

Managing Hydrotechnical Hazards for Pipelines Located Onshore or Within Coastal Zone Areas

API RECOMMENDED PRACTICE 1133
SECOND EDITION, DECEMBER 2017



AMERICAN PETROLEUM INSTITUTE

Special Notes

API publications necessarily address problems of a general nature. With respect to particular circumstances, local, state, and federal laws and regulations should be reviewed.

Neither API nor any of API's employees, subcontractors, consultants, committees, or other assignees make any warranty or representation, either express or implied, with respect to the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of the information contained herein, or assume any liability or responsibility for any use, or the results of such use, of any information or process disclosed in this publication. Neither API nor any of API's employees, subcontractors, consultants, or other assignees represent that use of this publication would not infringe upon privately owned rights.

API publications may be used by anyone desiring to do so. Every effort has been made by the Institute to ensure the accuracy and reliability of the data contained in them; however, the Institute makes no representation, warranty, or guarantee in connection with this publication and hereby expressly disclaims any liability or responsibility for loss or damage resulting from its use or for the violation of any authorities having jurisdiction with which this publication may conflict.

API publications are published to facilitate the broad availability of proven, sound engineering and operating practices. These publications are not intended to obviate the need for applying sound engineering judgment regarding when and where these publications should be utilized. The formulation and publication of API publications is not intended in any way to inhibit anyone from using any other practices.

Any manufacturer marking equipment or materials in conformance with the marking requirements of an API standard is solely responsible for complying with all the applicable requirements of that standard. API does not represent, warrant, or guarantee that such products do in fact conform to the applicable API standard.

Copyright © 2017 American Petroleum Institute. All rights reserved. No part of this work may be reproduced, translated, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise, without prior written permission from the publisher. Contact the Publisher, API Publishing Services, 1220 L Street, NW, Washington, DC 20005.

Foreword

Nothing contained in any API publication is to be construed as granting any right, by implication or otherwise, for the manufacture, sale, or use of any method, apparatus, or product covered by letters patent. Neither should anything contained in the publication be construed as insuring anyone against liability for infringement of letters patent.

The verbal forms used to express the provisions in this document are as follows.

Shall: As used in a standard, “shall” denotes a minimum requirement in order to conform to the standard.

Should: As used in a standard, “should” denotes a recommendation or that which is advised but not required in order to conform to the standard.

May: As used in a standard, “may” denotes a course of action permissible within the limits of a standard.

Can: As used in a standard, “can” denotes a statement of possibility or capability.

This document was produced under API standardization procedures that ensure appropriate notification and participation in the developmental process and is designated as an API standard. Questions concerning the interpretation of the content of this publication or comments and questions concerning the procedures under which this publication was developed should be directed in writing to the Director of Standards, American Petroleum Institute, 1220 L Street, NW, Washington, DC 20005. Requests for permission to reproduce or translate all or any part of the material published herein should also be addressed to the director.

Generally, API standards are reviewed and revised, reaffirmed, or withdrawn at least every five years. A one-time extension of up to two years may be added to this review cycle. Status of the publication can be ascertained from the API Standards Department, telephone (202) 682-8000. A catalog of API publications and materials is published annually by API, 1220 L Street, NW, Washington, DC 20005.

Suggested revisions are invited and should be submitted to the Standards Department, API, 1220 L Street, NW, Washington, DC 20005, standards@api.org.

Contents

	Page
1 Scope	1
2 Normative References	1
3 Terms, Definitions, Acronyms, and Abbreviations	2
3.1 Terms and Definitions	2
3.2 Acronyms and Abbreviations	7
4 Overview of Hydrology and Hydrotechnical Hazards at Onshore and Coastal Zone Pipelines	9
4.1 Riverine Hydrology and Fluvial Geomorphology	9
4.2 Riverine Hydrotechnical Hazards	10
4.3 Coastal Zone Hydrology	16
4.4 Coastal Hydrotechnical Hazards	18
5 Overview of Hydrotechnical Damage Mechanisms	19
5.1 Hydrodynamic Loading	20
5.2 Debris Impact	21
5.3 Vortex-Induced Fatigue	21
5.4 Third Party Damage	23
5.5 Dead Loads	23
6 Operations of Existing Pipelines	24
6.1 Inventory Development/Inventory Update	25
6.2 Filtering and Prioritization	26
6.3 Data Gathering/Review/Integration	29
6.4 Risk Assessment and Ranking	33
6.5 Mitigation	33
6.6 Monitoring	38
6.7 Program Evaluation and Improvement	43
7 Design and Construction Considerations for New Pipelines	43
7.1 Permitting	43
7.2 Environmental Impact	43
7.3 Community Impact	44
7.4 Contingency Planning	44
7.5 Inspection and Testing	44
7.6 As-Built Drawings	44
7.7 Site Restoration	44
7.8 Construction Completion	45
8 Pipeline Abandonment	45

