

Computational Pipeline Monitoring for Liquids

API RECOMMENDED PRACTICE 1130
SECOND EDITION, APRIL 2022



American
Petroleum
Institute

Special Notes

API publications necessarily address problems of a general nature. With respect to particular circumstances, local, state, and federal laws and regulations should be reviewed. The use of API publications is voluntary. In some cases, third parties or authorities having jurisdiction may choose to incorporate API standards by reference and may mandate compliance.

Neither API nor any of API's employees, subcontractors, consultants, committees, or other assignees make any warranty or representation, either express or implied, with respect to the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of the information contained herein, or assume any liability or responsibility for any use, or the results of such use, of any information or process disclosed in this publication. Neither API nor any of API's employees, subcontractors, consultants, or other assignees represent that use of this publication would not infringe upon privately owned rights.

API publications may be used by anyone desiring to do so. Every effort has been made by the Institute to ensure the accuracy and reliability of the data contained in them; however, the Institute makes no representation, warranty, or guarantee in connection with this publication and hereby expressly disclaims any liability or responsibility for loss or damage resulting from its use or for the violation of any authorities having jurisdiction with which this publication may conflict.

API publications are published to facilitate the broad availability of proven, sound engineering and operating practices. These publications are not intended to obviate the need for applying sound engineering judgment regarding when and where these publications should be used. The formulation and publication of API publications is not intended in any way to inhibit anyone from using any other practices.

Any manufacturer marking equipment or materials in conformance with the marking requirements of an API standard is solely responsible for complying with all the applicable requirements of that standard. API does not represent, warrant, or guarantee that such products do in fact conform to the applicable API standard.

All rights reserved. No part of this work may be reproduced, translated, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise, without prior written permission from the publisher. Contact the Publisher, API Publishing Services, 200 Massachusetts Avenue, NW, Suite 1100, Washington, DC 20001-5571.

Foreword

Nothing contained in any API publication is to be construed as granting any right, by implication or otherwise, for the manufacture, sale, or use of any method, apparatus, or product covered by letters patent. Neither should anything contained in the publication be construed as insuring anyone against liability for infringement of letters patent.

The verbal forms used to express the provisions in this document are as follows.

Shall: As used in a standard, “shall” denotes a minimum requirement to conform to the standard.

Should: As used in a standard, “should” denotes a recommendation or that which is advised but not required to conform to the standard.

May: As used in a standard, “may” denotes a course of action permissible within the limits of a standard.

Can: As used in a standard, “can” denotes a statement of possibility or capability.

This document was produced under API standardization procedures that ensure appropriate notification and participation in the developmental process and is designated as an API standard. Questions concerning the interpretation of the content of this publication or comments and questions concerning the procedures under which this publication was developed should be directed in writing to the Director of Standards, American Petroleum Institute, 200 Massachusetts Avenue, NW, Suite 1100, Washington, DC 20001. Requests for permission to reproduce or translate all or any part of the material published herein should also be addressed to the director.

Generally, API standards are reviewed and revised, reaffirmed, or withdrawn at least every five years. A one-time extension of up to two years may be added to this review cycle. Status of the publication can be ascertained from the API Standards Department, telephone (202) 682-8000. A catalog of API publications and materials is published annually by API, 200 Massachusetts Avenue, NW, Suite 1100, Washington, DC 20001.

Suggested revisions are invited and should be submitted to the Standards Department, API, 200 Massachusetts Avenue, NW, Suite 1100, Washington, DC 20001, standards@api.org.

