

ASCE STANDARD

ASCE/UESI/CI

38-22

Standard Guideline for Investigating and Documenting Existing Utilities

Currently in preview, click buy full version



UTILITY ENGINEERING
& SURVEYING
INSTITUTE



CONSTRUCTION
INSTITUTE

ASCE STANDARD

ASCE/UESI/CI

38-22

Standard Guideline for Investigating and Documenting Existing Utilities



PUBLISHED BY THE AMERICAN SOCIETY OF CIVIL ENGINEERS

Cataloging-in-Publication Data on file with the Library of Congress.

Published by American Society of Civil Engineers

1801 Alexander Bell Drive
Reston, Virginia, 20191-4382
www.asce.org/bookstore | ascelibrary.org

This standard was developed by a consensus standards development process that has been accredited by the American National Standards Institute (ANSI). Accreditation by ANSI, a voluntary accreditation body representing public and private sector standards development organizations in the United States and abroad, signifies that the standards development process used by ASCE has met the ANSI requirements for openness, balance, consensus, and due process.

While ASCE's process is designed to promote standards that reflect a fair and reasoned consensus among all interested participants, while preserving the public health, safety, and welfare that is paramount to its mission, it has not made an independent assessment of and does not warrant the accuracy, completeness, suitability, or utility of any information, apparatus, product, or process discussed herein. ASCE does not intend, nor should anyone interpret, ASCE's standards to replace the sound judgment of a competent professional, having knowledge and experience in the appropriate field(s) of practice, nor to substitute for the standard of care required of such professionals in interpreting and applying the contents of this standard.

ASCE has no authority to enforce compliance with its standards and does not undertake to certify products for compliance or to render any professional services to any person or entity. ASCE disclaims any and all liability for any personal injury, property damage, financial loss, or other damages of any nature whatsoever, including without limitation any direct, indirect, special, exemplary, or consequential damages, resulting from any person's use of, or reliance on, this standard. Any individual who relies on this standard assumes full responsibility for such use.

ASCE and American Society of Civil Engineers—Registered in U.S. Patent and Trademark Office.

Photocopies and permissions. Permission to photocopy or reproduce material from ASCE publications can be requested by sending an email to permissions@asce.org or by locating a title in ASCE's Civil Engineering Database (<https://ce-db.asce.org>) or ASCE Library (<https://ascelibrary.org>) and using the "Permissions" link.

Errata: Errata, if any, can be found at <https://dx.doi.org/10.1061/9780784415870>.

Copyright © 2022 by the American Society of Civil Engineers.

All Rights Reserved.

ISBN 978-0-7844-1587-0 (soft cover)

ISBN 978-0-7844-8373-2 (PDF)

Manufactured in the United States of America.

27 26 25 24 23 22 21 20 19 18 17 16 15 14 13 12 11 10 9 8 7 6 5

ASCE STANDARDS

In 2016, the Board of Direction approved revisions to the ASCE Rules for Standards Committees to govern the writing and maintenance of standards developed by ASCE. All such standards are developed by a consensus standards process managed by the ASCE Codes and Standards Committee (CSC). The consensus process includes balloting by a balanced standards committee and reviewing during a public comment period. All standards are revised or reaffirmed every five years, unless approved for an extension. Requests for formal interpretations shall be processed in accordance with Section 7 of ASCE Rules for Standards Committees which is available at www.asce.org. Errata, addenda, supplements, and interpretations, if any, for this standard can also be found at www.asce.org.

This standard has been prepared in accordance with recognized engineering principles and should not be used without the user's competent knowledge for a given application. The publication of this standard by ASCE is not intended to warrant that the information contained therein is suitable for any general or specific use, and ASCE takes no position respecting the validity of patent rights. The user is advised that the determination of patent rights or risk of infringement is entirely their own responsibility.

A complete list of currently available standards is available in the ASCE Library (<https://ascelibrary.org/page/books/s-standards>).

