by the Applied Technology Council (ATC). ASCE acknowledges and is grateful for the over ten years of support provided by FEMA to the development of a new generation rehabilitation standard, and particularly for their support during this final step, the development of this consensus standard.

This standard was prepared through the consensus standards process in compliance with the procedures established by the ASCE Codes and Standards Committee and accredited by the American National Standards Institute (ANSI). Those individuals who served on the standards committee are:

Bechara Elias Abboud, Ph.D., P.E., M.ASCE  
Michael D. Blakely, P.E., M.ASCE  
David Clare Breiholz, P.E., F.ASCE  
James Brown, P.E., L.S., F.ASCE  
Thomas Marvin Bykonen, P.E., M.ASCE  
Hashu H. Chandwaney, P.E., F.ASCE  
Chang Chen, Ph.D., P.E., M.ASCE  
Kevin C. K. Cheung V, Ph.D., P.E., M.ASCE  
James Hamilton Collins, M.ASCE  
W. Gene Corley, Ph.D., P.E., Hon.M.ASCE  
Mark W. Fantozzi, P.E., M.ASCE  
Hans Gesund, Ph.D., P.E., F.ASCE  
Satyendra K. Ghosh, M.ASCE  
Sergio Gonzalez-Karg, P.E., F.ASCE  
Phillip Gould, P.E., F.ASCE  
Melvyn Green, P.E., F.ASCE  
D. Kirk Harman, P.E., S.E., M.ASCE  
John R. Hayes, Jr., PhD, PE, M.ASCE  
Jon A. Heintz, P.E., M.ASCE  
Richard L. Hess, P.E., F.ASCE  
Darrick Bryan Hom, P.E., M.ASCE  
Jen-Kan Hsiao, Ph.D., P.E., S.E., M.ASCE  
Tom Chi-Tong Hui, P.E., M.ASCE  
Roy J. Hunt, P.E., M.ASCE  
Mohammad Iqbal, Ph.D., P.E., F.ASCE  
Robert C. Jackson, P.E., M.ASCE  
Wen-Chen Jau, Ph.D., M.ASCE  
Martin W. Johnson, P.E., M.ASCE  
John C. Kariotis, P.E., M.ASCE  
Brian Edward Kehoe, S.E., F.ASCE  
Patrick J. Kerna, P.E., M.ASCE  
Jim Eugene Lamping, P.E., M.ASCE  
Feng-Pao Lin, M.ASCE  
James Line, M.ASCE  
Roy F. Lobo, P.E., M.ASCE

Charles R. Magadini, P.E., L.S., F.ASCE  
Ayaz H. Malik, P.E., M.ASCE  
Rusk Masih, Ph.D., P.E., Aff.M.ASCE  
Vicki Vance May, P.E., A.M.ASCE  
Bruce Herman McCracken, P.E., M.ASCE  
Richard McConnell, Ph.D., P.E., M.ASCE  
Thomas Harold Miller, P.E., M.ASCE  
Andy Hess Mulholland, P.E., M.ASCE  
Andrew Douglas Mitchell, P.E., M.ASCE  
Myles A. Murray, P.E., M.ASCE  
Joseph R. Neussendorfer, Aff.M.ASCE  
Glen John Pappas, Ph.D., M.ASCE  
James C. Parker, P.E., M.ASCE  
Cesar Ugarte Penalba, M.ASCE  
Mark Allan Pickett, Ph.D., P.E., M.ASCE  
Chris Donald Poland, M.ASCE  
Daniel E. Pradel, Ph.D., P.E., F.ASCE  
Timothy Edward Roecker, M.ASCE  
Charles W. Roeder, Ph.D., P.E., M.ASCE  
Abdulreza A. Sadjadi, P.E., M.ASCE  
Ashvin A. Shah, P.E., F.ASCE  
Richard Lee Silva, P.E., M.ASCE  
Thomas David Skaggs, P.E., M.ASCE  
Glenn R. Smith, Jr., Ph.D., P.E., M.ASCE  
Eric Christian Stovner, P.E., M.ASCE  
Donald R. Strand, P.E., F.ASCE  
Peter Tian, P.E., A.M.ASCE  
Frederick Michael Turner, S.E., M.ASCE  
Michael T. Valley, P.E., M.ASCE  
Thomas George Williamson, P.E., F.ASCE  
Lyle L. Wilson, F.ASCE  
Lisa A. Wipplinger, P.E., M.ASCE  
Tom Chuan Xia, P.E., M.ASCE  
Wade Wesley Younie, P.E., M.ASCE