Contents

	Page
Annex A (informative) Data Sources	47
Annex B (informative) Flood Timing, Duration, Magnitude, and Variability	52
Annex C (informative) Flood Frequency	55
Annex D (informative) Example Scour and Erosion Calculations	60
Annex E (informative) Example Calculations of Allowable Unsupported Span Length	68
Annex F (informative) Examples of Select Engineering Controls	74
Bibliography	84
Figures	
1 Streambed Profiles from the Rio Grande River at Albuquerque, NM, between April 18 and June 28, 1948 Illustrating Temporary Scour and Fill (after USGS Open File Report 1967)	11
2 Streambed Profiles from the Missouri River at St. Charles, MO, between June 18 and 19, 2002 Illustrating Dune Scour (after USGS Scientific Investigations Report 2010-5091)	12
3 Streambed Profiles from the Arkansas River at Arkansas City, KS between June 2 and August 31, 1948 Illustrating Local Scour and Fill around Bridge Piers (after USGS Open File Report 1967)	13
4 Schematic of Ice Jam Scour	14
5 2013 Aerial Photograph of the Leaf River, MS Illustrating Significant Bank Erosion and Channel Migration	15
6 Time Series of Aerial Photography from April 1998 through November 2013 of the Wabash River, IN, Documenting the Development of a Channel Avulsion	16
7 Map Illustrating State of Louisiana Coastal Zone Boundary (Louisiana Department of Natural Resources, 2013)	18
8 2014 Aerial Photograph of the Louisiana Shoreline South of Lake Charles, LA Illustrating Significant Shoreline Retraction	19
9 Map of Southeastern Louisiana Illustrating Historic and Projected Land Loss between 1932 and 2050 Highlighted in Red	20
10 Example of Bank Erosion as a Source of In-channel Woody Debris	21
11 Schematic of Vortex-induced Vibrations	22
12 Example of Allowable and Actual Unsupported Span Length vs Water Velocity for VIV Damage Mechanism	22
13 Example of Engineered Span Supported by H-braces	23
14 Example of Debris Loading on Pipeline Support	24
15 Example Waterway Integrity Management Process	25
16 Schematic of Initial Filtering Process	27
17 Example Process Flow Chart for Pipeline Filtering	27
18 Simplified Depiction of Risk	34
A.1 Example Short Range River Level and Discharge Forecasts Provided by NOAA (USGS Stream Gauge at Two Rivers River at Hallock, MN—gauge No. 05095000)	50
A.2 Example Long-range Flood Risk Forecast Provided by NOAA (USGS Stream Gauge at North Platte River at Lewellen, NB—Gauge No. 06687500)	50
A.3 Example Map Illustrating Location of North American Snotel Sites	51
B.1 Typical Long Duration Snowmelt Hydrograph (Data Collected by the USGS at the Yellowstone River at Billings, MT Stream gauge—gauge No. 06214500) and Short Duration Rainfall Hydrograph (Data Collected by the USGS at the Colorado River at Bastrop, TX Stream Gauge—gauge No. 08159200)	53
B.2 Measured Precipitation and Streamflow at Colorado River at Bastrop, TX	53

