

Practices for Mitigating Surface Impacts Associated with Hydraulic Fracturing

API GUIDANCE DOCUMENT HF3
FIRST EDITION, JANUARY 2011



AMERICAN PETROLEUM INSTITUTE

Currently in preview, click buy full version

Practices for Mitigating Surface Impacts Associated with Hydraulic Fracturing

Upstream Segment

API GUIDANCE DOCUMENT HF3
FIRST EDITION, JANUARY 2011



AMERICAN PETROLEUM INSTITUTE

Special Notes

API publications necessarily address problems of a general nature. With respect to particular circumstances, local, state, and federal laws and regulations should be reviewed.

Neither API nor any of API's employees, subcontractors, consultants, committees, or other assignees make any warranty or representation, either express or implied, with respect to the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of the information contained herein, or assume any liability or responsibility for any use, or the results of such use, of any information or process disclosed in this publication. Neither API nor any of API's employees, subcontractors, consultants, or other assignees represent that use of this publication would not infringe upon privately owned rights.

Users of this guidance document should not rely exclusively on the information contained in this document. Sound business, scientific, engineering, and safety judgment should be used in employing the information contained herein.

API is not undertaking to meet the duties of employers, manufacturers, or suppliers to warn and properly train and equip their employees, and others exposed, concerning health and safety risks and precautions, or undertaking their obligations to comply with authorities having jurisdiction.

Information concerning safety and health risks and proper precautions with respect to particular materials and conditions should be obtained from the employer, the manufacturer or supplier of the material, or the material safety datasheet.

Where applicable, authorities having jurisdiction should be consulted.

Work sites and equipment operations may differ. Users are solely responsible for assessing their specific equipment and premises in determining the appropriateness of applying the publication. At all times users should employ sound business, scientific, engineering, and judgment safety when using this publication.

API publications may be used by anyone desiring to do so. Every effort has been made by the Institute to assure the accuracy and reliability of the data contained in them; however, the Institute makes no representation, warranty, or guarantee in connection with this publication and hereby expressly disclaims any liability or responsibility for loss or damage resulting from its use or for the violation of any authorities having jurisdiction with which this publication may conflict.

API publications are published to facilitate the broad availability of proven, sound engineering and operating practices. These publications are not intended to obviate the need for applying sound engineering judgment regarding when and where these publications should be utilized. The formulation and publication of API publications is not intended in any way to inhibit anyone from using any other practices.

Copyright reserved. No part of this work may be reproduced, translated, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise, without prior written permission from the publisher. Contact the Publisher, API Publishing Services, 1220 L Street, NW, Washington, DC 20005.

Foreword

Nothing contained in any API publication is to be construed as granting any right, by implication or otherwise, for the manufacture, sale, or use of any method, apparatus, or product covered by letters patent. Neither should anything contained in the publication be construed as insuring anyone against liability for infringement of letters patent.

Shall: As used in a publication, “shall” denotes a minimum requirement in order to conform to the publication.

Should: As used in a publication, “should” denotes a recommendation or that which is advised but not required in order to conform to the specification.

Suggested revisions are invited and should be submitted to the Standards Department, API, 1220 L Street, NW, Washington, DC 20005, standards@api.org.

Currently in preview, click buy full version

Contents

	Page
Executive Summary	vii
1 Scope	1
2 Terms and Definitions	1
3 Introduction and Overview	4
4 Stakeholder Engagement	5
5 Wide-scale Development	5
6 Selection of Hydraulic Fracturing Fluids	7
7 Management of Chemicals and Materials	7
8 Transport of Chemicals and Other Materials	8
9 Pre-job Planning	9
10 Water Management	9
10.1 General	9
10.2 On-site Fluid Handling	10
10.3 Surface Impoundments and Storage Tanks	11
10.4 Spill Prevention and Control	11
10.5 Storm Water Management and Control	13
11 Maintaining Equipment and Facilities	13
11.1 General	13
11.2 Equipment Maintenance	13
11.3 Inspections	14
11.4 Facility Maintenance	14
11.5 Pipeline Maintenance	15
12 Minimizing Surface Disturbance	15
12.1 General	15
12.2 Mitigating Impacts Associated with Site Selection	15
13 Protecting Air Quality	16
14 Preserving Visual Resources	16
15 Mitigating Noise Impacts	16
Bibliography	18

Currently in preview, click buy full version

Executive Summary

Hydraulic fracturing has played an important role in the development of America's oil and gas resources for nearly 60 years. In the U.S., an estimated 35,000 wells are hydraulically fractured annually and it is estimated that well over one million wells have been hydraulically fractured since the first well in the late 1940s. As production from conventional oil and gas fields continues to mature, the need for hydraulic fracturing becomes even more important to the economic recovery of non-conventional resources.