# CONTENTS

<b>Standards</b> .....	iii
<b>Foreword</b> .....	v
<b>Acknowledgments</b> .....	vi
<b>1.0 REHABILITATION REQUIREMENTS</b> .....	1
1.1 SCOPE .....	1
1.2 DESIGN BASIS .....	3
1.3 SEISMIC REHABILITATION PROCESS .....	4
1.3.1 Initial Considerations .....	6
1.3.2 Selection of Rehabilitation Objective .....	6
1.3.3 As-Built Information .....	7
1.3.4 Rehabilitation Method .....	7
1.3.5 Rehabilitation Measures .....	7
1.3.6 Verification of Rehabilitation Design .....	7
1.4 REHABILITATION OBJECTIVES .....	7
1.4.1 Basic Safety Objective .....	8
1.4.2 Enhanced Rehabilitation Objectives .....	8
1.4.3 Limited Rehabilitation Objectives .....	9
1.5 TARGET BUILDING PERFORMANCE LEVELS .....	9
1.5.1 Structural Performance Levels and Ranges .....	11
1.5.2 Nonstructural Performance Levels .....	15
1.5.3 Designation of Target Building Performance Levels .....	19
1.6 SEISMIC HAZARD .....	21
1.6.1 General Procedure for Hazard Due to Ground Shaking .....	22
1.6.2 Site-Specific Procedure for Hazard Due to Ground Shaking .....	27
1.6.3 Level of Seismicity .....	28
<b>2.0 SCOPE</b> .....	28
2.1 SCOPE .....	28
2.2 AS-BUILT INFORMATION .....	29
2.2.1 Building Configuration .....	29
2.2.2 Component Properties .....	30
2.2.3 Site and Foundation Information .....	30
2.2.4 Adjacent Buildings .....	30
2.2.5 Primary and Secondary Components .....	31
2.2.6 Data Collection Requirements .....	31
2.3 REHABILITATION METHODS .....	33
2.3.1 Simplified Rehabilitation Method .....	33
2.3.2 Systematic Rehabilitation Method .....	33
2.4 ANALYSIS PROCEDURES .....	34
2.4.1 Linear Procedures .....	35
2.4.2 Nonlinear Procedures .....	37
2.4.3 Alternative Rational Analysis .....	38
2.4.4 Acceptance Criteria .....	38
2.5 REHABILITATION STRATEGIES .....	42

2.6	GENERAL DESIGN REQUIREMENTS	44
2.6.1	Multidirectional Seismic Effects	44
2.6.2	P- $\Delta$ Effects	44
2.6.3	Horizontal Torsion	44
2.6.4	Overtuning	44
2.6.5	Continuity	45
2.6.6	Diaphragms	45
2.6.7	Walls	46
2.6.8	Nonstructural Components	47
2.6.9	Structures Sharing Common Elements	47
2.6.10	Building Separation	47
2.6.11	Vertical Seismic Effects	48
2.7	CONSTRUCTION QUALITY ASSURANCE	48
2.7.1	Construction Quality Assurance Plan	48
2.7.2	Construction Quality Assurance Requirements	49
2.7.3	Responsibilities of the Authority Having Jurisdiction	49
2.8	ALTERNATIVE MODELING PARAMETERS AND ACCEPTANCE CRITERIA	50
2.8.1	Experimental Setup	50
2.8.2	Data Reduction and Reporting	50
2.8.3	Design Parameters and Acceptance Criteria	51
3.0	ANALYSIS PROCEDURES	53
3.1	SCOPE	53
3.2	GENERAL ANALYSIS REQUIREMENTS	54
3.2.1	Analysis Procedure Selection	54
3.2.2	Mathematical Modeling	54
3.2.3	Configuration	57
3.2.4	Diaphragms	57
3.2.5	P- $\Delta$ Effects	58
3.2.6	Soil-Structure Interaction	58
3.2.7	Multidirectional Seismic Effects	59
3.2.8	Component Gravity Loads for Load Combinations	59
3.2.9	Verification of Design Assumptions	59
3.2.10	Overtuning	60
3.3	ANALYSIS PROCEDURES	61
3.3.1	Linear Static Procedure	61
3.3.2	Linear Dynamic Procedure	66
3.3.3	Nonlinear Static Procedure	67
3.3.4	Nonlinear Dynamic Procedure	72
3.4	ACCEPTANCE CRITERIA	73
3.4.1	General Requirements	73
3.4.2	Linear Procedures	73
3.4.3	Nonlinear Procedures	75
4.0	FOUNDATIONS AND GEOLOGIC SITE HAZARDS	75
4.1	SCOPE	75
4.2	SITE CHARACTERIZATION	76
4.2.1	Foundation Information	76
4.2.2	Seismic Geologic Site Hazards	77

