

Umbilical Termination Assembly (UTA) Selection and Sizing Recommendations

API TECHNICAL REPORT 17TR9
FIRST EDITION, AUGUST 2017



AMERICAN PETROLEUM INSTITUTE

Special Notes

API publications necessarily address problems of a general nature. With respect to particular circumstances, local, state, and federal laws and regulations should be reviewed.

Neither API nor any of API's employees, subcontractors, consultants, committees, or other assignees make any warranty or representation, either express or implied, with respect to the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of the information contained herein, or assume any liability or responsibility for any use, or the results of such use, of any information or process disclosed in this publication. Neither API nor any of API's employees, subcontractors, consultants, or other assignees represent that use of this publication would not infringe upon privately owned rights.

API publications may be used by anyone desiring to do so. Every effort has been made by the Institute to assure the accuracy and reliability of the data contained in them; however, the Institute makes no representation, warranty, or guarantee in connection with this publication and hereby expressly disclaims any liability or responsibility for loss or damage resulting from its use or for the violation of any authorities having jurisdiction with which this publication may conflict.

API publications are published to facilitate the broad availability of proven, sound engineering and operating practices. These publications are not intended to obviate the need for applying sound engineering judgment regarding when and where these publications should be utilized. The formulation and publication of API publications is not intended in any way to inhibit anyone from using any other practices.

Any manufacturer marking equipment or materials in conformance with the marking requirements of an API standard is solely responsible for complying with all the applicable requirements of that standard. API does not represent, warrant, or guarantee that such products do in fact conform to the applicable API standard.

Copyright © 2017 American Petroleum Institute. All rights reserved. No part of this work may be reproduced, translated, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise, without prior written permission from the publisher. Contact the Publisher, API Publishing Services, 1220 L Street, NW, Washington, DC 20005.

Foreword

Nothing contained in any API publication is to be construed as granting any right, by implication or otherwise, for the manufacture, sale, or use of any method, apparatus, or product covered by letters patent. Neither should anything contained in the publication be construed as insuring anyone against liability for infringement of letters patent.

This document was produced under API standardization procedures that ensure appropriate notification and participation in the developmental process and is designated as an API standard. Questions concerning the interpretation of the content of this publication or comments and questions concerning the procedures under which this publication was developed should be directed in writing to the Director of Standards, American Petroleum Institute, 1220 L Street, NW, Washington, DC 20005. Requests for permission to reproduce or translate all or any part of the material published herein should also be addressed to the director.

Generally, API standards are reviewed and revised, reaffirmed, or withdrawn at least every five years. A one-time extension of up to two years may be added to this review cycle. Status of the publication can be ascertained from the API Standards Department, telephone (202) 682-8000. A catalog of API publications and materials is published annually by API, 1220 L Street, NW, Washington, DC 20005.

Suggested revisions are invited and should be submitted to the Standards Department, API, 1220 L Street, NW, Washington, DC 20005, standards@api.org.

Currently in preview, click buy full version

Contents

	Page
1 Scope	1
2 Normative References	1
3 Terms, Definitions, Acronyms, Abbreviations, and Symbols	1
3.1 Terms and Definitions	1
3.2 Acronyms, Abbreviations, and Symbols	3
4 Functionality and Distribution of Umbilicals	4
4.1 Umbilical Functionality	4
4.2 Subsea Distribution Unit (SDU)	4
5 Drivers for UTA Size	4
5.1 General	4
5.2 Pros and Cons of a Greater Functionality Umbilical/UTA	5
5.3 Consequences	6
5.4 Forward Planning	8
6 Installation Systems	8
6.1 Installation Methods	8
6.2 Vessel Implications and Consequences	9
7 Guidance for UTA Optimization	17
7.1 General	17
7.2 Optimization Process	17
7.3 Key Optimization Aspects	18
7.4 Optimization Matrix	19
8 Workflow for Selection and Sizing of the UTA	20
8.1 General	20
8.2 Category Functionalities	20
8.3 UTA Categorization Method	21
8.4 Selection of Packing Reel or Carousel	23
8.5 SUT Rigid Length	26
8.6 Optimization Assessment	32
Annex A (Informative) Packing of a UTA with the Confines of an Installation Reel or Carousel	33
Annex B (Informative) SUT and SUT Length Calculations	36
Annex C (Informative) False Barrel Diameter Calculations	39
Annex D (Informative) Responsibility Matrix	40
Bibliography	42
Figure	
1 Project Stage Chart	5
2 Consequence of Increasing Size of UTAs	6
3 Vertical Lay Installation, First End	10
4 Vertical Lay Installation, Second End	10
5 Rigid Length with a Bend Stiffener	14
6 Rigid Length with a Bend Restrictor	15
7 Rigid Length Without a Bend Restrictor or Stiffener	15

