

Currently in preview, click buy full version

ACI 544.6R-15

# Report on Design and Construction of Steel Fiber-Reinforced Concrete Elevated Slabs

Reported by ACI Committee 544



American Concrete Institute  
*Always advancing*



## Report on Design and Construction of Steel Fiber-Reinforced Concrete Elevated Slabs

Copyright by the American Concrete Institute, Farmington Hills, MI. All rights reserved. This material may not be reproduced or copied, in whole or part, in any printed, mechanical, electronic, film, or other distribution and storage media, without the written consent of ACI.

The technical committees responsible for ACI committee reports and standards strive to avoid ambiguities, omissions, and errors in these documents. In spite of these efforts, the users of ACI documents occasionally find information or requirements that may be subject to more than one interpretation or may be incomplete or incorrect. Users who have suggestions for the improvement of ACI documents are requested to contact ACI via the errata website at <http://concrete.org/Publications/DocumentErrata.aspx>. Proper use of this document includes periodically checking for errata for the most up-to-date revisions.

ACI committee documents are intended for the use of individuals who are competent to evaluate the significance and limitations of its content and recommendations and who will accept responsibility for the application of the material it contains. Individuals who use this publication in any way assume all risk and accept total responsibility for the application and use of this information.

All information in this publication is provided "as is" without warranty of any kind, either express or implied, including but not limited to, the implied warranties of merchantability, fitness for a particular purpose or non-infringement.

ACI and its members disclaim liability for damages of any kind, including any special, indirect, incidental, or consequential damages, including without limitation, lost revenues or lost profits, which may result from the use of this publication.

It is the responsibility of the user of this document to establish health and safety practices appropriate to the specific circumstances involved with its use. ACI does not make any representations with regard to health and safety issues and the use of this document. The user must determine the applicability of all regulatory limitations before applying the document and must comply with all applicable laws and regulations, including but not limited to, United States Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) health and safety standards.

Participation by governmental representatives in the work of the American Concrete Institute and in the development of Institute standards does not constitute governmental endorsement of ACI or the standards that it develops.

Order information: ACI documents are available in print, by download, on CD-ROM, through electronic subscription, or reprint and may be obtained by contacting ACI.

Most ACI standards and committee reports are gathered together in the annually revised ACI Manual of Concrete Practice (MCP).

**American Concrete Institute**  
38800 Country Club Drive  
Farmington Hills, MI 48331  
Phone: +1.248.848.3700  
Fax: +1.248.848.3701

[www.concrete.org](http://www.concrete.org)

# Report on Design and Construction of Steel Fiber-Reinforced Concrete Elevated Slabs

Reported by ACI Committee 544

Barzin Mobasher,\*† Chair  
Neven Krstulovic-Opara, Secretary

Clifford N. MacDonald, Membership Secretary

Corina-Maria Aldea  
Nemkumar Banthia  
Joaquim Oliveira Barros\*  
Gordon B. Batson  
Vivek S. Bindiganavile  
Peter H. Bischoff  
Jean-Philippe Charron  
Xavier Destree\*  
Ashish Dubey  
Philip J. Dyer  
Mahmut Ekenel  
Gregor D. Fischer  
Dean P. Forgeron  
Antonio Gallovlch  
Graham T. Gilbert  
Vellore S. Gopalaratnam  
Antonio J. Guerra  
Rishi Gupta  
Carol D. Hays  
George C. Hoff  
Allen J. Hulshizer  
Akm Anwarul Islam

John Jones  
David A. Lange  
Maria Lopez de Murphy  
Michael A. Mahoney  
Bruno Massicotte  
Christian Meyer  
Nicholas C. Mitchell Jr.  
Gerald H. Morton  
Antoine E. Naaman\*  
Jeffrey L. Novak  
Mark E. Patton  
Max L. Porter  
John H. Pye  
Venkataswamy Ramakrishnan  
Klaus Alexander Rieder  
Pierre Rossi  
Surendra P. Shah  
Konstantin Sobolev  
Jim D. Speakman Sr.\*  
Peter C. Tatnall‡  
Houssam A. Toutanji  
George J. Venturini

