

ACI 440.2R-17

# Guide for the Design and Construction of Externally Bonded FRP Systems for Strengthening Concrete Structures

Reported by ACI Committee 440



American Concrete Institute  
*Always advancing*



## Guide for the Design and Construction of Externally Bonded FRP Systems for Strengthening Concrete Structures

Copyright by the American Concrete Institute, Farmington Hills, MI. All rights reserved. This material may not be reproduced or copied, in whole or part, in any printed, mechanical, electronic, film, or other distribution and storage media, without the written consent of ACI.

The technical committees responsible for ACI committee reports and standards strive to avoid ambiguities, omissions, and errors in these documents. In spite of these efforts, the users of ACI documents occasionally find information or requirements that may be subject to more than one interpretation or may be incomplete or incorrect. Users who have suggestions for the improvement of ACI documents are requested to contact ACI via the errata website at <http://concrete.org/Publications/DocumentErrata.aspx>. Proper use of this document includes periodically checking for errata for the most up-to-date revisions.

ACI committee documents are intended for the use of individuals who are competent to evaluate the significance and limitations of its content and recommendations and who will accept responsibility for the application of the material it contains. Individuals who use this publication in any way assume all risk and accept total responsibility for the application and use of this information.

All information in this publication is provided “as is” without warranty of any kind, either express or implied, including but not limited to, the implied warranties of merchantability, fitness for a particular purpose or non-infringement.

ACI and its members disclaim liability for damages of any kind, including any special, indirect, incidental, or consequential damages, including without limitation, lost revenues or lost profits, which may result from the use of this publication.

It is the responsibility of the user of this document to establish health and safety practices appropriate to the specific circumstances involved with its use. ACI does not make any representations with regard to health and safety issues and the use of this document. The user must determine the applicability of all regulatory limitations before applying the document and must comply with all applicable laws and regulations, including but not limited to, United States Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) health and safety standards.

Participation by governmental representatives in the work of the American Concrete Institute and in the development of Institute standards does not constitute governmental endorsement of ACI or the standards that it develops.

Order information: ACI documents are available in print, by download, on CD-ROM, through electronic subscription, or reprint and may be obtained by contacting ACI.

Most ACI standards and committee reports are gathered together in the annually revised ACI Manual of Concrete Practice (MCP).

**American Concrete Institute**  
38800 Country Club Drive  
Farmington Hills, MI 48331  
Phone: +1.248.848.3700  
Fax: +1.248.848.3701

[www.concrete.org](http://www.concrete.org)

# Guide for the Design and Construction of Externally Bonded FRP Systems for Strengthening Concrete Structures

Reported by ACI Committee 440

Carol K. Shield, Chair

William J. Gold, Secretary

Tarek Alkhrdaji  
Charles E. Bakis  
Lawrence C. Bank  
Abdeljelil Belarbi  
Brahim Benmokrane  
Luke A. Bisby  
Gregg J. Blaszak  
Hakim Bouadi  
Timothy E. Bradberry  
Vicki L. Brown  
John Busel  
Raafat El-Hacha  
Garth J. Fallis

Amir Z. Fam  
Russell Gentry  
Nabil F. Grace  
Mark F. Green  
Zareh B. Gregorian  
Doug D. Gremel  
Shawn P. Gross  
H. R. Trey Hamilton III  
Issam E. Harik  
Kent A. Harries\*  
Mark P. Henderson  
Ravindra Kanitkar  
Yail Jimmy Kim

Michael W. Lee  
Maria Lopez de Murphy  
Ibrahim M. Mahfouz  
Amir Mirmiran  
John J. Myers  
Antonio Nanni  
Ayman M. Okada  
Carlos E. Ospina  
Renato Parretti  
Maria J. Poyak  
Max J. Porter  
Andreas Prota  
Ayder A. Rasheed

Jami H. Rizkalla  
Rajan Sen  
Rudolf Seracino  
Venkatesh Seshappa  
Pedro F. Silva  
Samuel A. Steere, III  
Jennifer E. Tanner  
Jay Thomas  
Houssam A. Toutanji  
J. Gustavo Tumialan  
Milan Vatovec  
David White  
Sarah E. Witt\*

\*Co-chairs of the subcommittee that prepared this document.

