

AS ISO/ASTM 52902:2021
ISO/ASTM 52902:2019



STANDARDS
Australia



Additive manufacturing — Test artifacts — Geometric capability assessment of additive manufacturing systems



currently in review, click buy full version

AS ISO/ASTM 52902:2021

This Australian Standard ® was prepared by MB-028, Additive Manufacturing. It was approved on behalf of the Council of Standards Australia on 3 May 2021.

This Standard was published on 21 May 2021.

The following are represented on Committee MB-028:

- Australian Automotive Aftermarket Association
- Australian Manufacturing Technology Institute
- Austroroads
- Charles Darwin University
- Engineers Australia
- Materials Australia
- NSW Government
- RMIT University
- University of Wollongong
- Weld Australia

This Standard was issued in draft form for comment as DR AS ISO/ASTM 52902:2021

Keeping Standards up-to-date

Ensure you have the latest versions of our publications and keep up-to-date about Amendments, Rulings, Withdrawals, and new projects by visiting:

www.standards.org.au

**Additive manufacturing
— Test artifacts —
Geometric capability
assessment of additive
manufacturing systems**

First published as AS ISO/ASTM 52902:2021.

COPYRIGHT

© ISO 2021 — All rights reserved
© Standards Australia Limited 2021

All rights are reserved. No part of this work may be reproduced or copied in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, without the written permission of the publisher, unless otherwise permitted under the Copyright Act 1968 (Cth).

Preface

This Standard was prepared by the Standards Australia Committee MB-028, Additive Manufacturing.

The objective of this document is to cover the general description of benchmarking test piece geometries along with quantitative and qualitative measurements to be taken on the benchmarking test piece(s) to assess the performance of additive manufacturing (AM) systems.

This performance assessment can serve the following two purposes:

- (a) AM system capability evaluation.
- (b) AM system calibration.

The benchmarking test piece(s) is (are) primarily used to quantitatively assess the geometric performance of an AM system. This document describes a suite of test geometries, each designed to investigate one or more specific performance metrics and several example configurations of these geometries into test piece(s). It prescribes quantities and qualities of the test geometries to be measured but does not dictate specific measurement methods. Various user applications can require various grades of performance. This document discusses examples of feature configurations, as well as measurement uncertainty requirements, to demonstrate low and high grade examination and performance. This document does not discuss a specific procedure or machine settings for manufacturing a test piece, which are covered by ASTM F 2971 and other relevant process specific specifications.

This document is identical with, and has been reproduced from, ISO/ASTM 52902:2019, *Additive manufacturing — Test artefacts — Geometric capability assessment of additive manufacturing systems*.

As this document has been reproduced from an International Standard, a full point substitutes for a comma when referring to a decimal marker.

Australian or Australian/New Zealand Standards that are identical adoptions of international normative references may be used interchangeably. Refer to the online catalogue for information on specific Standards.

The terms “normative” and “informative” are used in Standards to define the application of the appendices or annexes to which they apply. A “normative” appendix or annex is an integral part of a Standard, whereas an “informative” appendix or annex is only for information and guidance.

Contents

Preface	ii
Foreword	v
1 Scope	1
2 Normative references	1
3 Terms and definitions	1
4 Significance and use	1
4.1 General	1
4.2 Comparing results from one machine	2
5 General principles for producing artifacts	2
5.1 General	2
5.2 Need to use feedstock conforming to a material specification	2
5.3 Need to undertake artifact building according to a documented process specification	2
5.4 File formats and preparation	2
5.5 Download files	3
5.6 Discussion of file conversion	3
5.7 AMF preferred (with conversion instructions/ resolution)	3
5.8 Need for test specification and test process	3
5.9 Quantity of test artifacts	3
5.10 Position and orientation of test artifacts	3
5.11 Considerations for orientation	4
5.12 Labelling	4
5.13 Coverage	4
5.14 Arrays	4
5.15 Part consolidation	4
5.16 Supports and post processing	4
6 General principles for measuring artifacts	5
6.1 General	5
6.2 Measure parts as built	5
6.3 Measurement strategy	5
6.4 Measurement uncertainty	5
7 Artifact geometries	6
7.1 General	6
7.2 Accuracy	6
7.2.1 Linear artifact	6
7.2.2 Circular artifact	7
7.3 Resolution	9
7.3.1 Resolution pins	9
7.3.2 Resolution holes	11
7.3.3 Resolution rib	12
7.3.4 Resolution slot	15
7.4 Surface texture	17
7.4.1 Purpose	17
7.4.2 Geometry	17
7.4.3 Measurement	18
7.4.4 Reporting	19
7.4.5 Considerations	19
7.5 Labelling	20
7.5.1 Purpose	20
7.5.2 Geometry	20
7.5.3 Considerations	21
Annex A (informative) Example artifact configurations	22

