

Refinery Stream Composition Data— Update to Speciation Data in API 4723

API PUBLICATION 4723-A
DECEMBER 2018



AMERICAN PETROLEUM INSTITUTE

Executive Summary

The Petroleum Environmental Research Forum (PERF) completed a project in the 1990s to create a compilation of refinery process stream speciation data, which was later published as American Petroleum Institute Publication 4723 (API 4723). The refining industry has routinely used these data for various permitting and reporting purposes, such as estimating hazardous air pollutant (HAP) emissions from equipment fugitives, storage tanks, and loading operations; estimating emissions from miscellaneous process vents; and preparing Toxic Release Inventory (TRI) reports.

Since API 4723 was published, new regulatory requirements (e.g. Mobile Source Air Toxics rule, reformulated gasoline standards, low sulfur requirements) have resulted in refinery process changes that potentially altered the concentrations of species in process streams. Changing feedstocks, new process additives, and new catalysts may also have affected what species are present in specific process streams.

Therefore, PERF initiated a project to evaluate more recent process stream speciation data from several oil companies' refineries. The project results are provided in this report. Data were compiled for 68 refinery process streams using an expanded list of chemical species. The chemical species selected are those that can be found in refinery process streams and identified in hazardous air pollutant (HAP), persistent bioaccumulative toxic, or TRI lists. For a given process stream, up to 75 chemical species were targeted for inclusion to capture a wider range of volatile, semi-volatile, and metal HAP compounds, compared to the 24 species in the original study. Some concentration data were reported for additional species beyond the targeted list, such that data for a total of 89 different species are reflected in the project results. Most of the new species are metal HAP and heavier semi-volatile compounds.

Updates to laboratory methods have generally improved method accuracy and provide for lower method detection limits (MDLs) than were possible for the original PERF project. The lower detection limits contributed to an increase in the number of chemical species identified in various analytical test methods. The lower limits also allow for lowering the floor that was used in the original study—a default MDL that was set at 0.01 weight percent. By contrast, for the current study, the lowest MDL was for benzo(a)pyrene at 1E-08 weight percent in a coke record.

Based on the more recent data, this report provides updated most likely values (MLVs) associated with 68 refinery process streams. Many process streams had decreases in chemical species MLVs versus the original study. Some process streams had increases, but these results were generally supported by larger datasets. More chemical species may have been identified in a given process stream compared to the original study due to the expanded list of species or due to improved laboratory methods providing lower MDLs.

The material contained in this report will be of use in estimating the emissions of specific chemical species, preparing permit applications, and performing other environmental assessments. API, PERF, and the project participants make no claims as to the suitability or acceptability of the stream composition data reported herein for specific reporting or regulatory purposes.

Contents

	Page
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	iii
ACRONYMS AND DEFINITIONS	ix
1 INTRODUCTION	1
2 DATA GATHERING METHODOLOGY	3
2.1 GENERAL	3
2.2 IDENTIFICATION OF PROCESS STREAMS	3
2.3 IDENTIFICATION OF PROCESS SPECIES	3
2.4 CREATION OF DATA TEMPLATE AND INSTRUCTIONS FOR DATA GATHERING	5
2.5 DATA CONSISTENCY ANALYSIS	5
3 DATA ANALYSIS	5
3.1 DATA QUALITY ASSURANCE	5
3.2 DATA QUANTITY	6
3.3 EXCLUDED DATA AND REPLACED VALUES	6
3.4 STATISTICAL ANALYSIS	8
4 SUMMARY OF UPDATED PROCESS STREAM COMPOSITION DATA	11
4.1 GENERAL	11
4.2 REFINERY UNITS AND ASSOCIATED STREAMS	12
4.3 SPECIES INCLUDED IN ANALYSIS	14
4.4 COMPOSITIONS LISTED BY REFINERY UNITS AND STREAM	16
5 UPDATED STUDY COMPARED TO API 4723 DATA	78
Bibliography	265
 APPENDICES	
Appendix A—Process Flow Diagrams	97
Appendix B—Graphical Presentation of the Data	104
Appendix C—R Code for Data Conversion to Weight-Percent and Statistical Analysis	243
 FIGURES	
1 Summary of Data Quality Classes after Exclusions	7
2 Comparison of MLV Current and Original Study Results	78
 TABLES	
1 Process Units and Streams	2