Contents

	Page	
8	Illustration of Impact of MBR/ABR During Various Stages of Installation	16
9	UTA Optimization Process	17
10	Workflow of the Selection and Sizing of the UTA	17
11	Closed Tensioner Opening	22
12	UTA Dimensions X, Y, and Z (as per Table 5)	23
13	Steel Tube Umbilical or Thermoplastic Umbilical Without Spool	24
14	Thermoplastic Umbilical	24
15	Flow Chart for Selection of Packing Reel/Carousel	25
16	Typical Installation Reels Setup	26
17	Typical Carousel Setup During Installation	26
18	Rigid Length	27
19	UTA Packed Within Reel Flanges (to Allow Second End Lay)	28
20	Illustration to Show Increased Barrel Radius by Using Packing Structure (Side View)	29
21	Illustration to Show Increased Barrel Radius by Using Packing Structure (Front View)	30
B.1	Storage of Umbilical or Cable Placed in a Bay	38
Tables		
1	SUT Design Factors	11
2	Installation-related Consequences	13
3	Suggested Optimization Matrix (for High-level Assessment During Planning Phases)	19
4	Functionalities of UTA Categories A, B, C, and D	21
5	Typical STI Dimensions and Recommendations for a Standard Flange Interface Between UTA and Umbilical	23
6	Estimated Variation of Rigid Length with Varying Reel Diameter and UTA Size Category (Dimensions in Meter)	31
7	Optimization Assessment	32
D.1	Responsibility Matrix	40

Introduction

General

This document was compiled by the UMSIRE Joint Industry Project (JIP) group with the aim of addressing the increasing difficulties in installation of high-functionality subsea umbilical terminations (SUTs). The document focuses on highlighting the implications of increasing size and weight on installation. The JIP committee was composed of a representative cross section of experienced industry personnel from umbilical and umbilical termination assembly (UTA) manufacturers, installation contractors, and operators. UTA is a subassembly of SUT.

While there are widely accepted codes and standards for the design of UTA and its subsystems, such as materials, core connector type, tubing specification, corrosion protection, and lifting arrangements, none of these standards specifically address the substantially increased risks incurred during packing, handling, and installing umbilicals with large UTAs.

The JIP deliverables are two API documents, API Technical Report 17TR9, *Umbilical Termination Assembly (UTA) Selection and Sizing Recommendations*, and API Technical Report 17TR10, *Subsea Umbilical Termination (SUT) Design Recommendations*.

NOTE API 17TR10 deals in more depth with umbilical and UTA installation and the differing style and restrictions of installation lay spread types.

Use of the Document

This document is intended to be used as a reference guide by end users and operators, UTA and umbilical manufacturers, installers, and front-end engineering design (FEED) companies. The intention is that the document will enable the currently inherent installation difficulties to be addressed up front by the UTA designers, prior to commencing SUT design and functionality definition. It is also intended to be used as a reference document to enable reviews to be undertaken to ensure that installation risk has been properly considered as part of SUT design and operations reviews on a case-by-case basis.

This document assumes that the reader has a good level of understanding of the design, engineering, and installation of UTAs and other related components. API 17TR9 may be referred to for educational purposes and for additional technical information on UTAs. API 17TR10 can also be referred to for understanding and highlighting installation vessel and lay-spread restrictions that are compounded if unnecessary dimension and weight increases are made without a full awareness of these areas.

Applicability

In recent years, the size and complexity of umbilical terminations have grown considerably, driven by increasing umbilical functionality and additional flexibility and redundancy capability, as well as the need to integrate with functions found on subsea SUTs, manifolds, wellheads, subsea trees, booster pumps, etc. Due to some of the existing lay spreads and their long service life, the equipment has been unable to keep pace with these UTA changes. It also appears that the difficulties and increased risk implications incurred during installation of excessively large UTAs are not given due consideration during early planning stages. Historically, in some cases, the design has been such that the UTA cannot be easily deployed when connected to the umbilical by conventional installation methods.