4.3	MITIGATION OF SEISMIC-GEOLOGIC SITE HAZARDS	81
4.4	FOUNDATION STRENGTH AND STIFFNESS	83
4.4.1	Expected Capacities of Foundations	83
4.4.2	Load–Deformation Characteristics for Foundations	86
4.4.3	Foundation Acceptance Criteria	95
4.5	KINEMATIC INTERACTION AND FOUNDATION DAMPING SOIL- STRUCTURE INTERACTION EFFECTS	96
4.5.1	Kinematic Interaction	97
4.5.2	Foundation Damping Soil–Structure Interaction Effects	98
4.6	SEISMIC EARTH PRESSURE	99
4.7	FOUNDATION REHABILITATION	100
5.0	STEEL	101
5.1	SCOPE	101
5.2	MATERIAL PROPERTIES AND CONDITION ASSESSMENT	101
5.2.1	General	101
5.2.2	Properties of In-Place Materials and Components	102
5.2.3	Condition Assessment	105
5.3	GENERAL ASSUMPTIONS AND REQUIREMENTS	108
5.3.1	Stiffness	108
5.3.2	Design Strengths and Acceptance Criteria	108
5.3.3	Rehabilitation Measures	109
5.4	STEEL MOMENT FRAMES	109
5.4.1	General	109
5.4.2	Fully Restrained Moment Frames	114
5.4.3	Partially Restrained Moment Frames	126
5.5	STEEL BRACED FRAMES	131
5.5.1	General	131
5.5.2	Concentric Braced Frames	131
5.5.3	Eccentric Braced Frames	133
5.6	STEEL PLATE SHEAR WALLS	135
5.6.1	General	135
5.6.2	Stiffness	135
5.6.3	Strength	135
5.6.4	Acceptance Criteria	136
5.6.5	Rehabilitation Measures	136
5.7	STEEL FRAMES WITH INFILLS	136
5.8	DIAPHRAGMS	137
5.8.1	Bare Metal Deck Diaphragms	137
5.8.2	Metal Deck Diaphragms with Structural Concrete Topping	138
5.8.3	Metal Deck Diaphragms with Nonstructural Topping	140
5.8.4	Horizontal Steel Bracing (Steel Truss Diaphragms)	141
5.8.5	Archaic Diaphragms	142
5.8.6	Chord and Collector Elements	143
5.9	STEEL PILE FOUNDATIONS	144
5.9.1	General	144
5.9.2	Stiffness	144

5.9.3	Strength	144
5.9.4	Acceptance Criteria	144
5.9.5	Rehabilitation Measures	144
5.10	CAST AND WROUGHT IRON	145
5.10.1	General	145
5.10.2	Stiffness	145
5.10.3	Strength and Acceptance Criteria	145
6.0	CONCRETE	145
6.1	SCOPE	145
6.2	MATERIAL PROPERTIES AND CONDITION ASSESSMENT	145
6.2.1	General	145
6.2.2	Properties of In-Place Materials and Components	145
6.2.3	Condition Assessment	145
6.2.4	Knowledge Factor	153
6.3	GENERAL ASSUMPTIONS AND REQUIREMENTS	153
6.3.1	Modeling and Design	153
6.3.2	Strength and Deformability	155
6.3.3	Flexure and Axial Loads	156
6.3.4	Shear and Torsion	157
6.3.5	Development and Splices of Reinforcement	157
6.3.6	Connections to Existing Concrete	158
6.3.7	Rehabilitation	159
6.4	CONCRETE MOMENT FRAMES	159
6.4.1	Types of Concrete Moment Frames	159
6.4.2	Reinforced Concrete Beam-Column Moment Frames	160
6.4.3	Post-Tensioned Concrete Beam-Column Moment Frames	168
6.4.4	Slab-Column Moment Frames	169
6.5	PRECAST CONCRETE FRAMES	173
6.5.1	Types of Precast Concrete Frames	173
6.5.2	Precast Concrete Frames Expected to Resist Lateral Load	173
6.5.3	Precast Concrete Frames Not Expected to Resist Lateral Loads Directly	174
6.6	CONCRETE FRAMES WITH INFILLS	175
6.6.1	Types of Concrete Frames with Infills	175
6.6.2	Concrete Frames with Masonry Infills	175
6.6.3	Concrete Frames with Concrete Infills	178
6.7	CONCRETE SHEAR WALLS	180
6.7.1	Types of Concrete Shear Walls and Associated Components	180
6.7.2	Reinforced Concrete Shear Walls, Wall Segments, Coupling Beams, and Reinforced Concrete Columns Supporting Discontinuous Shear Walls	183
6.8	PRECAST CONCRETE SHEAR WALLS	189
6.8.1	Types of Precast Shear Walls	189
6.8.2	Precast Concrete Shear Walls and Wall Segments	190
6.9	CONCRETE-BRACED FRAMES	193
6.9.1	Types of Concrete-Braced Frames	193
6.9.2	General Considerations	193