Contents

	Page
2 Included Chemical Species	4
3 Definitions for MLV Calculations	10
4 MLV Procedure	11
5 Number of Annual Average Concentration Values for the Refinery Units and Streams	12
6 Number of Annual Average Concentration Values for each Chemical Species	14
7 ALKYLATION—Alkylation of C4 Olefin Feed	17
8 ALKYLATION—Alkylation of C4 Olefin Feed	18
9 ALKYLATION—Butane	18
10 ALKYLATION—LPG	19
11 AMINE TREATING—Fuel Gas	19
12 AMINE TREATING—Sour Gas	19
13 AMINE TREATING—Treated Olefinic	20
14 AROMATICS EXTRACT—Benzene	20
15 AROMATICS EXTRACT—Heavy Aromatics	21
16 AROMATICS EXTRACT—Toluene	21
17 AROMATICS EXTRACT—Xylenes	22
18 ASPHALT PLANT—(Feed) Pitch	22
19 ASPHALT PLANT—Asphalt	23
20 ASPHALT PLANT—Used Solvents	24
21 ATMOSPHERIC DISTILLATION—Atmospheric Residue	25
22 ATMOSPHERIC DISTILLATION—Heavy Atmospheric Gas Oil	25
23 ATMOSPHERIC DISTILLATION—Heavy Naphtha	27
24 ATMOSPHERIC DISTILLATION—Light Atmospheric Gas Oil	28
25 ATM DISTILLATION—Light Ends	30
26 ATMOSPHERIC DISTILLATION—Straight Run Gasoline	31
27 ATMOSPHERIC DISTILLATION—Straight Run Kerosene	32
28 ATMOSPHERIC DISTILLATION—Straight Run Naphtha	33
29 CATALYTIC HYDROCRACKER—Heavy H/C Distillate	34
30 CATALYTIC HYDROCRACKER—Heavy H/C Naphtha	36
31 CATALYTIC HYDROCRACKER—Light H/C Distillate	37
32 CATALYTIC HYDROCRACKER—Light H/C Naphtha	38
33 CATALYTIC HYDROCRACKER—Saturated Gas	39
34 CATALYTIC HYDROCRACKER—Cat Cracker Feed	40

Contents

	Page
35 CATALYTIC ISOMERIZATION—Isobutane	41
36 CATALYTIC ISOMERIZATION—Isom Naphtha/Isomerate	42
37 CATALYTIC ISOMERIZATION—Isopentane	42
38 CATALYTIC REFORMER—Reformate	43
39 CATALYTIC REFORMER—Reformate Gas	45
40 CAUSTIC TREATING—Spent Caustic	46
41 COKER—Coke	47
42 COKER—Coker Gas Oil	48
43 COKER—Coker Heavy Naphtha	49
44 COKER—Coker Light Naphtha	50
45 COKER—Coker Total Naphtha	52
46 COKER—Unsaturated Gas	52
47 CRACKED GAS PLANT—Fuel Gas	53
48 CRACKED GAS PLANT—Olefins	53
49 CRACKED GAS PLANT—Sour Gas	53
50 CRACKED GAS PLANT—Unsaturated Gas	54
51 DISTILLATE BLENDING—Diesel Fuel	54
52 DISTILLATE BLENDING—Home Heating Oil	55
53 FLUID CATALYTIC CRACKER—Cracked Gasoline	55
54 FLUID CATALYTIC CRACKER—Heavy Cat Gas Oil	56
55 FLUID CATALYTIC CRACKER—Light Cat Gas Oil	58
56 GASOLINE BLENDING—Aviation Gasoline	59
57 GASOLINE BLENDING—Conventional Gasoline	60
58 GASOLINE BLENDING—Reformulated Gasoline	61
59 HYDRODESULFURIZATION—Diesel	62
60 HYDRODESULFURIZATION—Heating Oil	63
61 HYDRODESULFURIZATION—Jet/Kerosene	64
62 HYDRODESULFURIZATION—Naphtha	65
63 KEROSENE TREATING—Commercial Jet Fuel	67
64 LUBE UNIT—Solvent Refined Lubes	67
65 NAPHTHA PRETREAT—Desulfurized Naphtha	68
66 POLYMERIZATION—Butane	69
67 POLYMERIZATION—Propane	69

