

Wet Gas Sampling

API TECHNICAL REPORT 2581
FIRST EDITION, MAY 2024



American
Petroleum
Institute

Special Notes

API publications necessarily address problems of a general nature. With respect to particular circumstances, local, state, and federal laws and regulations should be reviewed. The use of API publications is voluntary. In some cases, third parties or authorities having jurisdiction may choose to incorporate API standards by reference and may mandate compliance.

Neither API nor any of API's employees, subcontractors, consultants, committees, or other assignees make any warranty or representation, either express or implied, with respect to the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of the information contained herein, or assume any liability or responsibility for any use, or the results of such use, of any information or process disclosed in this publication. Neither API nor any of API's employees, subcontractors, consultants, or other assignees represent that use of this publication would not infringe upon privately owned rights.

Users of this standard should not rely exclusively on the information contained in this document. Sound business, scientific, engineering, and safety judgment should be used in employing the information contained herein.

API is not undertaking to meet the duties of employers, manufacturers, or suppliers to warn and properly train and equip their employees, and others exposed, concerning health and safety risks and precautions, nor undertaking their obligations to comply with authorities having jurisdiction.

API publications may be used by anyone desiring to do so. Every effort has been made by the Institute to ensure the accuracy and reliability of the data contained therein. However, the Institute makes no representation, warranty, or guarantee in connection with this publication and hereby expressly disclaims any liability or responsibility for loss or damage resulting from its use or for the violation of any authorities having jurisdiction with which this publication may conflict.

API publications are published to facilitate the broad availability of proven, sound engineering and operating practices. These publications are not intended to obviate the need for applying sound engineering judgment regarding when and where these publications should be utilized. The formulation and publication of API publications is not intended in any way to inhibit anyone from using any other practices.

Any manufacturer marking equipment or materials in conformance with the marking requirements of an API standard is solely responsible for complying with all the applicable requirements of that standard. API does not represent, warrant, or guarantee that such products do in fact conform to the applicable API standard.

All rights reserved. No part of this work may be reproduced, translated, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise, without prior written permission from the publisher. Contact the publisher, API Publishing Services, 200 Massachusetts Avenue, NW, Suite 1100, Washington, DC 20001.

Foreword

Nothing contained in any API publication is to be construed as granting any right, by implication or otherwise, for the manufacture, sale, or use of any method, apparatus, or product covered by letters patent. Neither should anything contained in the publication be construed as insuring anyone against liability for infringement of letters patent.

Suggested revisions are invited and should be submitted to the Standards Department, API, 200 Massachusetts Avenue NW, Suite 1100, Washington, DC 20001, standards@api.org.

Currently in preview, click buy full version

Abstract

The API Wet Gas Sampling Committee, under the API Committee on Production Measurement & Allocation (CPMA), conducted a study to identify potential sources of errors in the sampling of natural gas flow streams containing small amounts of free hydrocarbon liquid (wet gas). The objectives of the study were to quantify measurement uncertainty associated with using dry gas sampling methods to sample wet gas streams, and to provide recommendations for future wet gas sampling test programs.

A binary mixture of methane and n-heptane was used as a testing media. The testing was conducted in a closed-loop test facility at an elevated pressure of 700 psig and ambient temperatures in a 3-in. pipe. The dry gas sampling method per *API Manual of Petroleum Measurement Standards (MPMS) Chapter 14.1 (Collecting and Handling of Natural Gas Samples for Custody Transfer)*, Section 12.7 purging, fill, and empty (PFE) was used to collect the wet gas samples.

NOTE: API *MPMS* Ch. 14.1 scope is limited to gas sampling at or above the natural gas hydrocarbon dew point. Furthermore, API *MPMS* Ch. 14.1 states the sampling of multiphase flow streams (free liquid and gas) should be explicitly avoided.

The wet gas samples were analyzed using gas chromatograph (GC) methods and compared to measured reference gas flow rate and liquid flow rate streams. This report summarizes the wet gas testing methodology, test setup, test results, conclusions, lessons learned and recommendations.

Currently in preview, click buy full version

Contents

	Page
1 Introduction.....	1
2 Wet Gas Physical and Technical Challenges	1
3 Executive Summary	2
4 Acronyms	3
5 Wet Gas Sampling and Testing Methodology Considerations	3
5.1 Liquid Dropout Testing Approach	3
5.2 Liquid Injection Test Approach	4
6 Test Objectives and Approach	4
7 Test Facility and Testing Configuration.....	6
7.1 Test Setup	8
7.2 Test Procedure	9
7.3 Sampling Procedure	10
7.4 Test Matrix	11
8 Results	15
9 Uncertainty Analysis.....	18
9.1 Mass Flow Rate Uncertainty Methodology	20
9.2 Compositional Uncertainty.....	20
9.3 Discussion	24
10 Conclusions.....	24
11 Lessons Learned.....	25
12 Recommendations	25
Annex A (informative) Background Paper for CMAA Wet Gas Technical Report.....	27
Annex B (informative) Flow Visualization Setup.....	31
Annex C (informative) Addressing the Challenges of Wet Gas Sampling and Analysis in the Context of Upstream Production Measurement and Allocation—A White Paper	33