This page intentionally left blank

Currently in preview, click buy full version

CONTENTS

PREFACE	ix
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	xi
1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Scope	2
1.2 Definitions and Explanatory Information	3
1.3 Acronyms and Abbreviations	5
2 UTILITY QUALITY LEVEL VALUES	7
2.1 Introduction to Utility Quality Levels	7
2.1.1 Contiguous Utility Segments	7
2.1.2 Utility Segments Without a Utility Quality Level	7
2.1.3 Utility Segments and Anchor Points	7
2.1.4 Visible Surveyed Utility Features	7
2.1.5 Professional Seal Part of Utility Quality Level	7
2.1.6 Quality Level Depiction Unambiguous	7
2.2 Utility Quality Level D	7
2.2.1 Field Visits Optional	7
2.2.2 QLD Minimum Attempted Tasks	8
2.2.3 Existence of Service as QLD	8
2.2.4 Meeting the Criteria for QLD	8
2.3 Utility Quality Level C	8
2.3.1 Field Visit Required	8
2.3.2 QLC Minimum Attempted Tasks	8
2.3.3 Meeting the Criteria for QLC	10
2.4 Utility Quality Level B	10
2.4.1 QLB Minimum Attempted Tasks	13
2.4.2 Meeting the Criteria for QLB	13
2.4.3 Additional Measures for QLB Unresolved Questions	14
2.5 Utility Quality Level A	14
2.5.1 QLA Minimum Attempted Tasks	14
2.5.2 Meeting the Criteria for QLA	14
2.5.3 Additional Measures for QLA Unresolved Questions	14
3 UTILITY ATTRIBUTES AND METADATA DOCUMENTATION	15
3.1 General	15
3.2 Utility Attributes	15
3.2.1 Depth	15
3.2.2 Utility Owner	16
3.2.3 Utility Type	16
3.2.4 Unknown Utilities	16
3.2.5 Size and Shape	16
3.2.6 Encasement	16
3.2.7 Configuration	16
3.2.8 Operational Status	16
3.2.9 Date of Installation	16
3.2.10 Condition	16
3.2.11 Material Type	16
3.2.12 Tracer Wire	16
3.2.13 Pressure	16
3.2.14 Voltage	17
3.3 Metadata	17
3.3.1 Project Survey Datum	17
3.3.2 Project Task Dates	17

3.3.3	Persons Performing the Utility Investigation	17
3.3.4	Types of Geophysics Used	17
3.3.5	Project Limits	17
3.3.6	Areas Unable to Be Investigated	17
3.3.7	Utilities Not in Scope	17
3.3.8	Professional(s) Sealing the Utility Investigation	17
3.3.9	Time of Day and Weather	17
4	ENGINEER AND OWNER TASKS	19
4.1	Engineer or Professional Actions	19
4.1.1	Project Details and Goals	19
4.1.2	Project Impact on Utilities	19
4.1.3	Explanation of Utility Quality Levels	19
4.1.4	Develop Scope of Work	19
4.1.5	Use of Historical Data	19
4.1.6	Quality Assurance and Third Parties	19
4.1.7	Formatting	19
4.1.8	Investigation Phases	19
4.1.9	Overhead Utilities Investigation	19
4.1.10	Subsurface Utilities Investigation	19
4.1.11	Judgment to Assign Utility Quality Levels	19
4.1.12	3D Deliverables	19
4.1.13	Preparing the Utility Drawing	19
4.1.14	Isolated Utility Features	19
4.1.15	Resolving Conflicting Data	19
4.1.16	Preparing the Utility Report	19
4.1.17	Iterative Impact Analysis	21
4.1.18	Utility Depth Data Opportunities	21
4.1.19	Seal of Investigation Results	21
4.2	Project Owner	21
4.2.1	Scope and Formatting Specification	21
4.2.2	Utility Records Assistance	21
4.2.3	Communication of Changed Conditions	21
4.2.4	Project Team Communications	21
4.2.5	Notification of Suspected Deficiencies	21
4.2.6	Utility Owner Communications	21
5	DELIVERABLES FORMATTING	23
5.1	General	23
5.2	Deliverable Types	23
5.3	Utility Attributes and Symbolology	23
5.3.1	General	23
5.3.2	Line Type	23
5.3.3	Labeling	23
5.3.4	Symbol Embedding	23
5.3.5	Color	23
5.3.6	Layer or Level	23
5.3.7	Accompanying Text	23
5.3.8	Line Weight or Multiple Lines	23
5.3.9	Profiles and Cross Sections	24
5.3.10	Vaults	24
5.3.11	Project Limits	24
5.4	Utility Documentation Legend	27
5.4.1	Examples of Other Utility Descriptors	27
5.5	Examples of Utility Drawing Deliverables	27
	APPENDIX A RELATIVE COSTS AND BENEFITS OF QUALITY LEVELS	29
A1	Relative Costs and Benefits of Quality Levels	29
A1.1	Cost Savings	29
A1.2	Costs	29

