

Use of Duplex Stainless Steels in the Oil Refining Industry

API TECHNICAL REPORT 938-C
THIRD EDITION, FEBRUARY 2015



AMERICAN PETROLEUM INSTITUTE

Special Notes

API publications necessarily address problems of a general nature. With respect to particular circumstances, local, state, and federal laws and regulations should be reviewed.

Neither API nor any of API's employees, subcontractors, consultants, committees, or other assignees make any warranty or representation, either express or implied, with respect to the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of the information contained herein, or assume any liability or responsibility for any use, or the results of such use, of any information or process disclosed in this publication. Neither API nor any of API's employees, subcontractors, consultants, or other assignees represent that use of this publication would not infringe upon privately owned rights.

API publications may be used by anyone desiring to do so. Every effort has been made by the Institute to assure the accuracy and reliability of the data contained in them; however, the Institute makes no representation, warranty, or guarantee in connection with this publication and hereby expressly disclaims any liability or responsibility for loss or damage resulting from its use or for the violation of any authorities having jurisdiction with which this publication may conflict.

API publications are published to facilitate the broad availability of proven, sound engineering and operating practices. These publications are not intended to obviate the need for applying sound engineering judgment regarding when and where these publications should be utilized. The formulation and publication of API publications is not intended in any way to inhibit anyone from using any other practices.

Any manufacturer marking equipment or materials in conformance with the marking requirements of an API standard is solely responsible for complying with all the applicable requirements of that standard. API does not represent, warrant, or guarantee that such products do in fact conform to the applicable API standard.

Users of this Technical Report should not rely exclusively on the information contained in this document. Sound business, scientific, engineering, and safety judgment should be used in employing the information contained herein.

Copyright reserved. No part of this work may be reproduced, translated, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise, without prior written permission from the publisher. Contact the Publisher, API Publishing Services, 1220 L Street, NW, Washington, DC 20005.

Copyright © 2015 American Petroleum Institute

Foreword

Nothing contained in any API publication is to be construed as granting any right, by implication or otherwise, for the manufacture, sale, or use of any method, apparatus, or product covered by letters patent. Neither should anything contained in the publication be construed as insuring anyone against liability for infringement of letters patent.

Suggested revisions are invited and should be submitted to the Standards Department, API, 1220 L Street, NW, Washington, DC 20005, standards@api.org.

Currently in preview, click buy full version

Currently in preview, click buy full version

Contents

	Page
1 Scope	1
2 Normative References	5
3 Terms, Definitions, and Acronyms	7
3.1 Terms and Definitions	7
3.2 Acronyms	7
4 Metallurgy of DSSs	9
4.1 Background	9
4.2 Solidification	9
4.3 Problems to be Avoided During Welding	9
4.4 Low and High Temperature Properties	10
4.5 Hardness Conversions	12
5 Potential Environment-Related Failure Mechanisms	14
5.1 Chloride Pitting and Crevice Corrosion	14
5.2 Corrosion in Seawater	16
5.3 Chloride Stress Corrosion Cracking (CSCC)	17
5.4 Hydrogen Stress Cracking (HSC)/Sulfide Stress Cracking (SSC)	19
5.5 Ammonium Bisulfide Corrosion	21
5.6 Naphthenic Acid Corrosion	22
5.7 475 °C (885 °F) Embrittlement	22
6 Material Specifications	24
6.1 Typical Specification Requirements for Wrought Materials	24
6.2 Welded Versus Seamless Tubing and Piping	27
6.3 Use of Integrally Finned Tubing	27
6.4 Use of Twisted Tubes	28
6.5 Duplex SS Castings and HIP Components	29
6.6 Duplex SS Used as a Cladding Material	29
7 Fabrication Requirements	29
7.1 Typical Specification Requirements	29
7.2 Typical Welding Processes and Filler Metals	30
7.3 Dissimilar Metal Welding	31
7.4 Ferrite Measurements vs. Austenite Spacing	31
7.5 Cold Working and Heat and Cold Bending	32
7.6 Tube-to-tube Sheet Joints	32
7.7 Post-Fabrication Cleaning	33
7.8 NDE Methods	33
7.9 Hydrostatic Testing	34
7.10 Cladding Requirements and Risk of CUI	34
8 Examples of DSSs Applications within Refineries	34
Annex A (informative) Example of Special Material Requirements for DSSs	44
Annex B (informative) Example of Special Welding Procedure Qualification Requirements for DSSs	46
Annex C (informative) Example of Special Welding and Fabrication Requirements for DSSs	47
Annex D (informative) Example of a Duplex SS Casting Specification	48
Annex E (informative) Example of a Hot Isostatically-pressed (HIP) Duplex SS Material Specification	53