Currently in preview, click buy full version

Contents

	Page
1 Scope.....	1
2 Normative References	1
3 Terms, Definitions, Acronyms, and Abbreviations	2
3.1 Terms and Definitions	2
3.2 Abbreviations and Acronyms	6
4 Technical Overview	7
4.1 Selection Consideration	7
4.2 CPM System Features.....	7
5 Infrastructure Supports for CPM	9
5.1 Field Instrumentation and Measurement	9
5.2 Communications	11
5.3 SCADA.....	12
5.4 Integration of CPM and SCADA.....	13
5.5 Data Historian	14
6 CPM Operation, Maintenance, and Testing	14
6.1 CPM Operations	14
6.2 System Testing.....	16
6.3 Operating Issues.....	18
6.4 CPM System Data Retention.....	19
6.5 CPM Documentation.....	19
6.6 CPM Controller Training	20
Annex A (informative) Discussion of CPM Thresholds	22
Annex B (informative) Description of Types of Internal Based CPM Systems	24
Annex C (informative) Metrics and Other Pertinent Text from API Publication 1155	27
Bibliography.....	36

Figures

A.1 CPM Releases and Techniques.....	22
B.1 CPM Systems	24
C.1 Generalized Example of the Software-based Leak Detection Process	28
C.2 Examples of Sensitivity Curves Based on Different Operating Thresholds. These Examples are Typical of Systems that Operate on Accumulated Parameter Errors (e.g. Volume Balance)	31
C.3 Examples of Sensitivity Curves Typical of Event Oriented Systems. Such Systems Might Employ Pattern Recognition Techniques to Identify the Onset of a Leak.....	31
C.4 Tabular Format for the Ranking of the Level of Importance for Each Performance Metric, and an Optional Table for Qualitative or Quantitative Specification of Performance Criteria Related to Each Metric.....	35

Currently in preview, click buy full version

Computational Pipeline Monitoring for Liquids

1 Scope

This recommended practice (RP) focuses on the design, implementation, testing, and operation of CPM systems that use an algorithmic approach to detect hydraulic anomalies in liquid pipelines. The primary purpose of these systems is to provide tools that assist Pipeline Controllers in detecting commodity releases that are within the sensitivity of an algorithm. It is intended that the CPM system provide an alarm and display other related data to the Pipeline Controllers to aid in decision-making. The Pipeline Controllers would undertake an immediate investigation, confirm the reason for the alarm and initiate an operational response to the hydraulic anomaly when the alarm represents an irregular operating condition or abnormal operating condition or a commodity release.

The purpose of this recommended practice is to assist the Pipeline Operator in identifying issues relevant to the design, implementation, testing, and operation of a CPM system. This RP is intended for pipeline controllers and operators, CPM system developers and engineers, and others interested in CPM system design, implementation, and operation.

This RP includes definitions, source and reference documents, concepts of data acquisition, discussion of design and operation of a pipeline as related to CPM, field instrumentation for CPM purposes, alarm credibility, Pipeline Controller response, incident analysis, records retention, maintenance, system testing, training, considerations for setting alarm limits, trending, and recommendations for data presentation. The relationship between the Pipeline Controller and the CPM system is also discussed.

This recommended practice is written for liquid onshore or offshore trunkline systems. CPM systems have typically been applied to steel pipeline systems. CPM applicability and performance may be limited by the characteristics of non-steel pipelines.

This recommended practice was written considering single phase, liquid pipelines. Many of the principles apply to liquid pipelines in intermittent slack line flow or liquid pipelines that may have permanent slack line flow. This RP may not apply to the special case of determining leaks during shut-in conditions that occur when the line is shutdown (sometimes called static conditions) unless shut-in leak detection is part of the deployed CPM solution.

It is recognized that no single CPM methodology or technology is suitable for all pipelines because each pipeline system is unique in design and operation.

This recommended practice complements but does not replace other procedures for monitoring the integrity of the line. CPM systems are one part of an operator's leak detection program. For further information on leak detection programs, see API RP 1175.

2 Normative References

The following referenced documents are indispensable for the application of this document. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document applies (including any addenda/errata).

API RP 551, *Process Measurement Instrumentation*

API TR 1149, *Pipeline Variable Uncertainties and Their Effects on Leak Detectability*

API RP 1175, *Pipeline Leak Detection—Program Management*

Code of Federal Regulations, 49 CFR Part 195, *Transportation of Hazardous Liquids by Pipeline*