APPENDIX B SURFACE GEOPHYSICAL METHODS FOR UTILITY IMAGING	31
B1 Surface Geophysical Methods for Utility Imaging	31
B2 Electromagnetic Methods	32
B2.1 Pipe and Cable Locators	32
B2.2 Radio Frequency Identification	32
B2.3 Terrain Conductivity	32
B2.4 Resistivity Measurements	33
B2.5 Metal Detectors	33
B2.6 Ground Penetrating Radar	33
B2.7 Optical Methods	33
B2.8 Infrared (Thermal) Methods	34
B2.9 X-Ray Methods (Shadows of Structures)	34
B2.10 AM Broadcast Band Gradiometer-Antenna Receiver	34
B3 Magnetic Methods	34
B3.1 General	34
B3.2 Total Field Measurements	34
B3.3 Gradiometric Measurements	34
B4 Elastic Wave Methods	34
B4.1 General	34
B4.2 Acoustic Emission	35
B5 High-Cost, Very Specialized Methods	35
B5.1 General	35
B5.2 Inertial Mapping	35
B5.3 Microgravitational Techniques	35
B5.4 Isotopic (Radiometric) Techniques	35
B5.5 Chemical Techniques	35
B5.6 Borehole Geophysics	35
APPENDIX C THREE-DIMENSIONAL UTILITY MODELS	37
C1 Three-Dimensional Utility Models	37
COMMENTARY TO STANDARD 38-22	43
C2 UTILITY QUALITY LEVEL VALUES	43
C2.1 Introduction to Utility Quality Levels	43
C2.1.2 Utility Segments Without a Utility Quality Level	43
C2.1.3 Utility Segments and Anchor Points	43
C2.1.5 Professional Support of Utility Quality Level	43
C2.1.6 Quality Level Unambiguous	43
C2.2 Utility Quality Level B	43
C2.2.3 Existence of Service as QLD	45
C2.2.4 Meeting the Criteria for QLD	45
C2.3 Utility Quality Level C	45
C2.4 Utility Quality Level B	47
C2.5 Utility Quality Level A	49
C3 UTILITY ATTRIBUTES AND METADATA DOCUMENTATION	51
C3.1 General	51
C3.2.1 Depth	51
C3.2.3 Utility Type	54
C3.2.5 Size and Shape	55
C3.2.6 Encasement	55
C3.2.7 Configuration	55
C3.2.10 Condition	55
C3.2.11 Material Type	55
C3.2.12 Tracer Wire	55
C3.3.3 Technicians Performing the Utility Investigation	55
C3.3.5 Project Limits	55
C3.3.7 Utilities Not in Scope	55