6.9.3	Stiffness	193
6.9.4	Strength	194
6.9.5	Acceptance Criteria	194
6.9.6	Rehabilitation Measures	194
6.10	CAST-IN-PLACE CONCRETE DIAPHRAGMS	194
6.10.1	Components of Concrete Diaphragms	194
6.10.2	Analysis, Modeling, and Acceptance Criteria	195
6.10.3	Rehabilitation Measures	195
6.11	PRECAST CONCRETE DIAPHRAGMS	196
6.11.1	Components of Precast Concrete Diaphragms	196
6.11.2	Analysis, Modeling, and Acceptance Criteria	196
6.11.3	Rehabilitation Measures	196
6.12	CONCRETE FOUNDATION COMPONENTS	197
6.12.1	Types of Concrete Foundations	197
6.12.2	Analysis of Existing Foundations	197
6.12.3	Evaluation of Existing Condition	198
6.12.4	Rehabilitation Measures	198
7.0	MASONRY	199
7.1	SCOPE	199
7.2	MATERIAL PROPERTIES AND CONDITION ASSESSMENT	199
7.2.1	General	199
7.2.2	Properties of In-Place Materials	200
7.2.3	Condition Assessment	204
7.2.4	Knowledge Factor	207
7.3	MASONRY WALLS	207
7.3.1	Types of Masonry Walls	208
7.3.2	Unreinforced Masonry Walls and Wall Piers In-Plane	211
7.3.3	Unreinforced Masonry Walls and Wall Piers Out-of-Plane	213
7.3.4	Reinforced Masonry Walls and Wall Piers In-Plane	214
7.3.5	Reinforced Masonry Walls Out-of-Plane	216
7.4	MASONRY INFILLS	218
7.4.1	Types of Masonry Infills	218
7.4.2	Masonry Infills In-Plane	219
7.4.3	Masonry Infills Out-of-Plane	222
7.5	ANCHORAGE TO MASONRY WALLS	224
7.5.1	Types of Anchors	224
7.5.2	Analysis of Anchors	224
7.6	MASONRY FOUNDATION ELEMENTS	225
7.6.1	Types of Masonry Foundations	225
7.6.2	Analysis of Existing Foundations	225
7.6.3	Rehabilitation Measures	225
8.0	WOOD AND LIGHT METAL FRAMING	225
8.1	SCOPE	225
8.2	MATERIAL PROPERTIES AND CONDITION ASSESSMENT	226
8.2.1	General	226