This emerging trend poses severe challenges to installers and appears to be compounded by the increased functionality and higher expectations of parties in the supply chain (FEED contractors, termination designers, operators, and manufacturers). This trend has led to occurrences where the SUT cannot be installed through conventional lay equipment, which results in the necessity for higher specification lay spreads and vessels and proportionately increased risk to personnel, equipment, schedule, and overall impact on the project cost.

Without full consideration of these collective impacts, this trend of higher functionality and proportionately larger UTAs is expected to continue.

It is acknowledged that having a separate subsea distribution unit (SDU) may have an impact on the overall cost. However, the costs of the UTA/SDU alone is not the deciding factor in increasing the UTA proportions and weight to achieve an all-encompassing single UTA. Further analysis is undertaken for the whole life cycle of the UTA, which may include the following:

- packing, transporting, and increased installation costs of the larger unit in conjunction with a risk analysis;
- assessment of the aforementioned factors with detailed examination of the increased risks in offshore handling, deployment, and lay-down on the seabed.

This final UTA design approval may be made following close scrutiny of these analyses and assessments.

API 17TR9 applies during all stages of UTA concept selection, design, and installation.

Be aware that integration of distribution leads typically leads to increase in size of the UTA; however, it is required in some cases (e.g. integrated umbilical termination and distribution units) and can be a valid technical solution for smaller developments. Wherever it is required, the size of the UTA should be kept within the category sizes detailed within this document. For the purpose of this document, it is assumed that the termination does not provide distribution.

Umbilical Termination Assembly (UTA) Selection and Sizing Recommendations

1 Scope

This technical report identifies and describes:

- technical, commercial, and installation risks associated with high-functionality umbilicals and umbilical terminations [resulting in large and heavy umbilical termination assemblies (UTAs)], especially with respect to installation;
- implications of decisions made early in the umbilical and subsea umbilical termination (SUT) planning, selection, and design phases, to ease the manufacturing, handling, and final umbilical/UTA installation;
- guidance on specification and sizing of umbilical terminations, including overall size, weight, and handling requirements.

This document is intended to aid with informed decision making and selection of optimal choices during the early design phase of field development.

The primary purpose of this document is to be a reference guide during the early field development planning stage to ensure that due consideration is given to the implications of the size of UTAs and possible consequences during installation.

Guidelines for the design of UTAs are included in API 17TR10.

2 Normative References

The following referenced documents are indispensable for the application of this document. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

API Specification 17E, *Specification for Subsea Umbilicals*, Fourth Edition, October 2010

API Technical Report 17TR10, *Subsea Umbilical Termination (SUT) Design Recommendations*

ASME/ANSI B16.5, *Pipe Flanges and Flanged Fittings: NPS ½ through NPS 24 Metric/Inch Standard*

3 Terms, Definitions, Acronyms, Abbreviations, and Symbols

3.1 Terms and Definitions

For the purpose of this document, the following definitions apply.

3.1.1

bend restrictor

(Definition as per API 17E.)

Device for limiting the bend radius of the umbilical by mechanical means (*from API 17E*).

NOTE 1 The definition of bend restrictor and bend stiffener are very similar; the two terms are commonly used in the industry and hence have been defined separately.

NOTE 2 A bend restrictor is typically composed of a series of interlocking metallic or molded rings, applied over the umbilical. It is sometimes referred to as a bend strain reliever (BSR) or bend limiter.

3.1.2

bend stiffener

bend strain reliever

Device for controlling bending strain in the umbilical by providing a localized increase in stiffness; usually a molded device, sometimes reinforced depending on the required duty, applied over the umbilical.

NOTE 1 The stiffener is usually a molded device, sometimes reinforced, depending on the required duty, applied over the umbilical.

NOTE 2 This is sometimes referred to as a “*bend strain reliever*.”

3.1.3

rigid length

Sum of the combined lengths of the UTA and subsea termination interface (STI) and any other component that increases the axial rigid length and cannot easily be removed or reinstalled offshore.

NOTE Further details are provided in 6.2.6 and Figure 5, Figure 6, and Figure 7.

3.1.4

subsea distribution unit

SDU

Separately installed structure that receives hydraulic and/or electric and/or optical functions from the UTA and distributes those functions to multiple locations such as manifolds or trees.

3.1.5

subsea termination interface

STI

Mechanism that forms the transition between the umbilical and the subsea termination (*from API 17E*).