C4	ENGINEER AND OWNER TASKS	57
	C4.1.1 Project Details and Goals	57
	C4.1.3 Explanation of Utility Quality Levels	57
	C4.1.4 Development of Scope of Work	57
	C4.1.5 Use of Historical Data	57
	C4.1.6 Quality Assurance and Third Parties	57
	C4.1.7 Formatting	57
	C4.1.8 Investigation Phases	57
	C4.1.9 Overhead Utilities Investigation	58
	C4.1.11 Judgment to Assign Utility Quality Levels	58
	C4.1.12 3D Deliverables	58
	C4.1.14 Isolated Utility Features	59
	C4.1.16 Preparing the Utility Report	59
	C4.1.17 Iterative Impact Analysis	61
	C4.2.3 Communication of Changed Conditions.	62
C5	DELIVERABLES FORMATTING	63
	C5.3.2 Line Type	63
	C5.3.10 Vaults.	63
	C5.4.1 Examples of Other Utility Descriptors	63
C5.5	Examples of Utility Drawing Deliverables	63
	REFERENCES	69
	INDEX.	71

PREFACE

The provisions of this document are written in permissive language and, as such, offer to the user a series of options or instructions but do not prescribe a specific course of action. Significant judgment is left to the user of this document.

ASCE 38 is a combination of a prescriptive standard and a performance standard. As a prescriptive standard, it sets forth a series of minimum actions necessary to achieve Utility Quality Level Documentation. As a performance standard, it describes the significant professional judgment exercised by the professional to determine the appropriate timing, sequencing, location, and scope of a Utility investigative effort to achieve the goal of reduced Utility issues during Project Delivery.

This engineering standard is developed to safeguard the public welfare by providing guidance on performing Utility Investigations and documenting results in a standardized fashion, which in turn empowers the design engineer to address two objectives: (1) Design so as to have minimal Utility related issues; and (2) protect engineers, project owners, Utility owners, and the public against Utility related claims that might arise during Project Delivery. ASCE 38 minimizes issues that might otherwise arise from using incorrect and incomplete Utility data. Moreover, ASCE 38 compliant data clearly conveys, through graphical and written documents, the relative, non-quantifiable uncertainty associated with the Utility's existence and location. Throughout Project Development, understanding the uncertainty assigned to a documented Utility allows engineers to make better risk-based decisions regarding placement of design elements, protecting or relocating utilities, and public safety issues.

Subsurface Utility Engineering (SUE) has emerged in the last three decades as a professional effort and best practice for performing and documenting the results of Utility Investigation. Subsurface Utility Engineers acquire, process, characterize, assess quality, and present Utility information for Project Development. This iterative documentation facilitates effective management of the risks associated with Project Development and construction that may affect, or be affected by, existing utilities. SUE combines traditional and emerging civil engineering practices of Utility data collection and documentation with advances in geophysical investigation and data management technologies, design and construction knowledge of past and current Utility systems, and scientific concepts for assessing and defining the quality and relative uncertainty of Utility information. The practice of SUE leads to Deliverables sealed by responsible professionals who directly oversee and execute the Utility Investigation and develop the resulting Documentation of existing subsurface utilities at their achieved Utility Quality Levels. When part of the scope, SUE professionals also document certain aspects of aerial utilities that are necessary for Utility conflict analysis and relocation design. The processes leading to SUE Deliverables are executed by professional(s) proficient in engineering, surveying, and geological and geophysical sciences. Moreover, these efforts are typically led by a professional

engineer in responsible charge who is subject to relevant liabilities and statutes regulating professional engineering.

Historically, SUE was initially defined as “designating, locating, and data management.” SUE developed over time to include the concept of Utility Quality Levels that defined the level of uncertainty of a utility's location and existence. ASCE 38-02 standardized the practice of assigning a Utility Quality Level to a Utility Segment. SUE is predicated on the application of appropriate techniques and methods to obtain important information on the existence and location of utilities even if existing records are incomplete or unavailable. The basis of this standard is predicated on the original SUE practice that those projects will benefit from the concurrent and integrated use of geophysics, records research, and a Utility Feature survey as early as possible in Project Development.

