

Assessment of Beached Bird Modeling Methods

API PUBLICATION 355
AUGUST 2009



Currently in preview, click buy full version

Assessment of Beached Bird Modeling Methods

Regulatory and Scientific Affairs Department

AUGUST 2009



Special Notes

API publications necessarily address problems of a general nature. With respect to particular circumstances, local, state, and federal laws and regulations should be reviewed.

Neither API nor any of API's employees, subcontractors, consultants, committees, or other assignees make any warranty or representation, either express or implied, with respect to the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of the information contained herein, or assume any liability or responsibility for any use, or the results of such use, of any information or process disclosed in this publication. Neither API nor any of API's employees, subcontractors, consultants, or other assignees represent that use of this publication would not infringe upon privately owned rights.

API publications may be used by anyone desiring to do so. Every effort has been made by the Institute to assure the accuracy and reliability of the data contained in them; however, the Institute makes no representation, warranty, or guarantee in connection with this publication and hereby expressly disclaims any liability or responsibility for loss or damage resulting from its use or for the violation of any authorities having jurisdiction with which this publication may conflict.

API publications are published to facilitate the broad availability of proven, sound engineering and operating practices. These publications are not intended to obviate the need for applying sound engineering judgment regarding when and where these publications should be utilized. The formulation and publication of API publications is not intended in any way to inhibit anyone from using any other practices.

Any manufacturer marking equipment or materials in conformance with the marking requirements of an API standard is solely responsible for complying with all the applicable requirements of that standard. API does not represent, warrant, or guarantee that such products do in fact conform to the applicable API standard.

Copyright © 2009 American Petroleum Institute. No part of this work may be reproduced, translated, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise, without prior written permission from the publisher. Contact the Publisher, API Publishing Services, 1220 L Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20005.

Foreword

Nothing contained in any API publication is to be construed as granting any right, by implication or otherwise, for the manufacture, sale, or use of any method, apparatus, or product covered by letters patent. Neither should anything contained in the publication be construed as insuring anyone against liability for infringement of letters patent.

Suggested revisions are invited and should be submitted to the Director of Regulatory and Scientific Affairs, API, 1220 L Street, NW, Washington, D.C. 20005.

Currently in preview, click buy full version

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	INTRODUCTION	1-1
2.0	ALTERNATIVE METHODS FOR ESTIMATING AVIAN MORTALITY	2-1
2.1	LITERATURE TRANSFER	2-1
2.2	SWEPT THROUGH CALCULATIONS.....	2-2
2.3	BEACHED BIRD MODELING	2-4
2.4	CHOOSING A MORTALITY ESTIMATING METHOD.....	2-6
2.5	DECISION MAKING WITHIN THE NRD FRAMEWORK.....	2-6
3.0	BEACHED BIRD MODELING MECHANICS	3-1
4.0	CRITICAL RESPONSE ACTIVITY AND POST-HOC STUDIES.....	4-1
4.1	SEARCH PATTERN	4-1
4.2	VECTOR OF CARCASS COLLECTIONS	4-5
4.3	PHYSICAL REMOVAL.....	4-6
4.4	SEARCH EFFICIENCY	4-7
4.5	BACKGROUND DEPOSITION.....	4-9
4.6	SINKING	4-10
5.0	ESTIMATING MORTALITY USING THE BBM	5-1
5.1	STEPWISE PARALLEL APPROACH TO BBM.....	5-1
5.2	CRITICAL BBM ASSUMPTIONS AND DATA THAT CAN BE USED TO EVALUATE THEM.....	5-2
6.0	BBM SENSITIVITY EXPERIMENTS AND POTENTIAL REFINEMENTS	6-1
6.1	EXPERIMENTS AND APPROACHES.....	6-1
7.0	BBM SUMMARY AND RECOMMENDATIONS	7-1
7.1	SWEPT THROUGH OR BBM MORTALITY ESTIMATION.....	7-1
7.2	EMERGENCY RESPONSE STAFFING.....	7-1
7.3	MANAGING SEARCH EFFORT.....	7-2
7.4	GATHERING INPUT DATA SETS.....	7-3
7.5	GUIDANCE ON BBM SET-UP AND IMPLEMENTATION.....	7-4
8.0	WORKS CITED.....	8-1

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE 2.2.1-1. NRDAM/CME ASSUMPTIONS REGARDING THE JOINT PROBABILITY OF AT RISK BIRDS BECOMING OILED AND EXPERIENCING MORTALITY.....	2-4
TABLE 3.1-1. DATA INCLUDED IN A BBM SEARCH PATTERN AND VECTOR OF CARCASS COLLECTIONS.....	3-1
TABLE 3.1-2. THE PROBABILITY THAT A CARCASS WILL PERSIST A GIVEN NUMBER OF DAYS AFTER IT IS DEPOSITED.....	3-2
TABLE 3.1-3. THE PROBABILITY THAT A CARCASS DEPOSITED AT AN UNKNOWN TIME WITHIN A SEARCH INTERVAL WILL PERSIST UNTIL THE SEARCH	3-2
TABLE 3.1-4. TOTAL CARCASS DEPOSITION RATES	3-4
TABLE 3.1-5. SPILL-RELATED CARCASS DEPOSITION RATES.....	3-4
TABLE 4.4-1. SINGLE SEARCHER EFFICIENCIES ESTIMATED IN KURE STUDY.....	4-8