Contents

Page

B.3	Average Hydrograph Compared to 1996 Rain-on-Snow Flood Event (Stehekin River at Stehekin, WA)	51
B.4	Typical Arid Stream System Hydrograph (Data Collected by the USGS at the Rio Hondo at Diamond “A” Ranch Near Roswell, NM Stream gauge) and Snowmelt Hydrograph	54
C.1	Measured Daily Average Flows, Annual Peak Floods and Predicted Floods from the 2-year to the 100-year Return Period Flood Events (Data Collected by the USGS at the Stillwater River at Pleasant Hill, OH)	56
C.2	Differences in Predicted 100-year Return Period Floods Using Longer vs Shorter Flood Records (Cedar River at Cedar Rapids, IA)	56
C.3	Differences in Predicted 100-year Return Period Floods as a Result of Urbanization (Boneyard Creek at Urbana, IL)	57
C.4	Differences in Predicted 100-year Return Period Floods Before and After Construction of flood control dam (Green River near Auburn, WA)	58
E.1	Example Relationship between Water Velocity and Allowable USL	71
E.2	Example Relationship between Water Velocity and Allowable USL Relative to VIV	73
F.1	Photograph Showing Installation of a Permeable Dike to Mitigate Bank Erosion and Channel Migration.	75
F.2	Photograph Documenting Successful Mitigation of Bank Erosion and Channel Migration through Use of Permeable Dikes.	75
F.3	Photograph Illustrating Use of Anchored Trees to Encourage Wood and Sediment Accumulation	76
F.4	Photograph Showing Installation of Stone Toe Protection in Combination with Willow Posts to Mitigate Bank Erosion and Channel Migration	76
F.5	Photograph of a Rip Rap Stream Barb	77
F.6	Photograph of Rock Cross Vane	77
F.7	Photography of Bendway Weir	78
F.8	Bank Armoring Using Place Grout Bagg	78
F.9	Bank Armoring Using Place Grout Bags	79
F.10	Bank Armoring Using Rock Rip-rap	79
F.11	Bank Armoring Using Rock Rip-rap	80
F.12	Bank Armoring Using Woody Debris and Vegetation	80
F.13	Photograph of Flexible Concrete Matting Placed to Armor Channel Bottom	81
F.14	Photograph of Rock Check Dam Placed across Stream Channel.	81
F.15	Photograph of Log Check Dam Placed across Stream Channel.	82
F.16	Photograph of Seawall to Mitigation Coastal Erosion	82
F.17	Photograph of Rip-Rap Placement to Mitigation Coastal Erosion	83
F.18	Photograph of Constructed Oyster Reef to Mitigation Coastal Erosion	83
Tables		
1	Example Prioritization Approach.	29
2	Hazard Assessment Method	31
3	Example of Factors Considered in Consequence Analysis	33
4	Hydrodynamic Hazards vs Possible Mitigation Actions.	37
5	Example Periodic Monitoring Schedule	39
6	Example Conditions to Monitor for During Event-based Monitoring	41

Recommended Practice for Managing Hydrotechnical Hazards for Pipelines Located Onshore or Within Coastal Zone Areas

1 Scope

This recommended practice (RP) applies to new and existing hydrocarbon pipelines that transport gas and hazardous liquids. It is intended to apply to onshore waterways and coastal zones that may be susceptible to hydrotechnical hazards. An onshore waterway is any man-made or natural channel through which water flows. Coastal zones extend offshore to a water depth of 15 ft and extend inland to include those areas of land influenced by tidal action, storm surge, back water flooding and other coastal hazards.

The RP provides guidelines and recommendations for identifying, assessing and managing risks to pipeline integrity associated with these hazards through the life-cycle of a pipeline. Except for the design and construction provisions in Section 7 of this RP which are strictly for new pipelines, this RP is for both existing and new pipelines.

2 Normative References

The following codes, standards, practices, specifications publications, and government regulations are incorporated in this RP.