This guidance document identifies and describes best practices currently used in the oil and natural gas industry to minimize potential surface environmental impacts associated with hydraulic fracturing operations. It complements two other API documents: API Guidance Document HF1, *Hydraulic Fracturing Operations—Well Construction and Integrity Guidelines*, First Edition, October 2009, which focuses on groundwater protection related to drilling and hydraulic fracturing operations [1] while specifically highlighting recommended practices for well construction and the integrity of hydraulically fractured wells, and API Guidance Document HF2, *Water Management Associated with Hydraulic Fracturing*, First Edition, June 2010 [2].

A fourth related guidance document, API 51R, *Environmental Protection for Onshore Oil and Gas Production Operations and Leases*, First Edition, July 2009 [3], addresses the design and construction of access roads and well locations prior to drilling, as well as site abandonment, reclamation and restoration operations, including produced water handling.

While hydraulic fracturing does not introduce new or unique environmental risks to exploration and production (E&P) operations, concerns have been raised due to the potential scale of operations where this technology is applied, especially with regard to emerging developments in shale gas in the United States. Many of the best practices for E&P operations are the same as those applicable to hydraulic fracturing operations.

Moreover, where shale gas development intersects with urban settings, regulators and the industry have developed special practices to alleviate potential nuisances and sensitive environmental resources impacts, along with interference with existing commercial activity. Operators need to be vigilant and proactive in mitigating potential environmental impacts from E&P operations, including hydraulic fracturing operations. The following provides highlights from this guidance document:

- 1) Operators must comply with all federal, state and local requirements. Approvals may be necessary for many activities including:
 - surface water use;
 - wastewater management;
 - injection activities;
 - site construction;
 - storm water discharges;
 - air emissions; and
 - protection of sensitive areas.
- 2) Two principal reasons for recent concerns regarding hydraulic fracturing, especially as applied in the development of shale gas, are: the increase in well permitting in a number of regions in the U.S. and the new development activity in areas that have not experienced concentrated oil and gas development in the past. Consequently, operators should be cognizant of the increase in public scrutiny of fracturing operations, be

proactive in communicating to, and working with, communities and local regulatory authorities, and minimize, whenever possible, the impacts of their operations. For example, the use of multi-well pads when feasible, which can consolidate water storage, minimize overall footprint, reduce truck traffic and allow for centralized management of fluids.