Gary L. Vondran  
Kay Wille  
Robert Wojtysiak  
Carla V. Yland  
Robert C. Zellers  
Lihe Zhang  
Ronald F. Zollo  
Consulting Members  
Asbraf I. Ahmed  
Clayton Ball  
Hiroshi P. Ball Jr.  
Leonard W. Bell  
John Bentur  
Andrzej M. Brandt  
Mark A. Bury  
Jonas Carlswald  
Zhi-Yuan Chen  
James I. Daniel  
Sidney Freedman  
Bob Grace  
C. Geoffrey Hampson

Yves L. Lacroix  
David R. Lankard  
Pritpal S. Mangat  
Winston A. Marsden  
Henry N. Marsh Jr.  
James R. McConaghy  
Henry J. Molloy  
Dudley R. Morgan  
Dirk E. Nemegeer  
Michael J. Roach  
Morris Schupack‡  
Ake Skarendahl  
Ben L. Tilsen  
Eldon G. Tipping  
Jean Francois Trottier

\*Members who prepared this report.  
†Chair of the task group who drafted this report.  
‡Deceased.

Construction of slabs in areas with weak soil conditions has commonly used pile-supported slab structural design, so that the adverse effects of soil-structure interaction in terms of differential settlement, cracking, or long-term serviceability problems are avoided. In this application, the construction of slabs on closely spaced pile caps (typical span-depth ratios between 8 and 30) is referred to as elevated ground slabs (EGSs). These slabs may be subjected to moderately high loading, such as concentrated point loading of up to 44 kip (156 kN) and uniformly distributed loadings of 1000 lb/ft<sup>2</sup> (50 kN/m<sup>2</sup>). The dynamic loadings may be due to moving loads, such as forklifts, travel lifts, and other

material handling equipment. Fiber-reinforced concrete (FRC) has been successfully used to address the structural design of these slabs. Based on the knowledge gained, the area has been extended to a construction practice for slabs supported by columns as well. Applications are further extended to multi-story building applications. This report addresses the methodology for analysis, design, and construction of steel FRC (SFRC) slabs supported on piles or columns (also called elevated SFRC [E-SFRC]). Sections of the report address the history, practice, applications, material testing, full-scale testing, and certifications. By compiling the practice and knowledge in the analysis design with FRC materials, the steps in the design approach based on ultimate strength approach using two-way slab mechanisms are presented. The behavior of a two-way system may not require the flexural strength of conventional reinforced concrete (RC) because of redistribution, redundancy, and failure mechanisms.

Methods of construction, curing, and full-scale testing of slabs are also presented. A high dosage of deformed steel fibers (85 to 170

ACI Committee Reports, Guides, and Commentaries are intended for guidance in planning, designing, executing, and inspecting construction. This document is intended for the use of individuals who are competent to evaluate the significance and limitations of its content and recommendations and who will accept responsibility for the application of the information it contains. ACI disclaims any and all responsibility for the stated principles. The Institute shall not be liable for any loss or damage arising there from. Reference to this document shall not be made in contract documents. If items found in this document are desired by the Architect/Engineer to be a part of the contract documents, they shall be restated in mandatory language for incorporation by the Architect/Engineer.

ACI 544.6R-15 was adopted and published September 2015.

Copyright © 2015, American Concrete Institute.

All rights reserved including rights of reproduction and use in any form or by any means, including the making of copies by any photo process, or by electronic or mechanical device, printed, written, or oral, or recording for sound or visual reproduction or for use in any knowledge or retrieval system or device, unless permission in writing is obtained from the copyright proprietors.

*lb/yd<sup>3</sup> [50 to 100 kg/m<sup>3</sup>]) is recommended as the primary method of reinforcement. Procedures for obtaining material properties from round panel tests and flexural tests are addressed, and finite element models for structural analysis of the slabs are discussed. Results of several full-scale testing procedures that are used for validation of the methods proposed are also presented.*

**Keywords:** ductility; durability; fiber-reinforced cement-based materials; fibers; flexural strength; jointless slab; moment-curvature response; plastic shrinkage; reinforcing materials; shrinkage; shrinkage cracking; slab-on-ground; slab-on-piles; steel fibers; steel fiber-reinforced concrete; toughness; yield line analysis.

