

AS ISO 18308:2022
ISO 18308:2011



STANDARDS
Australia



Health informatics — Requirements for an electronic health record architecture



currently in preview, click buy full version

AS ISO 18308:2022

This Australian Standard ® was prepared by IT-014, Health Informatics. It was approved on behalf of the Council of Standards Australia on 09 September 2022.

This Standard was published on 29 July 2022.

The following are represented on Committee IT-014:

Australasian Institute of Digital Health
Australasian Telehealth Society
Australian College of Nursing
Australian Commission on Safety and Quality in Health Care
Australian Digital Health Agency
Australian Healthcare and Hospitals Association
Australian Information Industry Association
Australian Institute of Health & Welfare
Australian Private Hospitals Association
Consumers Federation of Australia
CSIRO
Department of Health, Tas.
Engineers Australia
Flinders University
GS1 Australia
Medical Software Industry Association
Monash University
NSW Ministry of Health
Queensland Health
Royal College of Pathologists of Australasia
SA Health
The Pharmacy Guild of Australia
Victorian Department of Health and Human Services

This Standard was issued in draft form for comment as DR AS ISO 18308:2022.

Keeping Standards up-to-date

Ensure you have the latest versions of our publications and keep up-to-date about Amendments, Rulings, Withdrawals, and new projects by visiting:

www.standards.org.au

ISBN 978 1 76113 882 9

Health informatics — Requirements for an electronic health record architecture

Origin: technical specification AS ISO 18308—2005.
Second edition AS ISO 18308:2022.

COPYRIGHT

© ISO 2022 — All rights reserved
© Standards Australia Limited 2022

All rights are reserved. No part of this work may be reproduced or copied in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, without the written permission of the publisher, unless otherwise permitted under the Copyright Act 1968 (Cth).

Preface

This Standard was prepared by the Standards Australia Committee IT-014, Health Informatics, to supersede AS ISO 18308—2005, *Health Informatics—Requirements for an electronic health record architecture (ISO/TS 18308:2004, MOD)*.

The objective of this document is to define the set of requirements for the architecture of a system that processes, manages and communicates electronic health record (EHR) information: an EHR architecture. The requirements are formulated to ensure that these EHRs are faithful to the needs of healthcare delivery, are clinically valid and reliable, are ethically sound, meet prevailing legal requirements, support good clinical practice and facilitate data analysis for a multitude of purposes.

This document does not specify the full set of requirements that need to be met by an EHR system for direct patient care or for other use cases, but the requirements defined by this document do contribute to the governance of EHR information within such systems.

This document is identical with, and has been reproduced from, ISO 18308:2011, *Health informatics — Requirements for an electronic health record architecture*.

As this document has been reproduced from an International document, the following applies:

- (a) In the source text “this International Standard” should read “this document”.
- (b) A full point substitutes for a comma when referring to a decimal marker.

Australian or Australian/New Zealand Standards that are identical adoptions of international normative references may be used interchangeably. Refer to the online catalogue for information on specific Standards.

The terms “normative” and “informative” are used in Standards to define the application of the appendices or annexes to which they apply. A “normative” appendix or annex is an integral part of a Standard, whereas an “informative” appendix or annex is only for information and guidance.

Contents

Preface	ii
Foreword	iv
Introduction	v
1 Scope	1
2 Notation	1
3 Terms and definitions	1
4 Abbreviations	6
5 EHR business objectives	7
5.1 Introduction	7
5.2 Health system objectives	7
5.3 Clinical practice objectives	9
5.4 Citizen inclusion objectives	9
6 Requirements for an electronic health record architecture	10
6.1 Requirements for the representation of clinical information	10
6.1.1 Kinds of health record entries	10
6.1.2 Structure of health record entries	11
6.1.3 The representation of context within health record entries	12
6.1.4 Intra-record links	12
6.1.5 The representation of data values within health record entries	13
6.1.6 EHRA data retrieval and views	15
6.1.7 Representation and support of clinical processes and workflow	16
6.2 Communication and interoperability requirements	17
6.3 Ethical and legal requirements	17
6.3.1 Health record provenance	17
6.3.2 Subject of care	18
6.3.3 Identification, authentication and attestation for EHR data entry	18
6.3.4 Healthcare location	19
6.3.5 Dates and times	19
6.3.6 Version management	20
6.4 Fair information principles	21
6.4.1 Accountability	21
6.4.2 Identification purposes	21
6.4.3 Consent	21
6.4.4 Limiting collection, use, disclosure, retention	21
6.4.5 Access policies	22
6.4.6 Subject access	23
6.4.7 Auditability	23
Bibliography	24

Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) is a worldwide federation of national standards bodies (ISO member bodies). The work of preparing International Standards is normally carried out through ISO technical committees. Each member body interested in a subject for which a technical committee has been established has the right to be represented on that committee. International organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO, also take part in the work. ISO collaborates closely with the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) on all matters of electrotechnical standardization.

International Standards are drafted in accordance with the rules given in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2.

The main task of technical committees is to prepare International Standards. Draft International Standards adopted by the technical committees are circulated to the member bodies for voting. Publication as an International Standard requires approval by at least 75 % of the member bodies casting a vote.

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. ISO shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

ISO 18308 was prepared by Technical Committee ISO/TC 215, *Health informatics*.

This first edition cancels and replaces ISO/TS 18308:2004, of which it constitutes a technical revision.

Introduction

Context

This International Standard defines the set of requirements that shall be met by the architecture of systems and services processing, managing and communicating electronic health record (EHR) information. This is in order to ensure that these EHRs are faithful to the needs of healthcare delivery, are clinically valid and reliable, are ethically sound, meet prevailing legal requirements, support good clinical practice and facilitate data analysis for a multitude of purposes.

For the purposes of this International Standard, the EHR is defined as:

“one or more repositories, physically or virtually integrated, of information in computer processable form, relevant to the wellness, health and healthcare of an individual, capable of being stored and communicated securely and of being accessible by multiple authorized users, represented according to a standardized or commonly agreed logic information model. Its primary purpose is the support of life-long, effective, high quality and safe integrated healthcare.”

To complement this definition, the ideal vision of health (and consequently health information) is reflected in the WHO definition from 1946¹⁾:

“Health is a state of complete physical, mental and social well-being and not merely the absence of disease or infirmity.”

The scope of the EHR is recognized as being broader than the documentation of illnesses and their prevention and treatment. The systems and services that are deemed potential contributors to an EHR will increasingly include systems capturing complementary therapy, wellness, and home care information in addition to the conventional clinical systems within healthcare provider organizations.

The notion of the personal health record (PHR)²⁾ is also maturing internationally and, while this International Standard does not specifically focus on the PHR, its requirements have been deliberately worded to be inclusive of the PHR in general terms, i.e. most of these EHR requirements will also apply to the PHR.

It is recognized, as a limitation, that no authoritative source of requirements has been found for the records of any of the complementary or traditional forms of healthcare practised internationally. Indeed, a recent literature review has suggested that there is a real lack of published work on the use of electronic health records within complementary healthcare or on the sharing of these health records (paper or electronic) with allopathic medicine^[23]. It is equally the case that there is a lack of consensus requirements for systems to support wellness, social, and home care, but these systems will increasingly play an active role with EHRs, and information in them might become part of the EHR.

This International Standard is intended to be used when designing the architecture of health information services that incorporate or interact with electronic health record systems (EHR-Ss) or repositories.

EHR architectures

The requirements in this International Standard relate to shared EHR information [sometimes referred to as the “shared health record” (SHR), “shared care record” (SCR), or “health information exchange” (HIE)] and those aspects of governance within and between EHR systems that may be used to support

1) WHO. Preamble to the Constitution of the World Health Organization as adopted by the International Health Conference, New York, 19-22 June 1946, and entered into force on 7 April 1948. Available from: http://www.who.int/governance/eb/who_constitution_en.pdf

2) The personal health record is generally taken to mean a health record whose content is primarily managed by an individual, while the EHR is generally taken to mean a health record that is controlled and managed by a healthcare provider (organization or person), but to which the subject of care normally has certain rights. It is recognized that a clear distinction does not always exist between these kinds of records.

and coordinate patient-centred continuity of care. The EHR for a subject of care might be scattered physically across multiple (discrete or interconnected) clinical systems and repositories, each of which will hold and manage a partial EHR for each of its subjects of care, scoped according to the service or community settings, clinical domains and time periods of use of that system in the life of each person.