8.2.2	Properties of In-Place Materials and Components . . . . .	237
8.2.3	Condition Assessment . . . . .	232
8.2.4	Knowledge Factor . . . . .	234
8.3	GENERAL ASSUMPTIONS AND REQUIREMENTS . . . . .	234
8.3.1	Stiffness . . . . .	234
8.3.2	Strength and Acceptance Criteria . . . . .	234
8.3.3	Connection Requirements . . . . .	235
8.3.4	Rehabilitation Measures . . . . .	235
8.3.5	Components Supporting Discontinuous Shear Walls . . . . .	236
8.4	WOOD AND LIGHT FRAME SHEAR WALLS . . . . .	236
8.4.1	General . . . . .	236
8.4.2	Types of Wood Frame Shear Walls . . . . .	237
8.4.3	Types of Light Gage Metal Frame Shear Walls . . . . .	240
8.4.4	Single-Layer Horizontal Lumber Sheathing or Siding Shear Walls . . . . .	240
8.4.5	Diagonal Lumber Sheathing Shear Walls . . . . .	241
8.4.6	Vertical Wood Siding Shear Walls . . . . .	243
8.4.7	Wood Siding over Horizontal Sheathing Shear Walls . . . . .	246
8.4.8	Wood Siding over Diagonal Sheathing . . . . .	246
8.4.9	Wood Structural Panel Sheathing . . . . .	246
8.4.10	Stucco on Studs, Sheathing, or Fiberboard . . . . .	247
8.4.11	Gypsum Plaster on Wood Lath . . . . .	248
8.4.12	Gypsum Plaster on Gypsum Lath . . . . .	248
8.4.13	Gypsum Wallboard . . . . .	249
8.4.14	Gypsum Sheathing . . . . .	249
8.4.15	Plaster on Metal Lath . . . . .	249
8.4.16	Horizontal Lumber Sheathing with Cut-In Braces or Diagonal Blocking . . . . .	250
8.4.17	Fiberboard or Particleboard Sheathing . . . . .	250
8.4.18	Light Gage Metal Frame Shear Walls . . . . .	251
8.5	WOOD DIAPHRAGMS . . . . .	251
8.5.1	General . . . . .	251
8.5.2	Types of Wood Diaphragms . . . . .	251
8.5.3	Single Straight Sheathing . . . . .	254
8.5.4	Double Straight Sheathing . . . . .	255
8.5.5	Single Diagonal Sheathing . . . . .	255
8.5.6	Diagonal Sheathing with Straight Sheathing or Flooring Above . . . . .	256
8.5.7	Double Diagonal Sheathing . . . . .	256
8.5.8	Wood Structural Panel Sheathing . . . . .	257
8.5.9	Wood Structural Panel Overlays on Straight or Diagonal Sheathing . . . . .	258
8.5.10	Wood Structural Panel Overlays on Existing Wood Structural Panel Sheathing . . . . .	259
8.5.11	Braced Horizontal Diaphragms . . . . .	259
8.6	WOOD FOUNDATIONS . . . . .	259
8.6.1	Types of Wood Foundations . . . . .	259
8.6.2	Analysis, Strength, and Acceptance Criteria of Wood Foundations . . . . .	259
8.6.3	Rehabilitation Measures . . . . .	260
8.7	OTHER WOOD ELEMENTS AND COMPONENTS . . . . .	260
8.7.1	General . . . . .	260
9.0	SEISMIC ISOLATION AND ENERGY DISSIPATION . . . . .	261
9.1	SCOPE . . . . .	261

9.2	SEISMIC ISOLATION SYSTEMS	263
9.2.1	General Requirements	263
9.2.2	Mechanical Properties and Modeling of Seismic Isolation Systems	263
9.2.3	General Criteria for Seismic Isolation Design	271
9.2.4	Linear Procedures	273
9.2.5	Nonlinear Procedures	274
9.2.6	Nonstructural Components	275
9.2.7	Detailed System Requirements	275
9.2.8	Design Review	277
9.2.9	Isolation System Testing and Design Properties	277
9.3	PASSIVE ENERGY DISSIPATION SYSTEMS	279
9.3.1	General Requirements	279
9.3.2	Implementation of Energy Dissipation Devices	280
9.3.3	Modeling of Energy Dissipation Devices	281
9.3.4	Linear Procedures	282
9.3.5	Nonlinear Procedures	285
9.3.6	Detailed Systems Requirements	286
9.3.7	Design Review	287
9.3.8	Required Tests of Energy Dissipation Devices	287
9.4	OTHER RESPONSE CONTROL SYSTEMS	289
10.0	SIMPLIFIED REHABILITATION	290
10.1	SCOPE	290
10.2	PROCEDURE	290
10.2.1	Procedure for Reduced Rehabilitation	290
10.2.2	Procedure for Partial Rehabilitation	294
10.3	CORRECTION OF DEFICIENCIES	297
11.0	ARCHITECTURAL, MECHANICAL, AND ELECTRICAL COMPONENTS	313
11.1	SCOPE	313
11.2	PROCEDURE	314
11.2.1	Condition Assessment	314
11.2.2	Sample Size	315
11.3	HISTORICAL AND COMPONENT EVALUATION CONSIDERATIONS	315
11.3.1	Historical Information	315
11.3.2	Component Evaluation	320
11.4	REHABILITATION	321
11.5	STRUCTURAL–NONSTRUCTURAL INTERACTION	322
11.5.1	Response Modification	322
11.5.2	Base Isolation	322
11.6	CLASSIFICATION OF ACCELERATION-SENSITIVE AND DEFORMATION-SENSITIVE COMPONENTS	322
11.7	EVALUATION PROCEDURES	323
11.7.1	Analytical Procedure	323
11.7.2	Prescriptive Procedure	324
11.7.3	Force Analysis: Default Equations	324