Figures

1 Closed-loop Liquid Injection Testing Configuration P&ID Drawing	5
2 SwRI Multiphase Flow Facility.....	6
3 Model of Test Section Layout.....	7
4 Test Section Photograph—View from Upstream of Wet Gas Sample Location	7
5 Test Section Photograph—View from Upstream of Wet Gas Sample Location	8
6 Close-coupled Sampling Setup	10
7 Wet Gas Operating Conditions Superimposed on Flow Pattern Map.....	12
8 Free Liquids Missed by the Wet Gas Sampling Method vs Lockhart-Martinelli Number	16
9 Free Liquids Missed by the Wet Gas Sampling Method vs % GVF	16
10 Wet Gas Sampling Molecular Weight Percent Error vs Lockhart-Martinelli Number	17

11	Molecular Weight Random Error Percentage vs Lockhart-Martinelli Number	18
12	Equations/Methodology to Determine Wet Gas Composition	21
13	Equations/Methodology to Determine Wet Gas Composition	21
14	Equations/Methodology to Determine Wet Gas Composition	21
15	Equations/Methodology to Determine Wet Gas Composition	22
A.1	Measurement Triangle.....	27
B.1	Lateral Flow Image	31

Tables

1	Gas Sampling Procedure	11
2	Wet Gas Sampling Test Matrix.....	12
3	Test Matrix for Saturated Gas	13
4	Test Matrix for Wet Gas XLM = 0.010.....	13
5	Test Matrix for Wet Gas XLM = 0.015.....	14
6	Test Matrix for Wet Gas XLM = 0.02.....	14
7	Test Matrix for Wet Gas XLM = 0.025.....	15
8	Comparison of SwRI Stated Uncertainties to API Wet Gas Sampling Committee Calculated Uncertainties for Orifice Plate Gas Flow Rates.....	19
9	Comparison of SwRI Stated Uncertainties to API Wet Gas Sampling Committee Calculated Uncertainties for Coriolis Liquid Flow Rates	19
10	Orifice Plate Uncertainty Budget	19
11	Coriolis Meter Uncertainty Budget.....	20
12	Reference Metering Uncertainty Estimation	22
13	Saturated Gas Composition (from Gas Analysis) and Uncertainty	22
14	Saturated Liquid Composition (from PVT Package) and Uncertainty	23
15	Reference Wet Gas Composition and Uncertainty	23
16	Wet Gas Composition and Uncertainty	23
17	Average Wet Gas Uncertainty.....	23
18	Average Wet Gas Uncertainty.....	24
B.1	Reference Instrumentation	32

Disclaimer

The dry gas sampling method per API *MPMS* Ch. 14.1, Section 12.7 PFE was used to gather the wet gas samples in this study. API *MPMS* Ch. 14.1 scope is limited to gas sampling at or above the natural gas hydrocarbon dew point. Furthermore, API *MPMS* Ch. 14.1 states, "The sampling of multiphase flow streams (free liquid and gas) should be explicitly avoided."

Currently in preview, click buy full version

Wet Gas Sampling

1 Introduction

Current API/GPA¹ standards only address dry gas sampling, such as encountered with pipeline quality gas. Dry gas can be defined as gas at or above the saturation temperature at the operating pressure in the pipe with no free hydrocarbon liquids present. Dry gas sampling techniques can produce large bias errors when free liquids are brought into the sampling vessel. Captured wet gas samples may not represent the true ratio of the gas and the liquid components in the flow stream.

In two-phase flow, the gas and the liquid components are not homogenous; they flow at different velocities and in different quadrants of the pipe. The phase distribution in the pipe is a function of the fluid's two-phase flow regime that depends on complicated wet gas parameters, including the gas-liquid density ratio, superficial gas and liquid velocities, pipe diameter, interfacial tension, and other two-phase flow parameters. Upstream and downstream fittings and pipe elevation changes can create liquid "hold-up" areas where liquid velocities slow and occupy a larger percentage of the pipe. The objective of the study is to characterize the uncertainties of using dry gas sampling techniques to collect samples from a wet gas stream.

2 Wet Gas Physical and Technical Challenges

Extracting the correct liquid-to-gas ratio is crucial to obtaining a sample that represents a two-phase source. In a two-phase natural gas stream, the liquid phase contains high concentrations of the heaviest hydrocarbon components, the heaviest components have the highest heating value per unit volume, and a small volume of liquid expands to a large volume of gas. Uncertainty in the quantity of liquid included in a two-phase sample magnifies uncertainty in the composite fluid composition, which is the basis for determining heating value. Too much liquid in the sample and the heating value will be too high; too little liquid in the sample and the heating value will be too low.

The ratio of the gas mass flow rate to the liquid mass flow rate directly impacts the fluid's overall composition, which in turn affects the BTU content traveling down the pipe. Extracting a wet gas sample from a single, discreet location in the pipe may not represent the true ratio between the gas and the liquid bulk mass flow rates. Liquids may not flow uniformly from the sampling probe's tip through the connecting tubing leading to the sampling vessel. Depending on the sampling fluid velocity in the connecting tubing, liquids can cling to the connecting tubing walls or collect in the connecting fittings. Depending on heat losses between the connecting tubing and the ambient air, the saturated gas flowing through the connecting tubing can further condense depositing additional liquids in the connecting tubing walls and fittings. Depending on the pressure drop from the sampling probe's tip to the sampling vessel, liquids clinging to the connecting tubing walls may wash or further condense and change the sample vessel's composition.

Existing analytical methods of a captured wet gas sample require the entire sample be raised to an elevated temperature that vaporizes all the sample's components. Since the components in the captured sample are not known a priori, one must guess the required elevated temperature to vaporize all the components. Additionally, sampling bottle seals are often not rated to the temperature required for complete vaporization.

¹ GPA Midstream Association (GPA), 6060 American Plaza, Suite 700, Tulsa, OK 74135, www.gpamidstream.org.