The use of distributed computing mechanisms could permit a more holistic EHR to be realized, subject to relevant permissions. This holistic EHR will sometimes be stored and regularly updated in a centralized EHR repository (e.g. through a national e-health infrastructure), might be organized and accessed according to national indexing structures, or might only materialize just in time as a result of distributed querying across a distributed set of repositories. The formal (structural and functional) description of a system of components and services for recording, retrieving, and handling information in EHRs is known as an *EHR architecture*: this International Standard therefore defines the requirements for an EHR architecture (EHRA).

The Open Group Architecture Framework (TOGAF)³⁾ explains an architecture as:

“a formal description of a system, organized in a way that supports reasoning about the structural properties of the system. It defines the system components or building blocks... and provides a plan from which products can be procured, and systems developed, that will work together to implement the overall system.”

This International Standard is not concerned with the specific requirements that individual (localized) applications and EHR repositories and services need to meet, but with the common set of requirements that ALL shall meet in order to permit their EHR data to be safely communicated and combined to form richer and more complete EHRs. It is therefore primarily concerned with the EHR from the perspective of a user or purchaser, and corresponds to the RM-ODP enterprise viewpoint perspective (reference model of Open Distributed Processing ISO/IEC 10746-1) rather than its technical specification.

It should be noted that the progressive adoption of electronic health records and systems globally will often be complemented by other changes to the business processes of healthcare and health services, some of which might be mediated through the functions and workflows of EHR systems, and other changes effected through training and the development of new staff roles and new healthcare resources. The business objectives defined in [Clause 5](#) are likely to require a holistic approach to their realization rather than to arise as a direct result of the EHR in isolation.

EHR architecture and EHR system requirements

An EHR system will comprise one or more data repositories, directory services listing human and other resource entities, knowledge services containing terminological systems, care pathways and workflows, end user applications, reporting modules, security services, etc. The requirements for an EHR system relate closely to the functionality that end users will experience directly, and will reflect the business processes to be supported at the care setting in which the system is deployed. In contrast, an EHRA focuses on the infrastructure (the structure and functional relationships of components) managing the health information assets, which might include multiple EHR systems and repositories, and other systems that are beyond the scope of a single care setting (such as national registries of health care professionals). Inevitably, though, some requirements for EHR systems and EHR architectures will be common.

A separate and complementary International Standard, ISO/HL7 10781:2009, the HL7 EHR-S functional model, defines the requirements that shall be met by individual EHR systems. The authors of this International Standard and ISO/HL7 10781:2009 have reviewed both standards and verified that there are no conflicting requirements between them. It has not been possible to produce a detailed mapping of common themes, because the requirements statements are expressed at different levels of granularity between the two International Standards. However, it is recognized that a more precise indication of overlap is a desirable future strategy for both International Standards, when they are next due for revision.

3) See <http://www.opengroup.org/togaf/> for more information.

ISO has two complementary documents that specify the requirements of good practice for a clinical data warehouse: ISO/TR 22221 and ISO/TS 29585. These publications clarify good practice in information governance, the protection of privacy, the handling of metadata, management of data quality and general architectural principles. It is anticipated that many clinical data warehouses, used for health system quality monitoring, research and data mining, will be derived from EHR repositories, and many of the information provenance and governance requirements overlap. It is also possible for data flows to work in the opposite direction: for a clinical data warehouse to feed an EHR. There is growing interest internationally in exploring how best to unify these two functions within a single implemented repository; in this case, the requirements and principles for both an EHR architecture and a clinical data warehouse will need to be met.

Approach to defining these requirements

This International Standard updates and replaces ISO/TS 18308:2004, the first normative set of comprehensive requirements for an EHR architecture. Much has been learned since 2004 and several other complementary standards relating to the EHR have been published or are in development. Whereas ISO/TS 18308:2004 drew on and synthesized a significant body of requirements published by research and national projects, this International Standard has been able additionally to draw on a now more mature experience base in the design and use of EHR systems and early experience of large scale e-health infrastructures. Further, it has been possible to build on work done to develop other EHR quality and interoperability standards such as ISO 13606, HL7 v3 Clinical Document Architecture, HL7 v3 Care Provision Message for Record Exchange, the HL7 EHR System Functional Model, and the work of the *openEHR* Foundation. The inputs to this International Standard have therefore included expertise from many standards developers, as well as member bodies who now have experience using ISO/TS 18308:2004.