Contents

Page

Bibliography	57
--------------------	----

Figures

1 Comparison of the Proof Stress and Pitting Resistance (based on PREN of the bulk chemistry) of Duplex and Austenitic SS	5
2 Possible Precipitations in DSSs	11
3 Effect of Weld Metal Oxygen Content on the Toughness of the Weld	12
4 Compilation of Hardness Data for a Range of Duplex Parent Materials and Weldments Showing the Best-fit Line and ASTM E140 Conversion for Ferritic Steel	13
5 CPT for 22 % Cr, Super and Hyper DSSs Alloys Compared to Austenitic SS Alloys in 6 % FeCl ₃ , ASTM G48 Test Method A	15
6 CPTs at Various Concentrations of Sodium Chloride (at +300 mV vs. SCE, Neutral pH)	15
7 CPTs and CCTs for 22 % Cr and Super DSSs Compared to Austenitic SS Alloy in ASTM G48 Tests ..	16
8 CSCC Resistance of DSSs Alloys Compared to Austenitic SS Alloys in Oxygen-bearing Neutral Chloride Solutions	18
9 Results of SCC Tests of 22 % Cr and 25 % Cr DSSs Alloys Compared to Austenitic SS Alloys in Constant Load Tests in 40 % CaCl ₂ , 1.5 pH at 100 °C with Aerated Test Solution	18
10 Impact Energy Curves for Alloys Aged at 300 °C and 325 °C a) Quench Annealed S32750; b) 45 % Cold Worked S31803 [$^{\circ}\text{C} = (^{\circ}\text{F} - 32)/1.8$]	25
11 Embrittlement of UNS S32304, S32205, and S32507 after Long Time Annealing	26

Tables

1 Chemical Compositions of Commonly Used DSSs and Other Alloys	2
2 ASME and ASTM Specifications for DSSs	4
3 Mechanical Properties of Various Duplex and 316L SSs	4
4 Partitioning of Elements of Various DSS Grades (% in ferrite/% in austenite)	9
5 ASME Code Maximum Allowable Temperatures	13
6 Estimated H ₂ S Partial Pressure Limits for DSS in Production Services Based on Literature, Laboratory and Experiential Data (Pa [psi])	20
7 Successful Service Experience with DSS in Production Sour Services	21
8 Partitioning of Alloying Elements Between Phases	23
9 Welding Consumables	31
10 Case Histories of DSS Uses Reported in NACE International Refin-Cor	35
11 Case Histories of DSS Uses Reported by Other Sources	39
12 Summary List of DSSs Refinery Applications to Date	43
D.1 Impact and Corrosion Test Requirements	50
D.2 Welding Consumables	51

Introduction

Duplex stainless steels (DSSs) are finding increasing use in the refining industry, primarily because they often offer an economical combination of strength and corrosion resistance. These stainless steels (SSs) typically have an annealed structure that is generally half ferrite and half austenite, although the ratios can vary from approximately 35/65 to 55/45. Most refinery applications where DSSs are used are corrosive, and DSSs or other higher alloys are required for adequate corrosion resistance. However, some plants are also starting to consider DSS as a “baseline” material [1]. These plants are using DSS in applications where carbon steel may be acceptable, but DSSs have been shown to be more economical, considering their higher strength and better long-term reliability.

DSSs are often used in lieu of austenitic SS in services where the common austenitics would have problems with chloride pitting or chloride stress corrosion cracking (CSCC). Higher alloyed DSSs like super duplex and hyper duplex are an economic alternative to higher alloys with similar corrosion resistance. Figure 1 (in Section 1) shows a comparison of DSSs with various austenitic SSs, showing the difference in strength and chloride corrosion resistance (expressed as pitting resistance equivalent number [PREN], which is defined in 5.1) [2]. This chart shows the excellent combinations of higher strength and corrosion resistance available with DSSs. It also indicates that there are “subfamilies” of specific grades within both the DSSs and austenitic families. This is also illustrated in Table 1 (in Section 1).