Annex B	(informative) Measurement techniques	25
Annex C	(informative) Measurement procedures	28
Annex D	(informative) List of specimen names and sizes	34
Bibliography	36

Currently in preview, click buy full version

Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) is a worldwide federation of national standards bodies (ISO member bodies). The work of preparing International Standards is normally carried out through ISO technical committees. Each member body interested in a subject for which a technical committee has been established has the right to be represented on that committee. International organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO, also take part in the work. ISO collaborates closely with the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) on all matters of electrotechnical standardization.

The procedures used to develop this document and those intended for its further maintenance are described in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 1. In particular the different approval criteria needed for the different types of ISO documents should be noted. This document was drafted in accordance with the editorial rules of the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2 (see www.iso.org/directives).

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. ISO shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights. Details of any patent rights identified during the development of the document will be in the Introduction and/or on the ISO list of patent declarations received (see www.iso.org/patents).

Any trade name used in this document is information given for the convenience of users and does not constitute an endorsement.

For an explanation of the voluntary nature of standards, the meaning of ISO specific terms and expressions related to conformity assessment, as well as information about ISO's adherence to the World Trade Organization (WTO) principles in the Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT) see www.iso.org/iso/foreword.html.

This document was prepared by ISO/TC 26, *Additive manufacturing*, in cooperation with ASTM Committee F42, *Additive Manufacturing Technologies*, on the basis of a partnership agreement between ISO and ASTM International with the aim to create a common set of ISO/ASTM standards on additive manufacturing.

Any feedback or questions on this document should be directed to the user's national standards body. A complete listing of these bodies can be found at www.iso.org/members.html.

NOTES

Currently in preview, click buy full version

Australian Standard[®]

Additive manufacturing — Test artifacts — Geometric capability assessment of additive manufacturing systems

1 Scope

This document covers the general description of benchmarking test piece geometries along with quantitative and qualitative measurements to be taken on the benchmarking test piece(s) to assess the performance of additive manufacturing (AM) systems.

This performance assessment can serve the following two purposes:

- AM system capability evaluation;
- AM system calibration.

The benchmarking test piece(s) is (are) primarily used to quantitatively assess the geometric performance of an AM system. This document describes a suite of test geometries, each designed to investigate one or more specific performance metrics and several example configurations of these geometries into test piece(s). It prescribes quantities and qualities of the test geometries to be measured but does not dictate specific measurement methods. Various user applications can require various grades of performance. This document discusses examples of feature configurations, as well as measurement uncertainty requirements, to demonstrate low and high grade examination and performance. This document does not discuss a specific procedure or machine settings for manufacturing a test piece, which are covered by ASTM F 2971 and other relevant process specific specifications.

2 Normative references

The following documents are referred to in the text in such a way that some or all of their content constitutes requirements of this document. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

ISO/ASTM 52900, *Additive manufacturing — General principles — Fundamentals and vocabulary*

ISO/ASTM 52921, *Standard terminology for additive manufacturing — Coordinate systems and test methodologies*

ASME B46.1, *Surface Texture (Surface Roughness, Waviness and Lay)*

3 Terms and definitions

For the purposes of this document, the terms and definitions given in ISO/ASTM 52900 and ISO/ASTM 52921 apply.

ISO and IEC maintain terminological databases for use in standardization at the following addresses:

- ISO online browsing platform: available at <https://www.iso.org/obp>
- IEC Electropedia: available at <http://www.electropedia.org/>

4 Significance and use

4.1 General

Measurements and observations described in this document are used to assess the performance of an AM system with a given system set-up and process parameters, in combination with a specific feedstock material.