NOTE The interface is composed typically of an umbilical armor termination and/or a mechanical anchoring device for the tubes, bend stiffener/limiter, and tube or hose-end fittings. If the umbilical contains electric cables/fiber optics, then penetrator(s) and/or connectors may also be incorporated.

3.1.6

subsea umbilical termination

SUT

Mechanism for mechanically, electrically, optically and/or hydraulically connecting an umbilical or jumper bundle to a subsea system (*from API 17E*).

NOTE Functional components within the umbilical may include hoses, tubes, and electrical or fiber-optic cables, as stated in API 17E.

3.1.7

umbilical

Group of functional components, such as electric cables, optical fiber cables, hoses, and tubes, laid up or bundled together or in combination with each other, that generally provides hydraulics, fluid injection, power, and/or communication services (*from API 17E*).

NOTE Other elements or armoring may be included for strength, protection, or weight considerations.

3.1.8

umbilical termination assembly

UTA

Mechanism for connecting an umbilical or jumper bundle to a subsea system, mechanically, electrically, optically, and hydraulically, as required.

3.1.9

UTA yoke

A frame attached to a UTA, typically at its sides, by hinged or swiveling joints and provided with a central attachment point for lifting rigging.

3.2 Acronyms, Abbreviations, and Symbols

ABR	allowable bend radius
BSR	bend strain reliever (bend stiffener)
CoG	center of gravity
FAT	factory acceptance test
FBC	free board clearance
FEED	front-end engineering design
FMEA	failure mode effects analysis
FTA	fault tree analysis
Hs	higher limiting sea state
HSE	health, safety, and environment
ID	inner diameter
JIP	Joint Industry Project
MBR	minimum bend radius
MQC	multiple quick connects
OD	outer diameter
NPS	nominal pipe size
RHD	reel hub drive
ROV	remotely operated vehicle
SDU	subsea distribution unit
SIT	systems integration test
STI	subsea termination interface
SUT	subsea umbilical termination
UMSIRE	umbilical termination size reduction
UTA	umbilical termination assembly
VLS	vertical lay system

4 Functionality and Distribution of Umbilicals

4.1 Umbilical Functionality

Functionality is generally limited by the actual umbilical specification rather than the UTA size.

The functionality versus umbilical limitations is evident very early in the umbilical design process. Once the final umbilical design specification is reached, then the design of the UTA must be fully optimized to minimize external dimensions and the overall weight of the UTA (including the STI and BSR/bend restrictor weights).

An important consideration to fulfill the requirements of this document (also see API 17TR10) is enabling precise routing of functions within the UTA by having well-designed cable and fluid core distribution routes.

4.2 Subsea Distribution Unit (SDU)

SDUs can substantially reduce the overall UTA dimensions by encompassing the distribution paths and outlet ports. It is acknowledged a separate SDU may have an impact on the overall manufacturing cost in order to connect the units together, but the additional design and manufacture costs of separate UTA and SDU arrangements should not be the sole reason for opting for an all-encompassing UTA. Factors such as complicated handling, packing, transporting, increased installation costs, elevated risk of installation damage, and possible replacement of an umbilical with subsequent schedule impact must be thoroughly analyzed and assessed to make an informed decision about the split or combined arrangement of UTA and SDU. These risks should be evaluated against the consequences associated with using a separate SDU arrangement, such as additional equipment lead time, additional installation time, and the risk of additional subsea leak paths.

The application of this document should be from the inception of the umbilical manufacturer's initial design. Subsequently, the UTA designers should interface closely with highly experienced installation engineers who know installation possibilities, lay spread, and vessel specifications. Figure 1 shows the optimum interfacing of relevant parties who will play a part in achieving a successful umbilical/UTA installation project.

The intent with describing these project stages is to clarify when within the umbilical project timeline each umbilical termination size reduction (UMSIRE) document should be referenced and the interested parties that should be involved in discussions during each stage.

5 Drivers for UTA Size

5.1 General

The trend of increasing functionality of topside, subsea, and downhole equipment over the past decade has created increasing requirements for additional fluid, electrical, and optical lines to be routed from the platform to the subsea equipment. This has resulted in a greater number of functionalities required through control umbilicals and consequently the UTAs.

There is competition for space between umbilicals and production risers. If it is assumed that one large umbilical is more space efficient than multiple smaller umbilicals, then larger umbilicals are generally the best option.