11.7.4	Force Analysis: General Equations	324
11.7.5	Deformation Analysis	328
11.7.6	Other Procedures	329
11.8	REHABILITATION APPROACHES	329
11.9	ARCHITECTURAL COMPONENTS: DEFINITION, BEHAVIOR, AND ACCEPTANCE CRITERIA	331
11.9.1	Exterior Wall Components	331
11.9.2	Partitions	335
11.9.3	Interior Veneers	336
11.9.4	Ceilings	337
11.9.5	Parapets and Appendages	338
11.9.6	Canopies and Marquees	339
11.9.7	Chimneys and Stacks	339
11.9.8	Stairs and Stair Enclosures	340
11.10	MECHANICAL, ELECTRICAL, AND PLUMBING COMPONENTS: DEFINITION, BEHAVIOR, AND ACCEPTANCE CRITERIA	340
11.10.1	Mechanical Equipment	340
11.10.2	Storage Vessels and Water Heaters	342
11.10.3	Pressure Piping	343
11.10.4	Fire Suppression Piping	343
11.10.5	Fluid Piping other than Fire Suppression	344
11.10.6	Ductwork	345
11.10.7	Electrical and Communications Equipment	346
11.10.8	Electrical and Communications Distribution Components	347
11.10.9	Light Fixtures	347
11.11	FURNISHINGS AND INTERIOR EQUIPMENT; DEFINITION, BEHAVIOR, AND ACCEPTANCE CRITERIA	348
11.11.1	Storage Racks	348
11.11.2	Bookcases	348
11.11.3	Computer Access Floors	349
11.11.4	Hazardous Materials Storage	349
11.11.5	Computer and Communication Racks	350
11.11.6	Elevators	351
11.11.7	Conveyors	351

## Appendix

APPENDIX A	352
SYMBOLS	356
ACRONYMS	367
DEFINITIONS	368
REFERENCES	376
COMMENTARY REFERENCES	377
INDEX	387

The Structural Engineering Institute (SEI) of the American Society of Civil Engineers is committed to providing accurate, up-to-date information to its readers. To that end, SEI maintains a listing of errata at <http://www.seinstitute.org/publications/errata.cfm>.

# Seismic Rehabilitation of Existing Buildings

## 1.0 REHABILITATION REQUIREMENTS

### 1.1 SCOPE

This standard for the *Seismic Rehabilitation of Existing Buildings*, referred to herein as “this standard,” specifies nationally applicable provisions for the seismic rehabilitation of buildings. Seismic rehabilitation is defined as improving the seismic performance of structural and/or nonstructural components of a building by correcting deficiencies identified in a seismic evaluation. Seismic evaluation is defined as an approved process or methodology of evaluating deficiencies in a building, which prevent the building from achieving a selected Rehabilitation Objective. Seismic evaluation using ASCE 31 (ASCE 2002), the procedures and criteria of this standard, or other procedures and criteria approved by the authority having jurisdiction is permitted.

Seismic rehabilitation of existing buildings shall comply with requirements of this standard for selecting a Rehabilitation Objective and conducting the seismic rehabilitation process to achieve the selected Rehabilitation Objective. This standard does not preclude a building from being rehabilitated by other procedures approved by the authority having jurisdiction.

Symbols, acronyms, definitions, and references used throughout this standard are cited separately in sections located at the end of this standard.

### C1.1 SCOPE

This standard is intended to serve as a nationally applicable tool for design professionals, code officials, and building owners undertaking the seismic rehabilitation of existing buildings. In jurisdictionally mandated seismic rehabilitation programs, the code official serves as the authority having jurisdiction. In voluntary seismic rehabilitation programs, the building owner, or the owner’s designated agent, serves as the authority having jurisdiction.

This standard consists of two parts: Provisions, which contain the technical requirements, and Commentary, intended to explain the provisions. Commentary for a given section is located immediately following the section and is identified by the same section number preceded by the letter C.

It is expected that most buildings rehabilitated in accordance with this standard would perform within

the desired levels when subjected to the design earthquakes. However, compliance with this standard does not guarantee such performance; rather, it represents the current standard of practice in designing to attain this performance. The practice of earthquake engineering is rapidly evolving, and both our understanding of the behavior of buildings subjected to strong earthquakes and our ability to predict this behavior are advancing. In the future, new knowledge and technology will improve the reliability of accomplishing these goals.

The procedures contained in this standard are specifically applicable to the rehabilitation of existing buildings and, in general, are more appropriate for that purpose than are new building codes. New building codes are primarily intended to regulate the design and construction of new buildings; as such, they include many provisions that encourage or require the development of designs with features important for good seismic performance, including regular configuration, structural continuity, ductile detailing, and materials of appropriate quality. Many existing buildings were designed and constructed without these features and contain characteristics such as unfavorable configuration and poor detailing that preclude application of building code provisions for their seismic rehabilitation.