Requirements statements for computer systems and software should ideally comply with IEEE 830-1998, and should be verifiable, traceable, unambiguous, correct, and relevant. Many of the requirements in this International Standard, particularly those in the ethico-legal category, come under the SRS (software requirements specification) heading of constraints for which the IEEE specification is less precise. Nevertheless, this International Standard follows these IEEE principles as closely as possible.

Ideally each statement below should refer to the original published sources of the requirement (as part of its traceability). However, the formal attribution of individual requirements to sources on this scale is neither practical nor faithful. In the 15 year period since some of the earliest publications used by this International Standard, there has been much cross-fertilization of ideas and cross-inclusion of requirements in newer publications, with some improvement and updating as the scope of electronic health records has evolved. Individual publications often cover similar requirement themes to a different level of granularity or stress different perspectives. Although many of the original source publications targeted the specific needs of a country, professional group or implementation scenario, the collated statements attempt to express these requirements in the most generic way possible. This International Standard therefore does not provide citations for the individual requirements statements. The bibliography lists the more substantive publications of generic EHR requirements that have been reviewed during the drafting of this International Standard and ISO/TS 18308:2004.

As for any International Standard and as per ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2, 2004, an EHRA conforms to this International Standard if it can demonstrate conformance to all of the mandatory requirements in [Clause 5](#): those specified using the word “shall”. A small number of requirements in [Clause 6](#) use the word “should”; these are not considered mandatory at the time of publication either because they are as yet too ambitious or might only be of importance in some care settings or countries. It is recognized that this demonstration of conformance will require the development of test plans that are derived from these requirements, and which may also need to reflect any additional local business requirements and anticipated context of use of the EHR architecture.

The EHR business objectives specified in [Clause 5](#) are all optional, but are included as a perspective on the goals to which an EHR and its architecture should contribute, and which have informed the requirements of [Clause 6](#).

NOTES

Currently in preview, click buy full version

Australian Standard®

Health informatics — Requirements for an electronic health record architecture

1 Scope

This International Standard defines the set of requirements for the architecture of a system that processes, manages and communicates electronic health record (EHR) information: an EHR architecture. The requirements are formulated to ensure that these EHRs are faithful to the needs of healthcare delivery, are clinically valid and reliable, are ethically sound, meet prevailing legal requirements, support good clinical practice and facilitate data analysis for a multitude of purposes.

This International Standard does not specify the full set of requirements that need to be met by an EHR system for direct patient care or for other use cases, but the requirements defined by this International Standard do contribute to the governance of EHR information within such systems.

2 Notation

Each business objective statement in [Clause 5](#) and each requirement statement in [Clause 6](#) is prefixed by a short code. These codes are internal unique identifiers for the statements, to assist in referring to them in other resources such as test plans. These are non-semantic identifiers; they convey no specific meaning in themselves and do not serve to alter the interpretation of the statements they identify. They bear no relation to identifiers used in any other publication.

3 Terms and definitions

For the purposes of this document, the following terms and definitions apply.

3.1 architecture system

structure of components, their functions, their inter-relationships, and the principles and guidelines governing their design and evolution over time

Note 1 to entry: Adapted from the Open Group Architecture Framework (TOGAF), 2009.

[SOURCE: http://www.opengroup.org/architecture/togaf8-doc/arch/chap01.html#tag_02_03.]

3.2 attestation

process of certifying and recording legal responsibility for a particular unit of information

[SOURCE: ISO 13606-1:2008, 3.8]

3.3 audit trail

chronological record of activities of information system users which enables prior states of the information to be faithfully reconstructed

[SOURCE: ISO 13606-1:2008, 3.9]

3.4 authentication

process of reliably identifying security subjects by securely associating an identifier and its authenticator

Note 1 to entry: Adapted from ISO 7498-2:1989, 3.3.22 and 3.3.40.