This edition of ASCE 38 adds guidance on collecting and recording Depths of Utility Features and Utility Segments. It recommends that a Utility Report that has been authored by a qualified professional incorporate any Utility Drawing Deliverables. The Utility Deliverables particularly important when notes and/or Metadata are not embedded within the Utility Drawing itself. A new section on Utility Attributes has been added. In addition, classifications and enhancements have been added to common definitions used in this document. Commentary previously embedded throughout the standard language in the previous edition now in a separate section.

The commentary and appendixes in this document provide supplemental guidance for interpretation and use. For example, the appendix on geophysical techniques provides a compilation of shallow geophysical techniques and instruments that are functional for detecting, tracing, and imaging existing underground utilities. These tools provide evidence leading toward the judgment of the utilities' most probable location based on measurable characteristics. This is a highly specialized discipline that is undergoing continuous technologic advancement. Users of this standard must remain current with equipment principles, capabilities, operating parameters, and limitations. This edition includes an appendix that reflects academic and organizational studies on costs and benefits of projects that have used Utility Quality Levels. An appendix provides guidance on the development of three-dimensional Utility models, a relatively new practice.

The title of this standard has changed from its previous edition to (1) more succinctly convey its purpose, and (2) better differentiate it from ASCE 75-22, *Standard Guideline for Recording and Exchanging Utility Infrastructure Data*, which focuses on documenting newly installed infrastructure. Both underground and aboveground Utility networks need to be considered during Project Delivery. Resolutions of conflicts at one part of the network often affect other parts, whether they are subsurface or aerial. Sufficient Attributes of aerial networks and structures are often missing from topographical surveys, resulting in surprises of cost and time during Project Delivery. Therefore, a section on aerial Utility documentation is included.

This page intentionally left blank

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

ASCE acknowledges the work of this standard committee of the Utility Engineering and Surveying Institute and the Construction Institute. This balanced group comprises individuals with varied backgrounds, including professionals experienced in subsurface Utility Engineering; Utility infrastructure documentation; geology; geophysics; surveying; computer-aided design and drafting; geographic information systems; highway and other infrastructure design; right-of-way; geotechnical engineering; and Utility design.

Members

James H. Anspach, P.G.(r), Dist.M.ASCE, *Chair*
Kevin S. Nichols, P.E., *Vice Chair*
Cesar A. Quiroga, Ph.D., P.E., *Secretary*

John Berrettini
C. C. Chang, Ph.D., P.E.
James R. Garrett, P.E.
Jeff Harrott, P.Eng.
Kenneth Kerr, P.E.
John L. Krause, P.L.S.
Kenneth Leuderalbert, P.E.
Jeffrey J. Lew, P.E., P.S.
Drew F. Markewicz, P.E., PMP
M. Renee Mayer, P.E., SR/WA
Philip J. Meis, P.E.

Nicole Metje, Ph.D., P.Eng.
Randall J. Murphy
Kien V. Nguyen, P.E.
James F. Noone, P.E.
Carlo Pilia, P.E., CEng.
Cary A. Skahn, P.E.
Mark Warden
Nicholas Zembillas
Charon Williams

Associate Members

Lawrence Arcand, P.E., P.Eng.
John P. Campbell, P.E., SR/WA
Raymond Chandler
Kristopher B. Harbin, EIT
C. Paul Scott, P.E.

This page intentionally left blank

CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION

The world population is growing. As population grows, so does the demand for basic resources including housing, transportation, access to potable drinking water, means for sanitary sewer collection and removal, means to provide heat and power, and additional communication such as WiFi and vehicle automation networks. Around the world, these demands consequently result in significant new and upgraded underground and aboveground Utility infrastructure.