## CONTENTS

### CHAPTER 1—INTRODUCTION, p. 2

- 1.1—Introduction, p. 2
- 1.2—Scope, p. 4

### CHAPTER 2—NOTATION AND DEFINITIONS, p. 4

- 2.1—Notation, p. 4
- 2.2—Definitions, p. 4

### CHAPTER 3—HISTORICAL DEVELOPMENT OF SLABS-ON-GROUND AND ELEVATED STEEL FIBER-REINFORCED CONCRETE SLAB SYSTEMS, p. 5

- 3.1—Historical background, p. 5
- 3.2—Advantages of G-SFRC and E-SFRC slab systems, p. 5

### CHAPTER 4—CURRENT DESIGN METHODS AND CONSTRUCTION PRACTICES, p. 6

- 4.1—Introduction, p. 6
- 4.2—Existing standards and design methodologies, p. 6
- 4.3—Slab dimensioning, fiber dosage rate, and mechanical loading conditions, p. 7
- 4.4—Additional construction provisions, p. 8
- 4.5—Limitations and areas of needed research, p. 9

### CHAPTER 5—MATERIAL AND STRUCTURAL DUCTILITY, p. 10

- 5.1—Introduction, p. 10
- 5.2—Material ductility, p. 10
- 5.3—Structural ductility, p. 10
- 5.4—Two-way slab mechanism, p. 11
- 5.5—Test methods applicable to design, p. 11

### CHAPTER 6—DESIGN GUIDES FOR TENSILE STRAIN HARDENING, DEFLECTION HARDENING MATERIALS, p. 12

- 6.1—Structural analysis, p. 12
- 6.2—Approaches to evaluate nominal flexural strength of E-SFRC slabs, p. 12
- 6.3—Design of E-SFRC based on yield-line theory applied to slabs, p. 13
- 6.4—Evaluation of load capacity of E-SFRC slabs, p. 16
- 6.5—Examples, p. 18

### CHAPTER 7—FULL-SCALE TESTING OF ELEVATED SLABS, p. 18

- 7.1—Full-scale elevated slab testing program available test results, p. 18
- 7.2—Test program, p. 19
- 7.3—Discussion of full-scale structural tests, p. 20
- 7.4—Comparison of experimental load capacity and model computed values, p. 21
- 7.5—Design verification numerical examples, p. 22
- 7.6—Verification of punching shear of piles, p. 23

### CHAPTER 8—REFERENCES, p. 23

- Authored references, p. 23

### APPENDIXES, p. 26

- Appendix A—Nominal flexural strength of simply supported beam subjected to distributed loading, p. 26
- Appendix B—Nominal flexural strength of simply supported round slab subjected to center point loading, p. 27
- Appendix C—Nominal flexural strength of interior panel of elevated slab under uniformly distributed load, p. 27
- Appendix D—Nominal flexural strength of corner panel of elevated slab under uniformly distributed load, p. 28
- Appendix E—Nominal flexural strength of interior panel of elevated slab under uniformly distributed load and line load, p. 29
- Appendix F—Nominal flexural strength of corner panel of elevated slab under uniformly distributed load and line load, p. 29
- Appendix G—Nominal flexural strength of elevated slab submitted to point load, p. 32
- Appendix H—Moment capacity calculation based on post-cracking residual strength, p. 32
- Appendix I—Yield line analysis of round panel tests, p. 34
- Appendix J—Allowable stresses at service conditions and shear failure criteria, p. 35
- Appendix K—Influence of  $\phi_H$  on load-carrying capacity of an E-SFRC slab, p. 37

## CHAPTER 1—INTRODUCTION

### 1.1—Introduction

Steel fibers have been used for over 50 years as reinforcement in many applications, such as heavily reinforced sections, shear-critical regions, slabs-on-ground, and pavements. A potential area of use of steel fibers is in the construction of slabs in areas with weak soil conditions where adverse effects due to soil-structure interaction, such as differential settlement, cracking, or long-term serviceability problems, can be treated by considering the fiber reinforcement effectiveness. In these cases, pile-supported slab structural designs have been commonly used and fiber reinforcement has shown tremendous promise. The use of steel fibers as reinforcement in these cases is due to practicality of installation, enhanced control of shrinkage cracks, durability, toughness, and cost savings in labor and equipment. The pile-supported continuous slabs are used in factories (industrial facilities), warehouses, and basements

where any area underneath the slab is not considered to give vertical support to the slab. The practice has also been extended to slabs supported by columns in the past 20 years. This report addresses the practice of using steel fiber-reinforced concrete (SFRC) in flat plates supported on piles, or columns.