DSSs have existed since the 1930s. However, the first generation steels, such as type 329 (UNS S32900) had unacceptable corrosion resistance and toughness at weldments [3], [4], [5]. Therefore, the initial applications were almost exclusively for heat exchanger tubing, particularly in corrosive cooling water services, and shafting or forgings. In the 1980s, second generation DSSs became commercially available which helped overcome the problems at the welds. These new grades had nitrogen additions and better austenite/ferrite balances, which along with improved welding practices designed for the DSSs, led to the welds’ mechanical (strength and toughness) and corrosion properties being comparable to the annealed base metal. The DSSs most commonly used today in refineries include those with 22 %, 25 % and 27 % Cr. The 25 % Cr (super duplex grades) and 27 % Cr (hyper duplex grade) usually also contain more molybdenum and nitrogen, and so have higher PREN values than the 22 % Cr duplex steels.

Table 1 lists the compositions and UNS numbers of various common DSSs, including some first generation DSSs for comparison. Note that UNS S32205 is a “newer version” of UNS S31803 and while it also meets the S31803 chemistry, it is produced with higher minimum nitrogen, chromium, and molybdenum contents. In many cases, material is dual-certified as S31803/S32205. AASME and ASTM standards for duplex SS grades are given in Table 2 (in Section 1), while Table 3 (in Section 1), provides the mechanical properties. Type 316L and other austenitic SS are included in these tables for comparison.

This report has four primary objectives, which are to describe:

- a) potential environment-related failure mechanisms and preventative measures to avoid them;
- b) typical material specification requirements used by refiners;
- c) typical fabrication specification requirements used by refiners;
- d) examples of applications of DSSs within refineries.

Currently in preview, click buy full version

Use of Duplex Stainless Steels in the Oil Refining Industry

1 Scope

This report covers many of the “lean”, “standard”, “super”, and “hyper” grades of duplex stainless steels (DSSs) most commonly used within refineries. The definitions of these terms have not been firmly established by the industry, and vary between literature references and materials suppliers. Table 1 shows how the various grades are being classified into “families” for the purposes of this report. The UNS numbers of the standard grades being used for corrosive refining services include:

- Lean DSSs: S32101, S32202, S32304, S32003, S82011, and S82441;
- Standard DSSs: S31803 and S32205;
- Super DSSs: S32520, S32550, S32750, S32760, and S32906;
- Hyper DSS: S32707.

The grades which are labeled as “lean” (including grades sometimes called “semi-lean”) have either lower Cr, Ni, or Mo than the standard grades, and are used in some process services that are less aggressive (primarily in corrosive environments to replace 304L SS). These alloys have also been used for storage tanks and structural applications, primarily for their higher strength as compared to carbon steel (CS). It is observed that new DSS alloys are being introduced and are likely to continue to be introduced. These new grades can be reasonably placed in the context of this discussion based on their composition.

The product forms within the scope are tubing, plate, sheet, forgings, pipe, and fittings for piping, vessel, exchanger, and tank applications. The use of DSSs for tanks is also addressed by API 650, Annex X. The Third Edition of this report (API 938-C) has added sections covering castings and hot isostatically-pressed (HIP) components for pumps, valves, and other applications. The limited use of DSSs as a cladding is also briefly covered within this document.

The majority of refinery services where DSSs are currently being used or being considered in the refining industry contain:

- a) a wet, sour (H_2S) environment, which may also contain hydrogen, ammonia, carbon dioxide, chlorides, and/or hydrocarbons, which typically has a pH greater than 7;
- b) water containing chlorides with or without hydrocarbons—this includes many fresh water cooling water systems, and some salt water systems with higher alloy grades;
- c) hydrocarbons with naphthenic acids at greater than 200 °C (400 °F), but below the maximum allowable temperatures in the ASME Code for DSSs (260 °C to 343 °C [500 °F to 650 °F], depending on the grade);
- d) amines, such as MEA, MDEA, DEA, etc.; or
- e) other environments, such as those containing caustic conditions.

The specific plant locations containing these services are described in a later section and the report scope will be limited to the first four environments. Although DSSs have good resistance to caustic environments, this service is not unique to or widespread in refining, and hence is not covered in detail in this report.