TABLE 4.4-2. SEARCH EFFICIENCIES FOR FOOT BASED SEARCHES ON LARGE COBBLE/BOULDER SHORELINES.....	4-8
TABLE 6.1.1-1. THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN SEARCH FREQUENCY AND EXPECTED ERROR.	6-2
TABLE B-1.0-1. SAMPLE SEARCH PATTERN	8-9
TABLE B-1.0-2. DATA PACKAGE ASSOCIATED WITH EACH MORTALITY SCENARIO.	8-10
TABLE B-1.0-2. DATA PACKAGE ASSOCIATED WITH EACH MORTALITY SCENARIO (CONTINUED).	8-11
TABLE C-1.0-1. QUICK REFERENCE STAFFING GUIDE	8-15
TABLE C-1.0-2. QUICK REFERENCE TO POTENTIAL BIRD SEARCH EFFORT.	8-16
TABLE C-1.0-3. QUICK REFERENCE FOR CREATING A VECTOR OF CARCASS COLLECTIONS.	8-16
TABLE C-1.0-4. QUICK REFERENCE FOR CONSTRUCTION OF NON-EPHEMERAL DATA SETS.	8-17
TABLE C-1.0-5. QUICK REFERENCE FOR BBM SET-UP AND IMPLEMENTATION.	8-18

Currently in preview, click buy full version

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE 2.4-1.	SELECTING A BIRD MORTALITY ASSESSMENT METHOD.....	2-7
FIGURE 6.1.2-1	BIAS ASSOCIATED WITH OMITTED SEARCH.	6-3
FIGURE 6.1.3-1.	BIAS ASSOCIATED WITH MISS-SPECIFIED SEARCH EFFICIENCY.	6-4
FIGURE 6.1.4-1.	BIAS ASSOCIATED WITH MISS-SPECIFIED PERSISTENCE RATES.	6-5
FIGURE 6.1.5-1.	ASSESSMENT OF ALTERNATIVE SCHEMES TO ADDRESS PARTIAL SEARCH.....	6-7
FIGURE 6.1.6-1	MODELING BIRDS COLLECTED BETWEEN SEARCHES.....	6-8
FIGURE 6.1.8-1	USER SPECIFIED PATTERN OF DEPOSITION THROUGH TIME.....	6-10
FIGURE 6.1.8-2	ALTERNATIVE METHODS FOR ADDRESSING TEMPORAL PATTERNS OF CARCASS DEPOSITION.....	6-11
FIGURE 6.1.11-1	MORTALITY SCENARIOS WITH OUTLIER MULTIPLIERS	6-15

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX A: ALTERNATIVE BBM MODELS

APPENDIX B: DETAILED EXPERIMENTAL METHODS

APPENDIX C: QUICK REFERENCE AID TO BEACHED BIRD MODELING

1.0 Introduction

Potential avian impacts are often a key liability component of Natural Resource Damage Assessment (NRD) under the Oil Pollution Act of 1990 (OPA). The foundation of those liability estimates is the estimate of total acute avian mortality. Indeed, many Trustee agencies, including the United States Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS), and the California Office of Spill Prevention and Response (OSPR), currently translate acute mortality estimates directly into injury and restoration projects using predetermined conversion factors based on “standard” Resource Equivalency Analysis (REA) (See Sperduto et al. 2003 for USFWS methods, and CDFG 2004 for OSPR). As such, liability levels are largely established once total acute mortality is estimated.

The methods used to estimate total acute mortality following an oil spill fall into three broad categories: literature transfer (RIDEM 1998), Beached Bird Modeling (BBM) (Ford 1987), and swept through calculations (French et al. 1996). Among the three, literature transfer requires the least amount of data but also generates the most uncertain results. Beached Bird Modeling is the most data intensive method, but is generally thought to generate the most reliable results. Swept through calculations are intermediate in both data requirements and uncertainty.

This paper has three purposes. The first is to provide NRD practitioners with an overview of methods commonly used to assess acute avian mortality following oil spills. The second purpose is to provide NRD practitioners with an understanding of the BBM, the data required to support BBM modeling, uncertainties associated with BBM results, and steps that can be taken to reduce those uncertainties. Finally, we outline methods designed to help Trustee and responsible party representatives cooperatively identify optimal strategies throughout the BBM process.

The BBM has been selected for in depth analysis for several reasons:

- The BBM has been the assessment tool of choice for most recent west coast spills (Kure, New Carissa, Stuyvesant, Luckenback);
- The BBM was recently used on a major east coast spill (Bouchard Barge-120 in Buzzards Bay MA);
- USFWS recently conducted training to facilitate wider use of the BBM on the east coast; and
- BBM results can be sensitive to subtle variations in assumptions and modeling approach. These sensitivities have not been addressed in the literature.

Our goal is to facilitate an understanding of the input data required by the BBM, the uncertainty associated with the BBM, and how spill response and post-hoc activities can be used to address those uncertainties.

The main body of this report is divided into an additional six sections:

- Section 2: Alternative methods for estimating acute avian mortality;
- Section 3: BBM mechanics;
- Section 4: Critical response activity and post-hoc studies in support of BBM;
- Section 5: Estimating mortality using the BBM;
- Section 6: BBM sensitivity; and
- Section 7: Summary and BBM recommendations based on expected benefits and costs.

Appendix C is a series of short tables designed to help the NRD responder make BBM related decisions in an emergency response situation.