

Stress Corrosion Cracking of Corrosion Resistant Alloys in Halide Brines Exposed to Acidic Production Gas

API TECHNICAL REPORT 13TR1
FIRST EDITION, NOVEMBER 2017



AMERICAN PETROLEUM INSTITUTE

Special Notes

API publications necessarily address problems of a general nature. With respect to particular circumstances, local, state, and federal laws and regulations should be reviewed.

Neither API nor any of API's employees, subcontractors, consultants, committees, or other assignees make any warranty or representation, either express or implied, with respect to the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of the information contained herein, or assume any liability or responsibility for any use, or the results of such use, of any information or process disclosed in this publication. Neither API nor any of API's employees, subcontractors, consultants, or other assignees represent that use of this publication would not infringe upon privately owned rights.

API publications may be used by anyone desiring to do so. Every effort has been made by the Institute to ensure the accuracy and reliability of the data contained in them; however, the Institute makes no representation, warranty, or guarantee in connection with this publication and hereby expressly disclaims any liability or responsibility for loss or damage resulting from its use or for the violation of any authorities having jurisdiction with which this publication may conflict.

API publications are published to facilitate the broad availability of proven, sound engineering and operating practices. These publications are not intended to obviate the need for applying sound engineering judgment regarding when and where these publications should be utilized. The formulation and publication of API publications is not intended in any way to inhibit anyone from using any other practices.

Any manufacturer marking equipment or materials in conformance with the marking requirements of an API standard is solely responsible for complying with all the applicable requirements of that standard. API does not represent, warrant, or guarantee that such products do in fact conform to the applicable API standard.

Copyright © 2017 American Petroleum Institute. No part of this work may be reproduced, translated, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise, without prior written permission from the publisher. Contact the Publisher, API Publishing Services, 1220 L Street, NW, Washington, DC 20005.

Foreword

Nothing contained in any API publication is to be construed as granting any right, by implication or otherwise, for the manufacture, sale, or use of any method, apparatus, or product covered by letters patent. Neither should anything contained in the publication be construed as insuring anyone against liability for infringement of letters patent.

The verbal forms used to express the provisions in this document are as follows.

Shall: As used in a standard, “shall” denotes a minimum requirement in order to conform to the standard.

Should: As used in a standard, “should” denotes a recommendation or that which is advised but not required in order to conform to the standard.

May: As used in a standard, “may” denotes a course of action permissible within the limits of a standard.

Can: As used in a standard, “can” denotes a statement of possibility or capability.

This document was produced under API standardization procedures that ensure appropriate notification and participation in the developmental process and is designated as an API standard. Questions concerning the interpretation of the content of this publication or comments and questions concerning the procedures under which this publication was developed should be directed in writing to the Director of Standards, American Petroleum Institute, 1220 L Street, NW, Washington, DC 20005. Requests for permission to reproduce or translate all or any part of the material published herein should also be addressed to the director.

Generally, API standards are reviewed and revised, reaffirmed, or withdrawn at least every five years. A one-time extension of up to two years may be added to this review cycle. Status of the publication can be ascertained from the API Standards Department, telephone (202) 682-8000. A catalog of API publications and materials is published annually by API, 1220 L Street, NW, Washington, DC 20005.

Suggested revisions are invited and should be submitted to the Standards Department, API, 1220 L Street, NW, Washington, DC 20005, standards@api.org.

Currently in preview, click buy full version

Contents

	Page
1 Scope	1
2 Abbreviations and Symbols	1
2.1 Abbreviations	2
2.2 Symbols	2
3 Experimental Procedures	3
3.1 General	3
3.2 Alloy Groups Tested	4
3.3 Brines Tested	4
4 Results	7
4.1 Modified 13Cr Martensitic Stainless Steels	8
4.2 Duplex and High-Ni Alloys	11
5 Other Variables	13
5.1 More Severe Field Conditions	14
5.2 Addition of Corrosion Inhibitor	15
5.3 Presence of Titratable Oxidant	17
5.4 Addition of O ₂ Scavenger	17
6 Discussion	17
6.1 Alloy Composition and Strength	17
6.2 Stress	18
6.3 Brine Composition	18
6.4 Test Protocols	19
6.5 Test Reproducibility	19
6.6 Temperature Effect	20
6.7 Oxidants	20
6.8 Effect of Additives	20
6.9 SCC Mechanism	20
6.10 Galvanic Effects	20
6.11 Test Protocols	20
6.12 Recommendations	21
7 Conclusions	21
Annex A (informative) Test Protocol	23
Annex B (informative) Stress vs Deflection Analysis	25
Bibliography	28
Figures	
1 Crack Patterns of Alloy 25-32-3-125 (Test #64)	14
2 Effect of pH and Brine Density on SCC of Modified 13Cr, ≥ 50 psi CO ₂ , ≤ 0.4 psi H ₂ S, ≥ 225 °F	17
B.1 Stress vs Deflection Analysis for the 13Cr-2Mo at 265 °F	25
B.2 Stress-strain Curves for the Two Mechanical Tests Performed on the 13Cr-2Mo at 265 °F	25
B.3 Stress vs Deflection Analysis for the 13Cr-2Mo at 350 °F	26
B.4 Stress-strain Curves for the Two Mechanical Tests Performed on the 13Cr-2Mo at 350 °F	26

