



Geographic information—Ontology

Part 2: Rules for developing ontologies in the Web Ontology Language (OWL)

STANDARDS
Australia



AS ISO 19150.2:2018

This Australian Standard® was prepared by IT-004, Geographical Information/Geomatics. It was approved on behalf of the Council of Standards Australia on 5 June 2018.

This Standard was published on 29 June 2018.

The following are represented on Committee IT-004:

ANZLIC - the Spatial Information Council
Australian Antarctic Division, Department of the Environment
(Australian Government)
Australian Bureau of Statistics
Australian Hydrographic Office
Bureau of Meteorology (Australian Government)
CSIRO
Curtin University of Technology
Department of Defence (Australian Government)
Department of Human Services (Australian Government)
Geoscience Australia
InterGovernmental Committee on Surveying and Mapping
Spatial Industries Business Association

This Standard was issued in draft form for comment as DR AS ISO 19150.2:2018

Keeping Standards up-to-date

Ensure you have the latest versions of our publications and keep up-to-date about Amendments, Rulings, Withdrawals, and new projects by visiting:

www.standards.org.au

www.saiglobal.com (sales and distribution)

ISBN 978 1 76072 113 8



Geographic information—Ontology

Part 2: Rules for developing ontologies in the Web Ontology Language (OWL)

First published as AS ISO 19150.2:2018.

COPYRIGHT

© ISO 2018 — All rights reserved
© Standards Australia Limited 2018

All rights are reserved. No part of this work may be reproduced or copied in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, without the written permission of the publisher, unless otherwise permitted under the Copyright Act 1968 (Cth).

Published by SAI Global Pty Limited under licence from Standards Australia Limited, GPO Box 476, Sydney, NSW 2001, Australia.

Preface

This Standard was prepared by the Australian members of the Joint Standards Australia/Standards New Zealand Committee IT-004, Geographical Information/Geomatics.

After consultation with stakeholders in both countries, Standards Australia and Standards New Zealand decided to develop this Standard as an Australian Standard rather than an Australian/New Zealand Standard.

The objective of this Standard is to define rules and guidelines for the development of ontologies to support better the interoperability of geographic information over the Semantic Web. The Web Ontology Language (OWL) is the language adopted for ontologies.

This part of AS ISO 19150 defines the conversion of the UML static view modelling elements used in the ISO geographic information standards into OWL. It further defines conversion rules for describing application schemas based on the General Feature Model defined in AS ISO 19109 into OWL.

This part of AS ISO 19150 does not define semantics operators, rules for service ontologies, and does not develop any ontology.

This Standard is identical with, and has been reproduced from, ISO 19150-2:2015, *Geographic information — Ontology — Part 2: Rules for developing ontologies in the Web Ontology Language (OWL)*.

As this document has been reproduced from an International Standard, the following applies:

- (a) In the source text 'this part of ISO 19150' should read 'this Australian Standard'.
- (b) A full point substitutes for a comma when referring to a technical marker.

Australian or Australian/New Zealand Standards that are identical adoptions of international normative references may be used interchangeably. Refer to the online catalogue for information on specific Standards.

The terms 'normative' and 'informative' are used in Standards to define the application of the appendices or annexes to which they apply. A 'normative' appendix or annex is an integral part of a Standard, whereas an 'informative' appendix or annex is only for information and guidance.