Although it is intended to be used as a follow-up to a previous seismic evaluation, this standard can also be used as an evaluation tool to ascertain compliance with a selected rehabilitation objective. An ASCE 31, Tier 3 evaluation is an example of this use. It should be noted, however, that an evaluation using this standard may be more stringent than other evaluation methodologies because the provisions have been calibrated for use in design. Historically, criteria for evaluation have been set lower than those for design to minimize the need to strengthen buildings that would otherwise have only modest deficiencies.

The expertise of the design professional in earthquake engineering is an important prerequisite for the appropriate use of this standard in assisting a building owner to select voluntary seismic criteria or to design and analyze seismic rehabilitation projects, whether voluntary or required. The analytical work required by this standard must be performed under the responsible charge of a licensed professional engineer; however, that does not preclude a design professional without a professional engineering license, but with responsible charge, from leading a seismic rehabilitation project. For example, an architect with responsible charge can

lead a seismic rehabilitation project conducted in accordance with the simplified rehabilitation described in Chapter 10.

This standard is intended to be generally applicable to seismic rehabilitation of all buildings—regardless of importance, occupancy, historic status, or other classifications of use. However, application of these provisions should be coordinated with other requirements that may be in effect, such as ordinances governing historic structures or hospital construction. In addition to the direct effects of ground shaking, this standard also addresses the effects of local geologic site hazards such as liquefaction.

This standard is arranged such that there are four analysis procedures that can be used, including the Linear Static Procedure, Linear Dynamic Procedure, Nonlinear Static Procedure, and Nonlinear Dynamic Procedure. The linear analysis procedures are intended to provide a conservative estimate of building response and performance in an earthquake, though they are not always accurate. Since the actual response of buildings to earthquakes is not typically linear, the nonlinear analysis procedures should provide a more accurate representation of building response and performance. In recognition of the improved representation of building behavior when nonlinear analysis is conducted, the nonlinear procedures have less-conservative limits on permissible building response than do linear procedures. Buildings that are found to be seismically deficient based on linear analysis may comply with this standard if a nonlinear analysis is performed. Therefore, performing a nonlinear analysis can minimize or eliminate unnecessary seismic rehabilitation and potentially lower construction costs.

This standard applies to the seismic rehabilitation of both the overall structural system of a building and its nonstructural components, including ceilings, partitions, mechanical, electrical, and plumbing systems.

With careful extrapolation, the procedures of this standard may also be applied to many nonbuilding structures such as pipe racks, steel storage racks, structural towers for tanks and vessels, piers, wharves, and electrical power generating facilities. However, the applicability of these procedures has not been fully examined for every type of structure—particularly those that have generally been covered by specialized codes or standards, such as bridges and nuclear power plants.

Jurisdictions will adopt this standard as an ordinance that only applies to the seismic rehabilitation of existing buildings or adopt this standard by reference as part of a comprehensive code addressing all aspects of rehabilitating existing buildings. In adopting this standard, the jurisdiction will select one or more

rehabilitation objectives which must be met by buildings that have either been targeted by the jurisdiction for mandated seismic rehabilitation or—by reason of owner-initiated activities, such as major structural modifications—have come under the jurisdiction's rehabilitation ordinance. Since codes for new buildings have chapters that briefly address existing buildings, care must be taken in coordinating and referencing the adoption of this standard to avoid ambiguity and confusion with other ordinances and codes.

Since almost all structural seismic rehabilitation work requires a building permit, the code official will become an important part of the process. For voluntary rehabilitation efforts, the building owner and the code official need to come to agreement about the intended rehabilitation objective. The code official will verify that the owner's stated objective is met in the design and construction phases of the work. For jurisdictionally required rehabilitation efforts, whether caused by passive or active programs (see Appendix A), the code official will verify that the required objective is met. Because the approaches and technology of this standard are not yet in the mainstream of design and construction practices of the United States, it is imperative that the code official either develop the expertise in this methodology or utilize a peer review type of process to verify the appropriate application of this standard. A jurisdiction must also remain flexible and open to other analyses and evaluations, which provide a reasonable assurance of meeting the appropriate rehabilitation objective.

In addition to techniques for increasing the strength and ductility of systems, this standard provides techniques for reducing seismic demand, such as the introduction of isolation or damping devices. Design of new buildings and evaluation of components for gravity and wind forces in the absence of earthquake demands are beyond the scope of this standard.