Contents

Page

Tables

1	API Test Protocols in Autoclave Testing in Halide Brines	2
2	Standard and Modified 13 % Chromium SSs, Duplex SSs	2
3	High Nickel SSs	5
4	Actual Mechanical Properties of Alloys Used in Testing	5
5	Brine Compositions Cited	6
6	Halide Anions Molar Concentration in Brines	7
7	API Tests of Modified 13Cr in Halide Brines + CO ₂	8
8	Effect of Molar Halide Concentration on SCC in 11.0 ppg Brines + CO ₂ on U-bends of Alloy 13-6-2 [10]	9
9	Additional Tests of Modified 13Cr + CO ₂	10
10	Tests of Modified 13Cr in Halide Brines + CO ₂ and H ₂ S	10
11	Effect of O ₂ on SCC of Modified 13Cr Tests in 11.6 ppg CaCl ₂	11
12	Tests of Modified 13Cr in Aerated Bromide Brines	12
13	Aerated Brines: Effect of Brine and Temperature on SCC of 13-6-2	13
14	90-day Tests of Modified 13Cr, N ₂	13
15	Tests of Duplex SS + CO ₂	14
16	Tests of Duplex SSs and High-Ni Alloys in 14.2 ppg CaBr ₂ , + CO ₂ and H ₂ S	15
17	Tests of Duplex SSs + Air	16
18	Tests of High-Ni Alloys at 425 °F to 450 °F	16
19	Modified 13Cr Tests of 14.2 CaBr ₂ + CO ₂	19

Introduction

A program partly sponsored by the American Petroleum Institute (API) studying the interaction of completion brines and corrosion-resistant alloys (CRAs) has been underway for several years. An earlier paper [1] addressed the effect of thiocyanate (SCN^-) corrosion inhibitor on promoting stress corrosion cracking (SCC) in CRAs exposed to halide brines, with a link to field failures. The current paper addresses SCC risks upon exposure of brines to an ingress of acidic production gas (CO_2 or $\text{CO}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{S}$) or to air, both of which, have resulted in field failures. Test protocols are presented. Brine severity is related to halide ion concentration, including formation of complex ions with. Testing focused on a group of 13Cr martensitic stainless steels alloyed with Ni and Mo, with more limited tests of duplex stainless steels (SS) and high-Ni alloys. SCC results are presented in relation to alloy resistance and to test variables, including temperature, pH, and additives to the brine.

Currently in preview, click buy full version

Stress Corrosion Cracking of Corrosion Resistant Alloys in Halide Brines Exposed to Acidic Production Gas

1 Scope

The annular space between the production tubing and the carbon steel casing is filled with a dense fluid, typically a halide salt. In wells with corrosion resistant alloy (CRA) tubing, SCC of the CRA tubing OD or auxiliary components has occurred in a number of wells. Halide brines have densities up to 2.2 g/ml (19.2 lb/gal or ppg) and contain a number of additives. A previous publication linked some field failures to the addition of SCN-corrosion inhibitor. [1]

Additional field failures in CaCl₂ brines have been linked to ingress of acidic gas containing CO₂ and H₂S and to exposure to air. SCC of martensitic stainless steel (SS), (13 % Cr, 6 % Ni, 2 % Mo) was attributed to downhole leakage of acidic gas [2], whereas SCC of a duplex SS tubing (25 % Cr, 3 % Mo) was attributed to air in the gas cap above column of brine. [3]

To understand the effects of brine compositions on the CRAs, a joint industry project was formed under the auspices of the American Petroleum Institute (API). It has been known as the CRAs in Brine Testing Program. Under its auspices, work has been underway for a number of years on understanding the interaction of brine chemistry and CRAs.

The current paper evaluates the SCC risks of a range of CRAs in various halide brine compositions for the case of exposure to acidic production gas (CO₂+H₂S). Also evaluated are SCC risks due to air exposure. However, the testing became focused on a group of martensitic stainless steels alloyed with Ni and Mo, that are collectively referred to as modified 13Cr martensitic SS, or alternatively in some publications as super (S13Cr) martensitic SSs. Most tests evaluated the as-received brine, excluding proprietary additives such as corrosion inhibitor or oxygen scavengers. For completeness and comparison, test results provided by member companies in the API program or in the publications are cited; these test protocols may be different from those in the API test protocols hence, where that occurs, significant differences are noted.