Contents

Preface	ii
Foreword	v
Introduction	vi
1 Scope	1
2 Conformance	1
3 Normative references	1
4 Terms, definitions, abbreviations, and namespaces	2
4.1 Terms and definitions	2
4.2 Abbreviations	6
4.3 Namespaces	7
5 Namespace	7
6 Rules for mapping ISO geographic information UML models to OWL ontologies	8
6.1 General	8
6.2 Name	9
6.2.1 Scoping and namespaces	9
6.2.2 Ontology name	10
6.2.3 RDF namespace for ontology	10
6.2.4 Class name	11
6.2.5 Datatype name	11
6.2.6 Property name	11
6.2.7 Names for codelists and their members	12
6.3 Package	13
6.3.1 UML notation	13
6.3.2 OWL notation	13
6.3.3 Rules	13
6.4 Class	15
6.4.1 UML notation	15
6.4.2 OWL notation	15
6.4.3 Rules	15
6.5 Abstract class	16
6.5.1 UML notation	16
6.5.2 OWL notation	17
6.5.3 Rules	17
6.6 Attribute	18
6.6.1 UML Notation	18
6.6.2 OWL notation	19
6.6.3 Rules	20
6.7 Enumerated type	23
6.7.1 Enumeration	23
6.7.2 Code list	26
6.8 Union class	29
6.8.1 UML notation	29
6.8.2 OWL notation	30
6.8.3 Rules	30
6.9 Multiplicity	31
6.9.1 UML notation	31
6.9.2 OWL notation	31
6.9.3 Rules	31
6.10 Relationship	38
6.10.1 Generalization/inheritance	38
6.10.2 Association	40
6.10.3 Aggregation	43

6.11	Constraint	45
6.11.1	UML notation	45
6.11.2	OWL notation	46
6.11.3	Rules	46
7	Rules for formalizing an application schema in OWL	47
7.1	General	47
7.2	Rules for identification	49
7.3	Rules for ontology documentation	50
7.3.1	Ontology documentation	50
7.3.2	Ontology component documentation	50
7.4	Rules for integration	51
7.5	Rules for FeatureType	51
7.6	PropertyType	52
7.6.1	Attribute	52
7.6.2	Rules for Operation	58
7.6.3	Rules for FeatureAssociationRole	58
7.7	Rules for FeatureAssociationType	58
7.8	Rules for FeatureAggregationType	59
7.9	Rules for FeatureCompositionType	60
7.10	Rules for SpatialAssociationType	60
7.11	Rules for TemporalAssociationType	60
7.12	Rules for InheritanceRelation	61
7.13	Rules for constraints	61
7.14	Rules for ValueAssignment	61
7.14.1	Role of Association class	61
7.14.2	ValueAssignment property	61
7.14.3	RDF reification pattern	62
7.14.4	SPARQL named-graph pattern	64
7.14.5	Rules for ValueAssignment in OWL terminology	65
Annex A	(normative) Abstract test suite	66
Annex B	(normative) Namespaces and component names for geographic information ontologies	85
Annex C	(informative) Augmented Backus Naur Form Notation	87
Annex D	(normative) "base" ontology	88
Annex E	(informative) Application ontology: The PropertyParcel example	90
Bibliography		101

Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) is a worldwide federation of national standards bodies (ISO member bodies). The work of preparing International Standards is normally carried out through ISO technical committees. Each member body interested in a subject for which a technical committee has been established has the right to be represented on that committee. International organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO, also take part in the work. ISO collaborates closely with the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) on all matters of electrotechnical standardization.

The procedures used to develop this document and those intended for its further maintenance are described in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 1. In particular the different approval criteria needed for the different types of ISO documents should be noted. This document was drafted in accordance with the editorial rules of the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2. www.iso.org/directives

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. ISO shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights. Details of any patent rights identified during the development of the document will be in the Introduction and/or on the ISO list of patent declarations received. www.iso.org/patents

Any trade name used in this document is information given for the convenience of users and does not constitute an endorsement.

For an explanation on the meaning of ISO specific terms and expressions related to conformity assessment, as well as information about ISO's adherence to the WTO principles in the Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT) see the following URL: [Foreword - Supplementary information](#).

The Committee responsible for this document is ISO/TC 211, *Geographic information/Geomatics*.

ISO 19150 consists of the following parts, under the general title *Geographic information — Ontology*:

- *Part 1: Framework*
- *Part 2: Rules for developing ontologies in the Web Ontology Language (OWL)*

Semantic operators, Service ontology, Domain ontology registry and Service ontology registry are planned to be covered in future Parts.