Elevated steel fiber-reinforced concrete (E-SFRC) slabs are also used as floors of multi-story buildings, with the fiber reinforcement serving as the primary flexural reinforcement. The use of E-SFRC slabs (Di Prisco et al. 2004; Destrée 1995, 2000, 2004) can be categorized as follows:

- a) Pile-supported ground-level SFRC (G-SFRC) slabs
- b) Column-supported E-SFRC slabs

The design procedures proposed are different than the conventional reinforced concrete (RC) calculations because the residual tensile strength of FRC is directly used in the calculations. Currently, flexural design of SFRC slabs or elements of rectangular sections is not currently covered by ACI 318. The mechanical characteristics of SFRC, defined in terms of residual tensile strength, its ductility and strain capacity, and its constructibility attributes, could make it an alternative technology to conventional RC. A direct comparison of cross-sectional moment capacity of SFRC to RC can be found in Mobasher (2011) and



Fig. 1.1a—Installation of G-SFRC slab (pile-supported ground slab) (courtesy of Boeing Aerospace Inc.).

Soranakom and Mobasher (2008). While the efficiency of continuous reinforcing bars are superior to fiber-reinforced concrete (FRC) in terms of ultimate strength, proper design and understanding of structural behavior makes up for this disadvantage; the benefits of construction speed and efficiency are the beneficial aspects of the technology described herein. It is noted that the behavior of a two-way system may not require the flexural strength of conventional RC because of redistribution, redundancy, and failure mechanisms.

G-SFRC slabs contain no conventional reinforcement (as shown in Fig. 1.1a) because they are not likely to provoke a progressive collapse of the structural frame above them. E-SFRC slabs, however, contain a set of minimum continuity reinforcing bars—also referred to as anti-progressive collapse (APC) reinforcing bars—anchored in the bottom of the slab from column to column in both directions (as shown in Fig. 1.1b). The floor in Fig. 1.1b is cast as a part of a five-story structure with 50,000 ft<sup>2</sup> (4645 m<sup>2</sup>) total floor space in Mondragon, Spain, with a 27 ft (8.2 m) span, 11 in. (279 mm) thick slab, and UDL of 140 lb/ft<sup>2</sup> (6703 Pa) using 165 lb/yd<sup>3</sup> (98 kg/m<sup>3</sup>) uncoated 140 ksi (965 MPa) steel fibers with a diameter of 0.03 in. (1.3 mm) and a length of 2 in. (50 mm). The continuity reinforcing bars are used in accordance with the design guidelines addressing progressive collapse (CSA S-23.2-04) and are also used in edge areas where the performance of the slab may be dominated by single-curvature bending (Baldrige and Humay 2003; Sasani and Sagioglu 2008; Udilovich et al. 2010; Hawkins and Mitchell 1979).

Several factors are considered in addressing the competitiveness of this construction approach with other alternatives such as post-tensioned or precast systems. Advantages of G-SFRC and E-SFRC slab systems primarily include the economic aspects, followed by the improved strength and ductility, increased speed of construction, reduction of joints, and shrinkage crack width control in continuous joint-free slabs. Historical development of G-SFRC and E-SFRC slab systems are presented first, and an overview of the current design methods and



Fig. 1.1b—Construction and applications of E-SFRC slabs (courtesy of Primekss SIA).

construction practices is presented. Existing standards and design methodologies are introduced and the effect of slab dimensioning, fiber dosage rate, and loading conditions are presented. Special construction provisions that deal with the criteria for progressive collapse failure and special provisions for reentrant corners, shrinkage restraint, shear wall restraint, and soil conditions are also discussed. Limitations of G-SFRC and E-SFRC slabs and areas of needed research are addressed in detail.

## 1.2—Scope

The design of steel fiber-reinforced concrete (SFRC) slabs-on-ground (G-SFRC) and elevated SFRC (E-SFRC) slabs in the past three decades has resulted in a significant practical and engineering experience that has not been documented before. This report addresses various aspects of analysis, design, and construction of elevated slabs using steel fibers as the primary reinforcement. The benefits of these systems are discussed in terms of reduction in the number of joints; shrinkage control enhancement; ductility; improvements in the architectural design; and reduction of drop panels and beams, which results in easier forming and setup and, ultimately, in an economic and sustainable system.