API¹

API Specification 6D, *Pipeline Valves (Gate, Plug, Ball, and Check Valves)*

API Standard 1104, *Welding of Pipelines and Related Facilities*

API Recommended Practice 1109, *Marking Liquid Petroleum Pipeline Facilities*

API Recommended Practice 1110, *Pressure Testing of Steel Pipelines for the Transportation of Gas, Petroleum Gas, Hazardous Liquids, Highly Volatile Liquids, or Carbon Dioxide*

API Recommended Practice 1117, *Movement of In-service Pipelines*

API Recommended Practice 1160, *Managing System Integrity for Hazardous Liquid Pipelines*

AWS²

D1.1, *Structural Welding Code*

NASTT³

Guidelines for a Successful Directional Crossing Bid Package, 1996

OSHA⁴

29 Code of Federal Regulations Part 1926.650 through 1926.652 (Trenching and Shoring Code Only)

¹ American Petroleum Institute, 1220 L Street, NW, Washington, DC 20005-4070. www.api.org

² American Welding Society, 550 NW LeJeune Road, Miami, FL 33126. www.aws.org

³ North American Society for Trenchless Technology, 1655 N Ft. Myer Drive, Suite 700, Arlington, VA 22209. www.nastt.org

⁴ U.S. Department of Labor, Occupational Safety and Health Administration, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20210. www.osha.gov. Note: OSHA Regulations are posted on, and can be downloaded from the OSHA website.

3 Terms, Definitions, Acronyms, and Abbreviations

3.1 Terms and Definitions

For the purposes of this publication, the following definitions apply:

3.1.1

abandonment

A pipeline permanently removed from service that has been physically separated from its source of gas or hazardous liquid and is no longer maintained.

3.1.2

anchor frazil

Ice crystals carried to or formed on the channel bottom, which covers the channel bottom with a thick mass.

3.1.3

armoring

A facing layer (protective cover) or rip-rap consisting of very large stones placed to prevent erosion or the sloughing off of soil from an embankment.

3.1.4

avulsion

Rapid abandonment of a river channel and the formation of a new river channel.

3.1.5

bed-form migration

Features such as ripples and dunes on the channel/coastal zone bed resulting from bed material being moved by flowing water that can gradually move upstream or downstream depending on local hydraulic and sediment characteristics.

3.1.6

bending stress

Internal or compressive longitudinal stress developed in response to curvature induced by an external load.

3.1.7

check dams

A low, fixed structure, constructed of timber, loose rock, rip rap, masonry, or concrete, to control water flow in an erodible channel.

3.1.8

coastal zone

Area of coastal waters and the adjacent shore lands strongly influenced by each other and includes islands, transitional and intertidal areas, salt marshes, wetlands and beaches, and extends offshore to a depth of water of 15 ft as measured from the mean low water elevation.

3.1.9

cofferdam

A temporary structure built around a site to permit construction in (relatively) dry conditions.

1.0

commercially navigable waterway

PHMSA Pipeline Safety has elected to use the National Waterways Network database as the basis for identifying commercially navigable waters. This database includes commercially navigable waterways in open water (i.e.

offshore or in the Great Lakes) and those that are inland (rivers, canals, harbors, etc.) where a substantial likelihood of commercial navigation exists.

3.1.11

cross vanes

Structure typically constructed from timber or loose rock designed to guide flow away from channel banks to reduce bank erosion and promote local sedimentation.

3.1.12

cuttings

A mixture of drilling mud and soil that is generated during drilling operations.

3.1.13

degradation

The general lowering of the streambed by erosive processes, such as scouring by flowing water. The removal of channel bed materials and downcutting of natural stream channels.

3.1.14

deposition

The physical process by which sediments, soil, and rock are added to a landform.

3.1.15

depth of cover (DoC)

The distance between the top of the pipeline and the surface of the ground above the pipeline. It will typically vary across the waterway due to variations in the pipeline profile and the channel bottom topography. Minimum DoC represents the least amount of ground cover above the pipeline.

3.1.16

discharge

Discharge or stream/river flow, is the volumetric rate of flowing water which is transported through a given cross-sectional area. Discharge is typically described in cubic feet per second (cfs).

3.1.17

engineered span

A pipeline designed and engineered to cross a waterway at elevations above the channel bottom. Such spans differ from those that are the result of either channel bed erosion or bank erosion.

3.1.18

erosion

The wearing away or removal of soil or other material by the action of water or other agents.

3.1.19

fatigue

The phenomenon leading to fracture of a material under repeated or fluctuating stresses having a maximum value less than the tensile strength of the material.

3.1.20

furc

The distance over which waves are generated by a wind having constant speed and direction.