Introduction

Fundamentally, ontology comes from philosophy and refers to the study of the nature of the world itself. The information technology and artificial intelligence communities borrowed this term of ontology for the explicit specification of a conceptualization.^[2] Information technology and artificial intelligence consider that reality may be abstracted differently depending on the context from which “things” are perceived and, as such, recognize that multiple ontologies about the same part of reality may exist. In geographic information, ontology refers to a formal representation of phenomena of a universe of discourse with an underlying vocabulary including definitions and axioms that make the intended meaning explicit and describe phenomena and their interrelationships.^[1] An ontology can be formalized differently ranging from weak to strong semantics: taxonomy, thesaurus, conceptual model, logical theory.^[2]

Ontology is a fundamental notion for semantic interoperability on the Semantic Web since it defines the meaning of data and describes it in a format that machines and applications can read. As such, an application using data also has access to their inherent semantics through the ontology associated with it. Therefore, ontologies can support integration of heterogeneous data captured by different communities by relating them based on their semantic similarity. The W3C has proposed the Web Ontology Language (OWL) family of knowledge representation languages for authoring ontologies characterised by formal semantics on the Web.^[4,11]

Semantics is an important topic in the field of geographic information. The meaning of geographic information is essential for their discovery, sharing, integration, and use. Geographic information standards have recognized this fact in the standards on rules for application schema (ISO 19109) and the methodology for feature cataloguing (ISO 19110),^[7] which are both based on the General Feature Model (GFM). Basically, semantics relates phenomena and signs used to represent them (i.e. data) by the way of concepts. Typically, concepts are maintained in repositories called ontologies.

The ISO geographic information standards have chosen the conceptual modelling language UML^[10,12] for the formal representation of abstraction of the reality. Additionally as introduced in ISO/TS 19150-1:2012, there is a need to provide formal representation of abstraction of the reality in OWL to support the Semantic Web. Accordingly, this part of ISO 19150 defines rules to convert UML static views of geographic information and application schemas into OWL ontologies in order to benefit and support interoperability of geographic information on the Semantic Web. These rules are required for:

- ontology description completeness;
- consistency in the set of OWL ontologies for geographic information;
- consistency in conversion of UML diagrams to OWL ontologies; and
- cohesion and unity between UML models and ontologies.

These rules are based on, but also extend the OMG's Ontology Definition Metamodel.^[11] OWL ontologies are complementary to UML static views and serve different purposes.

Australian Standard[®]

Geographic information—Ontology

Part 2: Rules for developing ontologies in the Web Ontology Language (OWL)

1 Scope

This part of ISO 19150 defines rules and guidelines for the development of ontologies to support better the interoperability of geographic information over the Semantic Web. The Web Ontology Language (OWL) is the language adopted for ontologies.

This part of ISO 19150 defines the conversion of the UML static view modeling elements used in the ISO geographic information standards into OWL. It further defines conversion rules for describing application schemas based on the General Feature Model defined in ISO 19109 into OWL.

This part of ISO 19150 does not define semantics operators, rules for service ontologies, and does not develop any ontology.

2 Conformance

Any application ontology or profile claiming conformance with this part of ISO 19150 shall pass the requirements described in the abstract test suite, presented in [Annex A](#).

The abstract test suite is organized in two conformance classes that address the following purposes:

- Conversion of a UML package from the ISO/TC 211 Harmonized Model to OWL (conformance class 19150-2owl-conf); and
- Formalization of an application schema in OWL (conformance class 19150-2app-conf).

3 Normative references

The following documents, in whole or in part, are normatively referenced in this document and are indispensable for its application. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

ISO 19103:—¹⁾, *Geographic information — Conceptual schema language*

ISO 19107:2003, *Geographic information — Spatial schema*

ISO 19108:2002, *Geographic information — Temporal schema*

ISO 19109:—²⁾, *Geographic information — Rules for application schema*

ISO 19112:2003, *Geographic information — Spatial referencing by geographic identifiers*

ISO 19115-1:2014, *Geographic information — Metadata — Part 1: Fundamentals*

ISO 19123:2005, *Geographic information — Schema for coverage geometry and functions*

ISO 19156:2011, *Geographic information — Observations and measurements*

ISO 19157:2013, *Geographic information — Data quality*

W3C OWL 2, *OWL 2 Web Ontology Language: Structural Specification and Functional-Style Syntax* (W3C Recommendation 27 October 2009)

1) To be published. (Revision of ISO/TS 19103:2005)

2) To be published. (Revision of ISO 19109:2005)