Much of our existing infrastructure including roads and bridges, buildings, railroads, airports and seaports, and Utility systems are in use beyond their intended design life and are in the process of replacement, expansion, and rehabilitation. At the same time, new technologies in Utility materials and construction are proliferating. Fiber-optic lines are replacing copper communication cables. Micro cell towers are being installed rapidly. Horizontal directional drilling and a multitude of other trenchless methods and techniques are quickly replacing or complementing open trench methods. Available right-of-way is increasingly more restricted and congested, especially in urban and suburban areas, which challenges new construction, maintenance, or replacement activities and requires efforts to identify and remediate conflicts with existing underground and aboveground Utility infrastructure. Our existing underground space is becoming a scrapyard of abandoned utilities and structures.

Improved Utility Investigation practices, equipment, and data-processing technologies increase the effectiveness in enabling collection, documentation, exchange, and use of existing Utility infrastructure data. The systematic and professional investigation of aerial and subsurface Utility infrastructure comprise central aspects of what is commonly known as Subsurface Utility Engineering (SUE). Organizations such as ASCE, the US Department of Transportation's Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), Transportation Association of Canada (TAC), National Transportation Safety Board (NTSB), US Department of Energy (USDOE), Associated General Contractors of America, Inc. (AGC), American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO), American Council of Engineering Companies (ACEC), National Academy of Sciences (NAS), Engineers Joint Contract Documents Committee (EJCDC), American Public Works Association (APWA), Common Ground Alliance [CGA, including Canadian Common Ground Alliance (CCGA)], universities, and others endorse the practice of SUE as an effective risk management solution.

ASCE recognizes that Utility Investigations are part of a larger necessary civil engineering task discipline called Utility Engineering. The importance of Utility Engineering is illustrated by the commissioning of ASCE's ninth Institute, the Utility Engineering and Surveying Institute (UESI), in 2017. Utility Engineering incorporates the elements affecting civil engineering projects of any kind as they relate to utilities:

- Utility Investigations: technologies to detect, identify, and map existing utilities effectively and the integration of quality, standards-based Utility information, including 3D modeling and building information modeling (BIM), in all phases of Project Delivery;
- Utility conflict management: techniques, protocols, and systems that identify and resolve conflicts systematically between infrastructure project features or phases and existing or proposed Utility facilities;
- Utility design: pipeline, cable, and conduit project planning, design, and construction; and Utility relocations and protect-in-place measures for existing facilities that remain in place during Project Delivery (including preparations of plans, specifications, schedules, and cost estimates);
- Construction management (utilities): techniques and procedures for building, monitoring, inspecting, and surveying Utility installations at the job site, as well as mapping and production of quality, standards-based Utility as-builts;
- Utility asset management: techniques and procedures for accommodating, permitting, managing, documenting, and assessing conditions of Utility facilities within the right-of-way (including fee simple property and easements) over their entire life cycle; and
- Utility process and program management: techniques and procedures that enable a more effective management of the Utility process during all phases of Project Delivery as well as a more effective coordination of contractual relationship between project owners and Utility stakeholders.

Utility Engineering uses these elements to optimize planning, design, and construction activities to control costs and mitigate risks to the project and to the public. The advent of Utility Engineering as a more comprehensive task discipline that addresses engineering aspects of utilities affected a change to the definition of SUE, and that change is reflected within this document.

Collecting and depicting Utility information is complicated by the limited availability and unknown reliability of the Utility owners' record data. Utility owners rarely possess comprehensive, current, and spatially accurate (e.g., survey-grade) coordinate data of their existing and planned infrastructure that are of sufficient certainty for the specific needs of the project. Because of security concerns, many Utility owners of sensitive facilities, such as gas, petroleum or fiber optics, have internal policies that do not allow or provide only limited distribution of as-builts and/or system documentation. Engineers are too often unable to obtain from Utility owners in a timely fashion the necessary Utility information required for making critical design and planning decisions early in the project. Furthermore, Utility owners are typically not under any obligation to provide the