This standard does not explicitly address the determination of whether or not a rehabilitation project should be undertaken for a particular building. Guidance on the use of this standard in voluntary or directed risk-mitigation programs is provided in Appendix A. Determining where these provisions should be required is beyond the scope of this standard. Once the decision to rehabilitate a building has been made, this standard can be referenced for detailed engineering guidance on how to conduct a seismic rehabilitation analysis and design.

Featured in this standard are descriptions of damage states in relation to specific performance levels. These descriptions are intended to aid the authority having jurisdiction, design professionals, and owners in selecting appropriate performance levels for

rehabilitation design. They are not intended to be used for condition assessment of earthquake-damaged buildings. Although there may be similarities between these damage descriptions and those used for postearthquake damage assessment, many factors enter into the processes of assessing seismic performance. No single parameter in this standard should be cited as defining either a performance level or the safety or usefulness of an earthquake-damaged building.

Techniques for repair of earthquake-damaged buildings are not included in this standard, but are referenced in the commentary pertaining to Chapters 5 through 8 where such guidelines exist. Any combination of repaired components, undamaged existing components, and new components can be modeled using this standard, and each checked against performance level acceptance criteria. If the mechanical properties of repaired components are known, acceptance criteria for use with this standard can be either deduced by comparison with other similar components or derived.

## 1.2 DESIGN BASIS

The selection of a seismic Rehabilitation Objective and the performance-based design of rehabilitation measures to achieve the selected Rehabilitation Objective shall be in accordance with the rehabilitation process specified in Section 1.3. The use of alternative performance-based criteria and procedures approved by the authority having jurisdiction shall be permitted.

### C1.2 DESIGN BASIS

Provisions of this standard for seismic rehabilitation are based on a performance-based design methodology that differs from seismic design procedures for the design of new buildings currently specified in national building codes and standards.

The framework in which these requirements are specified is purposefully broad so that Rehabilitation Objectives can accommodate buildings of different types, address a variety of performance levels, and reflect the variation of seismic hazards across the United States and U.S. territories.

The provisions and commentary of this standard are based primarily on the FEMA 356 *Prestandard* (FEMA 2000) with limited material taken from the FEMA 274 (FEMA 1997) Commentary. This standard is intended to supersede FEMA 356, but FEMA 274 remains a valid explanation for the provisions in this standard unless indicated otherwise in the relevant

commentary of this standard. For this reason, section numbers in this standard remain essentially the same as in FEMA 356.

FEMA 356 was based on FEMA 273 (FEMA 1997), which was developed by a large team of specialists in earthquake engineering and seismic rehabilitation. The most advanced analytical techniques considered practical for production use have been incorporated. The acceptance criteria have been specified using actual laboratory test results, where available, supplemented by the engineering judgment of various development teams. Certain buildings damaged in the 1994 Northridge earthquake and a limited number of designs using codes for new buildings have been checked using the procedures of FEMA 273. A comprehensive program of case studies was undertaken by FEMA in 1998 to test more thoroughly the various analysis techniques and acceptability criteria. The results of this study are reported in FEMA 343, *Case Studies: An Assessment of the NEHRP Guidelines for the Seismic Rehabilitation of Buildings*. The results of the FEMA 343 case studies have been incorporated in the provisions of this standard, where possible. Similarly, information from FEMA 350 (FEMA 2000), FEMA 351 (FEMA 2000), and other reports published by the SAC Joint Venture project, formed as a result of the Northridge steel moment frame damage, has been incorporated where applicable. Engineering judgment should be exercised in determining the applicability of various analysis techniques and material acceptance criteria in each situation.

The commentary to this standard contains specific references to many other documents. In addition, this standard is related generically to the following publications.

1. FEMA 450, *2003 NEHRP Recommended Provisions for Seismic Regulations for New Buildings and Other Structures*, also referred to herein as the *2003 NEHRP Recommended Provisions* (FEMA 2004).
2. FEMA 237, *Development of Guidelines for Seismic Rehabilitation of Buildings, Phase I: Issues Identification and Resolution* (FEMA 1992), which underwent an American Society of Civil Engineers (ASCE) consensus approval process and provided policy direction for this standard.
3. Applied Technology Council (ATC), ATC-28-2, *Proceedings of the Workshop to Resolve Seismic Rehabilitation Sub-Issues* (ATC 1993) provided recommendations to the writers of this standard on more detailed sub-issues.
4. FEMA 172, *NEHRP Handbook of Techniques for the Seismic Rehabilitation of Existing Buildings*