

Australian Standard™

**Standard metamodel for software  
development methodologies**

This Australian Standard was prepared by Committee IT-015, Software and Systems Engineering. It was approved on behalf of the Council of Standards Australia on 31 May 2004.

This Standard was published on 23 August 2004.

---

The following are represented on Committee IT-015:

Australian Computer Society  
Australian Information Industry Association  
Australian Society for Technical Communication (NSW)  
Australian Software Metrics Association  
Griffith University  
New Zealand Organization for Quality  
Software Quality Association (ACT)  
Software Quality Association (NSW)  
Sydney SPIN Group (Software Process Improvement Network)  
Systems Engineering Society of Australia  
The University of New South Wales  
University of South Australia  
University of Technology, Sydney

---

### **Keeping Standards up-to-date**

Standards are living documents which reflect progress in science, technology and systems. To maintain their currency, all Standards are periodically reviewed, and new editions are published. Between editions, amendments may be issued. Standards may also be withdrawn. It is important that readers assure themselves they are using a current standard, which should include any amendments which may have been published since the Standard was purchased.

Detailed information about Standards can be found by visiting the Standards Web Shop at [www.standards.com.au](http://www.standards.com.au) and looking up the relevant Standard in the on-line catalogue.

Alternatively, the printed Catalogue provides information current at 1 January each year, and the monthly magazine, *The Global Standard*, has a full listing of revisions and amendments published each month.

Australian Standards™ and other products and services developed by Standards Australia are published and distributed under contract by SAI Global, which operates the Standards Web Shop.

We also welcome suggestions for improvement in our Standards, and especially encourage readers to notify us immediately of any apparent inaccuracies or ambiguities. Contact us via email at [mail@standards.org.au](mailto:mail@standards.org.au), or write to the Chief Executive, Standards Australia International Ltd, GPO Box 5420, Sydney, NSW 2001.

---

Australian Standard™

**Standard metamodel for software  
development methodologies**

First published as AS 4651—2004.

**COPYRIGHT**

© Standards Australia International

All rights are reserved. No part of this work may be reproduced or copied in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, without the written permission of the publisher.

Published by Standards Australia International Ltd GPO Box 5420, Sydney, NSW 2001, Australia

ISBN 0 7337 6195 X

## PREFACE

This Standard was prepared by the Australian members of the Joint Standards Australia/Standards New Zealand Committee IT-015, Software and Systems Engineering. After consultation with stakeholders in both countries, Standards Australia and Standards New Zealand decided to develop this Standard as an Australian Standard rather than an Australian/New Zealand Standard.

The objective of this Standard is to define a metamodel that establishes a formal framework for the definition and extension of software development methodologies, including their major three aspects: the process to follow, the products to use and generate, and the people involved. This metamodel can serve as a formal basis for the definition and extension of any software development methodology, and will be typically used by methodologists, method engineers or process engineers while undertaking such tasks.

The terms 'normative' and 'informative' have been used in this Standard to define the application of the appendix to which they apply. A 'normative' appendix is an integral part of a Standard, whereas an 'informative' appendix is only for information and guidance.

## CONTENTS

	<i>Page</i>
INTRODUCTION .....	4
SECTION 1 SCOPE AND GENERAL	
1.1 SCOPE .....	5
1.2 APPLICATION .....	5
1.3 CONFORMANCE .....	5
1.4 NORMATIVE REFERENCES .....	5
1.5 TERMS AND DEFINITIONS .....	5
SECTION 2 INTRODUCTION TO THE SMSDM	
2.1 HIGHLY ABSTRACT VIEW .....	8
2.2 ABSTRACT VIEW AND CORE CLASSES .....	8
2.3 PROCESS CLASSES .....	9
2.4 PRODUCER CLASSES .....	10
2.5 PRODUCT CLASSES .....	11
2.6 CONNECTION BETWEEN PROCESS AND PRODUCT .....	12
2.7 SUPPORT CLASSES .....	13
SECTION 3 ELEMENTS OF SMSDM	
3.1 CLASSES IN THE SMSDM .....	15
3.2 ENUMERATORS IN THE SMSDM .....	49
SECTION 4 USAGE OF SMSDM	
4.1 USAGE RULES AND GUIDELINES .....	50
APPENDICES	
A PHILOSOPHY .....	52
B WORKED EXAMPLE .....	56
C MAPPINGS TO OTHER METAMODELLING APPROACHES .....	62
D BIBLIOGRAPHY .....	67

## INTRODUCTION

Software development methodologies may be described in the context of an underpinning metamodel, but the precise mechanisms that permit them to be defined in terms of their metamodels are usually difficult to explain and do not cover all needs. For example, it is difficult to devise a practice that allows the definition of properties of the elements that compose the methodology and, at the same time, of the entities (such as work products) created when the methodology is applied. This Standard introduces the Standard Metamodel for Software Development Methodologies (SMSDM henceforth), a comprehensive metamodel that makes use of a new approach to defining methodologies based on the concept of powertype. The SMSDM combines key advantages of other metamodeling approaches with none of their drawbacks, allowing the seamless integration of process, modelling and documentational aspects of methodologies.

Various methodologies are defined, used, or implied by a growing number of standards and it is desirable that the concepts used by each methodology be harmonised. The vehicle for harmonization is SMSDM. Conformance to this metamodel will ensure a consistent approach to defining each methodology with consistent concepts and terminology.

## STANDARDS AUSTRALIA

### Australian Standard

## Standard metamodel for software development methodologies

### SECTION 1 SCOPE AND GENERAL

#### 1.1 SCOPE

This Standard defines a metamodel that establishes a formal framework for the definition and extension of software development methodologies, including their major three aspects: the process to follow, the products to use and generate, and the people involved.

#### 1.2 APPLICATION

This metamodel can serve as a formal basis for the definition and extension of any software development methodology, and will be typically used by methodologists, method engineers or process engineers while undertaking such tasks.

#### 1.3 CONFORMANCE

A software development methodology is conformant to this Standard if it has been generated from the metamodel defined in Section 3 Elements of SMSDM by using the rules described in Section 4.

#### 1.4 NORMATIVE REFERENCES

This Standard does not call up any normative references.

#### 1.5 TERMS AND DEFINITIONS

##### 1.5.1 Terms

The following terms are used throughout this Standard.

##### 1.5.1.1 Methodology

Specification of the process to follow and the work products to be used and generated, plus consideration of the people and tools involved, during a software development effort. A methodology specifies the process to be executed, usually as a set of related activities, tasks and/or techniques, together with what work products must be manipulated at each moment, possibly including models, documents and other outputs. In turn, specifying the models that must be developed implies defining the basic building blocks that should be used.

##### 1.5.1.2 Metamodel

Specification of the concepts, rules and relationships used to define a methodology.

##### 1.5.1.3 Project

Software development effort aimed at the construction of a software system through the application of a methodology. Sometimes, the terms 'project-level' or 'project layer' are used to reference the conceptual entities that exist at the level of projects, as opposed to the entities that exist at the level of a method or a metamodel.