- 3) Like all oil and gas E&P operations, before hydraulic fracturing operations are initiated, approvals from one or more government agencies are required. Operators must obtain all necessary permits before commencing operations, and ensure that operations comply with the requirements of local, state and federal regulatory authorities. Proactive engagement with surface owners and/or surface users to inform the owners about the operations prior to project initiation is also recommended. Upon initial development, planning and resource extraction of a new basin, operators should review the available information and, if necessary, assess the baseline characteristics.
- 4) To alleviate concerns associated with fracture fluid management, hydraulic fracturing operations should be planned and designed in a manner that manages materials and protects the environment. All components of fracture fluids, including water, additives and proppants, should be managed properly on site before, during and after the fracturing process. Both the operator and on-site contractors should require that all responsible personnel involved in the fracturing job and in pre- and post-fracture activities be trained in the transportation and handling of fluids, chemicals and other materials associated with the process. Personnel should be trained on the equipment to be used and the procedures to be implemented to prevent leaks and spills during fracturing operations.
- 5) State authorities must retain the ability to assess potential incident response needs and plan accordingly, with appropriate confidentiality protections. To balance the protection of trade secrets with the public's need to know, proprietary formulations should be disclosed upon request by designated state agency representatives and health professionals in the event of an emergency, or when designated state agency representatives and health professionals demonstrate a need to know such information.
- 6) Using hydraulic fracturing fluids in an environmentally safe way means that the base fluid and any additives are sourced, transported, prepared, pumped into the formation, returned from the formation, reused/recycled, and/or finally disposed of in a way that is fully compliant with all federal, state, and local regulations.
- 7) Surface impoundments, including those used for storing fracture fluids, must be constructed in accordance with existing regulations. Depending on the fluids being placed in the impoundment, the duration of the storage and the soil conditions, impoundment design and construction should be impervious to prevent infiltration of fluids into the subsurface. All surface impoundments must be properly closed in accordance with all local, state and/or federal regulations. Materials removed from impoundments should be reclaimed, recycled or disposed.
- 8) Fracture fluids should be managed according to federal and state regulations. Fracturing operations should be conducted in a manner that minimizes the potential for any unplanned release and movement beyond the site boundaries. Spill prevention, response and cleanup procedures should be in place prior to initiating activities that have a potential for a spill. The best way to avoid adverse effects of spills is to prevent their occurrence.
- 9) Hydraulic fracturing is a highly technical process performed by trained personnel. Equipment should be maintained, inspected and tested to assure proper operating integrity and reliability. Facilities and equipment should be kept clean, maintained and operated in a safe and environmentally sound manner. All leaks should be immediately contained and repairs initiated upon discovery—as safety permits. Any spill or leak should be addressed promptly and reported to the site manager for proper identification, management, cleanup and appropriate regulatory actions. It may be necessary to fence operations to prevent access to the facility by the general public, livestock or wildlife.
- 10) Public concerns relating to fracturing operations may be heightened by the location chosen for the well and the techniques used in constructing the access road and the overall site. To the extent practicable,

consideration for siting a well location might include visual impact of the operational layout; preservation of salient natural features such as natural terrain, trees, groves, waterways and other similar resources; and minimizing cut and fill operations.

- 11) Truck traffic creates additional concern in populated areas of development. Opportunities to reduce truck traffic might include use of flowlines to transport fluids. Where feasible, producers are increasingly turning to temporary surface flowlines to transport fresh water to impoundments and to wellsites. However, in many situations, the transport of fluids associated with hydraulic fracturing by surface pipeline may not be practical, cost effective or even feasible. Multi-well pads allow centralized water storage and management of flowback water, reducing truck transport. In some cases, it can also enhance the option of pipeline transport of water. Often, operators are able to construct storage ponds and drill source wells in cooperation with private property owners to provide close access to a water source and add improvements to the property that benefit the landowner.

Practices for Mitigating Surface Impacts Associated with Hydraulic Fracturing

1 Scope

The purpose of this guidance document is to identify and describe practices currently used in the oil and natural gas industry to minimize surface environmental impacts—potential impacts on surface water, soils, wildlife, other surface ecosystems and nearby communities—associated with hydraulic fracturing operations. While this document focuses primarily on issues associated with operations in deep shale gas developments, it also describes the important distinctions related to hydraulic fracturing in other applications.

2 Terms and Definitions

For the purposes of this document, the following definitions apply.

2.1

aquifer

A subsurface formation that is sufficiently permeable to conduct groundwater and to yield economically significant quantities of water to wells and springs.

2.2

basin

A closed geologic structure in which the beds dip toward a central location; the youngest rocks are at the center of a basin and are partly or completely ringed by progressively older rocks.

2.3

casing

Steel piping positioned in a wellbore and cemented in place to prevent the soil or rock from caving in. It also serves to isolate formations and water zones from production fluids, such as water, gas and oil, from the surrounding geologic formations.

2.4

completion

Following drilling, the activities and methods to prepare a well for production, including the installation of equipment to produce a well.

2.5

downhole

Located in a wellbore.

2.6

flowback

The fracture and produced fluids that return to surface after a hydraulic fracture is completed.

2.7

formation (geologic)

A rock body distinguishable from other rock bodies and useful for mapping or description. Formations may be combined into groups or subdivided into members.

2.8

fracturing fluids

A mixture of water, proppant (often sand) and additives used to hydraulically induce cracks in the target formation.