Contents

	Page
68 SUPPLY SYSTEM—Crude Oil	70
69 VACUUM DISTILLATION—Heavy Vacuum Gas Oil	72
70 VACUUM DISTILLATION—Light Vacuum Gas Oil	73
71 VACUUM DISTILLATION—Vacuum Naphtha	73
72 VACUUM DISTILLATION—Vacuum Residue	74
73 VARIOUS UNITS—Slip Oil	75
74 VISBREAKER—Cracked Residue	77
75 Comparison of Current Analysis with Original Analysis	79

Acronyms

API	American Petroleum Institute
CAS #	Chemical Abstracts Service number
CAT	catalytic
H/C	hydrocracker or hydrocrackate
HAP	hazardous air pollutant
LPG	liquefied petroleum gas
Max	maximum
MDL	method detection limit
Min	minimum
MLV	most likely value
ND	non-detectable or non-detect
PAH	polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons
PERF	Petroleum Environmental Research Forum
TRI	Toxic Release Inventory
US EPA	United States Environmental Protection Agency

Definitions

Dataset: Set of records for a given process stream and chemical species combination

MDL: Each analytical method has a lower concentration limit that can be measured and reported with 99 % confidence that the chemical species is present. This concentration is the method detection limit for determining that the chemical species is present in the mixture.

ND: Non-detect is the result provided in an analytical test for a chemical species that cannot be determined to be present at or above the MDL. If the chemical species is expected to be present in the mixture, the concentration is below the MDL. If the chemical species is not expected to be present, a zero may be substituted for a ND result.

Number of analyses: Number of measurements used to calculate the annual average concentration for a record.

N Data: Number of records; also equal to N_{Obs} .

N_{Obs} : Number of records, also equal to N Data.

N Quantified: Number of records that have quantified values—i.e. values reported at or greater than the method detection levels.

R (R Code): An integrated suite of software facilities for data manipulation, calculation, and graphical display. The software provides a wide variety of statistical (linear and nonlinear modeling, classical statistical tests, time-series analysis, classification, clustering, etc.) and graphical techniques.

Record: A row in the database providing all data associated with a submission of an annual average concentration (number of analyses, number of ND, test method, minimum value, maximum value, MDL, etc.).

Refinery Stream Composition Data—Update to Speciation Data in API 4723

1 Introduction

Passage of the Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990 created a number of new compliance challenges for the United States refining industry. To provide improved approaches to meeting these challenges and allow leveraging of research expenditures, a number of the members of the Petroleum Environmental Research Forum (PERF) formed the Cooperative Air Program (CAP). The CAP consisted of a number of individual research projects, including a project to develop industry-representative concentration profiles for refinery process streams. This effort was undertaken to improve emissions estimates for regulatory applications, determinations, operating permits, risk assessments, and other applications. The results of the CAP work were published as API Publication 4723, *Refinery Stream Speciation* [1].

The refinery stream speciation profiles presented in API 4723 were developed from available stream sampling data from participating PERF companies. Most of these data were collected in the 1980s and 1990s. The speciation profiles were based on analyses from 31 refineries, over 20 different process units, and 65 refinery process streams. One of the most significant observations was the wide range of the reported concentrations for some of the chemical species. Since process units usually monitor and control operations based on physical properties such as temperature or pressure, the range of compositions in a specific process stream may vary widely depending on the product slate, processing severity, and other factors.

Since the publication of API 4723 in 2002, new regulatory requirements have resulted in many changes in refinery processes that may have altered process stream composition. Changing feedstocks, new process additives, and new catalysts may also have affected the concentrations of chemical species present in specific process streams.

Based on an assessment of the range and depth of more recent stream speciation data, PERF elected to update the stream speciation profiles using more recent composition sampling. The updated profiles are provided in this report. PERF members believe that the newer data are more representative due to improved sampling and analytical techniques and that these newer analyses better reflect changes in refinery operations over recent years.

A large database of records was collected for the current study, representing information from 25 refineries. Each record includes the annual average concentration of a chemical species in a given process stream for a given refinery. For this report, *dataset* refers to a collection of records for a given process stream and chemical species combination.

The original study reported on 24 chemical species and the current study provides data on 89 species. The original study reported on 25 refinery process streams and the current project provides data on 68 process streams.

The process units and streams considered are shown in Table 1.

This report is divided into a number of sections that describe the data collection and analysis procedures, contain tables of stream compositions, and provide a graphical presentation of the data.

Section 2 provides a summary of the data gathering methodology. It contains a list of the process units and streams, and the chemical species included in the study.

Section 3 provides the data analysis methodology, including summaries of data quality and quantity.