2 Abbreviations and Symbols

2.1 Abbreviations

API	American Petroleum Institute
ASTM	American Society for Testing and Materials
AYS	actual yield stress
BS	bar stock
CRA	corrosion-resistant alloys
HRC	hardness Rockwell cone
JIP	joint industry project
ksi	1000 pounds-force per square inch
MSS	martensitic stainless steel
MTR	material test report
NACE	National Association of Corrosion Engineers
OD	outside diameter
PEEK	polyetheretherketone
PTFE	polytetrafluoroethylene
ppg	pounds per gallon

psi	pound-force per square inch
SCC	stress corrosion cracking
SCE	saturated calomel electrode
SEM	scanning electron microscopy
SS	stainless steel
SPE	Society of Petroleum Engineers
S13Cr	super 13 % chromium
UNS	unified numbering system
UTS	ultimate tensile strength
YS	yield stress
13Cr	13 % chromium
@RT	at room temperature
@T	at temperature

2.2 Symbols

BrO_3^-	bromate ion
CaBr_2	calcium bromide
CaCl_2	calcium chloride
CaO	calcium oxide
CO_2	carbon dioxide
Ca(OH)_2	calcium hydroxide
C276	chromium-nickel molybdenum alloy
H_2S	hydrogen sulfide
HSO_3^-	hydrosulfite (bisulfite) ion
M	molar concentration, expressed in moles per liter
NaBr	sodium bromide
Na_2CO_3	sodium carbonate (soda ash)
NaHCO_3	sodium bicarbonate
NaOH	sodium hydroxide
Nb	niobium
N_2	nitrogen
O_2	oxygen
P_{CO_2}	carbon dioxide pressure, expressed in pounds-force per square inch (psi).
$P_{\text{H}_2\text{S}}$	carbon dioxide pressure, expressed in pounds-force per square inch (psi).
SCN	thiocyanate
ZnBr_2	zinc bromide

3 Experimental Procedures

3.1 General

The test results from the current API program follow a detailed protocol, outlined in Table 1.

Table 1—API Test Protocols in Autoclave Testing in Halide Brines

C-ring preparation	C-rings were cut from tubular with mill scale removed or machined from bar stock.
Loading	C-rings were loaded to yield strength (YS) following NACE TM0177-2005 method C [4]. YS was obtained by mill certificate or calculated by derating to test temperature.
Crevice C-rings	In some high-Ni tests a strip of C-276 was spot-welded on the C-ring apex to form a crevice.
Electrical isolation	C-ring isolation from the C-276 loading bolt used a PEEK insulating washer. Isolation was measured prior to and after testing. Tests where isolation was not maintained and with a crack, were rejected.
Brine preparation	Commercial grade brines were used, with a chemical analysis provided. Component brines were stirred before blending.
Autoclave testing	Testing was performed in a four liter C-276 autoclave, with 20 % volume head space. Each test contained up to eight C-rings, individually isolated from each other and the autoclave wall.
Buffer addition	When noted in text, Na ₂ CO ₃ was added to NaBr, Ca(OH) ₂ or CaO to CaBr ₂ . CaBr ₂ brines were filtered before use.
Brine pH	pH was measured without dilution using procedures described in SPE 86502 with a double junction reference electrode, at room temperature, before and after testing.
Tests with O ₂	Brine was purged with either air (3 psi O ₂) or a N ₂ -O ₂ mixture at room temperature.
De-aeration	After sealing, three vacuum evacuation cycles with N ₂ were performed. De-aerated brine was transferred, then a final N ₂ purge was made.
Other additives in some tests	When noted, (1) erythorbate or HSO ₃ ⁻ O ₂ scavenger or (2) a filming amine corrosion inhibitor for carbon steel casing was added.
Tests with CO ₂	Transfer of de-aerated brine was followed by a CO ₂ purge for two or more hours. After heating to test temperature, CO ₂ purge was added to reach target pressure.
Tests with CO ₂ +H ₂ S	Transfer of de-aerated brine was followed by a purge of a H ₂ S/CO ₂ gas mixture for two hours, and then locked in at ambient pressure. The autoclave was then heated to the target temperature. The autoclave was then increased in pressure with either CO ₂ or H ₂ S/CO ₂ depending on the environment. Draeger tubes were utilized to ensure target H ₂ S was obtained. Adjustments were performed for the first day, day three, and on a weekly basis if needed.
Test failure	Cross sectioning was often required. A crack designation was assigned if crack-like features were greater than 25 μm.
Exposure time	SCC resistance is based on 30-day tests. The 14-day tests explored test variables. Some 90-day tests are included.

Stress reduction at 265 °F was about 7.5 % from that at room temperature and was approximately 11 % for tests performed at 350 °F.

The API protocol of total saturation of CO₂ at P_{CO_2} may represent a more severe test than gas leakage under field conditions. The latter case would correspond to absorption of a volume of CO₂ in the annular column of brine that represents gas leakage [5, 6] rather than full saturation.

The U.S. customary unit (USC) system is used in this Technical Report. Factors hereafter permit conversions of USC units to standard international (SI) units or SI-derived units.