The design procedures address the material and structural ductility aspects and their effect on the two-way slab mechanism. Test methods that are applicable to design include the three-point bending test as a measure of material ductility, and simply supported round slab as a measure of material and structural ductility. The discussion of these tests is followed by the procedures to predict the behavior during full-scale structural testing. The design guides for strain-softening, deflection-hardening materials are also presented as the underlying basis for these systems.

The structural analysis approach to evaluate the nominal flexural strength of E-SFRC slabs is based on yield-line theory, and several cases of uniformly distributed load, line, and point load are presented. Flexural strength calculations for failure patterns can be accomplished using the test data derived from both the three-point bending structural test and/or a test on a continuously supported round slab. Design examples are presented in **Appendices A** through **K** in support of the structural analysis approach and the flexural strength calculations.

Four full-scale tests on elevated slabs are presented and discussed. Experimental results and model-based computed values are compared using numerical examples for the verification of the design. Full-scale testing procedures are presented that show the ability of deflection hardening SFRC to produce multiple cracks under flexure and, hence, use of inelastic post-cracking properties in the design process are discussed.

## CHAPTER 2—NOTATION AND DEFINITIONS

### 2.1—Notation

$A_c$  = cross-sectional area, in.<sup>2</sup> (mm<sup>2</sup>)  
 $b$  = width, in. (mm)  
 $b_{col}$  = column width, in. (mm)

$c$  = distance from extreme compression fiber to neutral axis, in. (mm)  
 $d$  = clear cover, in. (mm)  
 $f'_c$  = uniaxial concrete compressive strength, psi (MPa)  
 $f_y$  = yield strength, psi (MPa)  
 $L_{lin}$  = longitudinal bandwidth of a length, ft (m)  
 $L_n$  = effective length of span, ft (m)  
 $L_{rx}$  = distance between two adjacent negative yield lines in a panel parallel to the x-direction, ft (m)  
 $L_{ry}$  = distance between two adjacent negative yield lines in a panel parallel to the y-direction, ft (m)  
 $M_P^+$  = positive bending moment resistance, ft-lb (Nm)  
 $M_P^-$  = negative bending moment resistance, ft-lb (Nm)  
 $M_u$  = bending moment ductility factor, ft-lb (Nm)  
 $P_{col}$  = column internal force, kip (kN)  
 $P_{max}$  = maximum load, kip (kN)  
 $P_{poi}$  = point load, kip (kN)  
 $q_{lin}$  = line load, kip/ft (kN/m)  
 $q_{sur}$  = uniform surface load, kip/ft<sup>2</sup> (kN/m<sup>2</sup>)  
 $R$  = radius of negative yield line, ft (m)  
 $t$  = slab thickness, in. (mm)  
 $W_G$  = self-weight of slab system per unit area, lb/ft<sup>2</sup> (kN/m<sup>2</sup>)  
 $x_{lin}$  = distance of from negative yield line at left extremity of each panel, ft (m)  
 $\sigma_{cy}$  = stress at yielding, psi (MPa)  
 $\sigma_{cu}$  = stress at nominal strength, psi (MPa)  
 $\phi_h$  = ratio of negative to positive flexural capacities of the slab cross section  
 $\phi_p$  = reduction factor

### 2.2—Definitions

ACI provides a comprehensive list of definitions though an online resource, “ACI Concrete Terminology,” <http://www.concrete.org/store/productdetail.aspx?ItemID=CT13>. Definitions provided herein complement that resource.

**bend-over point**—tensile stress measured at the onset of first cracking (in a uniaxial tension test). This point is considered as tensile yield point or limit of proportionality.

**crack bridging**—ability of the fibers to extend from one face of a crack to the opposite side and transfer load during crack opening to the opposite face.

**deflection hardening**—multiple cracking accompanied by an increase in flexural strength after first cracking due to strain hardening of the bridging material.

**deflection softening**—flexural response with a primarily linear elastic ascending branch up to the first flexural cracking, followed by an immediate reduction in load with increasing deflection.

**microcrack coalescence**—process by which microcracks grow sufficiently to join together and form a larger, perhaps visible, macrocrack.

**progressive collapse**—failure of a primary structural element that results in the failure of adjoining structural elements leading to further structural failure.

**stable crack propagation**—crack extension caused solely by additional load on the specimen.

**strain softening**—process where unstable crack propagation directly follows crack initiation.