## Consulting Members

P. N. Balaguru  
Craig A. Ballinger  
Harald G. F. Budelmann  
C. J. Burgoyne  
Rami M. Elhassan  
David M. Gale

Srinivasa L. Iyer  
Koichi Kishitani  
Howard S. Kligerman  
Kyuichi Moriyama  
Antonio E. Nanni  
Hajime Okamura

Mark A. Postma  
Ferdinand S. Rostasy  
Mohsen Shahawy  
Surendra P. Shah  
Yasuhisa Sonobe  
Minoru Sugita

Luc R. Taerwe  
Ralejs Tefpers  
Taketo Uomoto  
Paul Zia

*Fiber-reinforced polymer (FRP) systems for strengthening concrete structures are an alternative to traditional strengthening techniques such as steel plate bonding, section enlargement, and external post-tensioning. FRP strengthening systems use FRP composite materials as supplemental externally-bonded or near-surface-mounted reinforcement. FRP systems offer advantages over traditional strengthening techniques: they are lightweight, relatively*

*easy to install, and noncorroding. Due to the characteristics of FRP materials as well as the behavior of members strengthened with FRP, specific guidance on the use of these systems is needed. This guide offers general information on the history and use of FRP strengthening systems; a description of the material properties of FRP; and recommendations on the engineering, construction, and inspection of FRP systems used to strengthen concrete structures. This guide is based on the knowledge gained from experimental research, analytical work, and field applications of FRP systems used to strengthen concrete structures.*

ACI Committee Reports, Guides, and Commentaries are intended to provide guidance in planning, designing, executing, and inspecting construction. This document is intended for the use of individuals who are competent to evaluate the significance and limitations of its content and recommendations and who will accept responsibility for the application of the material it contains. The American Concrete Institute disclaims any and all responsibility for the stated principles. The Institute shall not be liable for any loss or damage arising therefrom.

Reference to this document shall not be made in contract documents. If items found in this document are desired by the Architect/Engineer to be a part of the contract documents, they shall be restated in mandatory language for incorporation by the Architect/Engineer.

**Keywords:** aramid fibers; bridges; buildings; carbon fibers; corrosion; cracking; development length; earthquake resistance; fiber-reinforced polymers; structural design.

ACI 440.2R-17 supersedes ACI 440.2R-08 and was adopted and published May 2017.

Copyright © 2017, American Concrete Institute

All rights reserved including rights of reproduction and use in any form or by any means, including the making of copies by any photo process, or by electronic or mechanical device, printed, written, or oral, or recording for sound or visual reproduction or for use in any knowledge or retrieval system or device, unless permission in writing is obtained from the copyright proprietors.

## CONTENTS

### CHAPTER 1—INTRODUCTION AND SCOPE, p. 3

- 1.1—Introduction, p. 3
- 1.2—Scope, p. 4

### CHAPTER 2—NOTATION AND DEFINITIONS, p. 6

- 2.1—Notation, p. 6
- 2.2—Definitions, p. 9

### CHAPTER 3—BACKGROUND INFORMATION, p. 10

- 3.1—Historical development, p. 10
- 3.2—Commercially available externally bonded FRP systems, p. 10

### CHAPTER 4—CONSTITUENT MATERIALS AND PROPERTIES, p. 11

- 4.1—Constituent materials, p. 11
- 4.2—Physical properties, p. 12
- 4.3—Mechanical properties, p. 12
- 4.4—Time-dependent behavior, p. 13
- 4.5—Durability, p. 14
- 4.6—FRP systems qualification, p. 14

### CHAPTER 5—SHIPPING, STORAGE, AND HANDLING, p. 15

- 5.1—Shipping, p. 15
- 5.2—Storage, p. 15
- 5.3—Handling, p. 15

### CHAPTER 6—INSTALLATION, p. 15

- 6.1—Contractor competency, p. 16
- 6.2—Temperature, humidity, and moisture considerations, p. 16
- 6.3—Equipment, p. 16
- 6.4—Substrate repair and surface preparation, p. 17
- 6.5—Mixing of resins, p. 17
- 6.6—Application of FRP systems, p. 17
- 6.7—Alignment of FRP materials, p. 18
- 6.8—Multiple plies and lap splices, p. 18
- 6.9—Curing of resins, p. 18
- 6.10—Temporary protection, p. 18

### CHAPTER 7—INSPECTION, EVALUATION, AND ACCEPTANCE, p. 19

- 7.1—Inspection, p. 19
- 7.2—Evaluation and acceptance, p. 19

### CHAPTER 8—MAINTENANCE AND REPAIR, p. 20

- 8.1—General, p. 20
- 8.2—Inspection and assessment, p. 20
- 8.3—Repair of strengthening system, p. 21
- 8.4—Repair of surface coating, p. 21

### CHAPTER 9—GENERAL DESIGN CONSIDERATIONS, p. 21

- 9.1—Design philosophy, p. 21
- 9.2—Strengthening limits, p. 21

- 9.3—Selection of FRP systems, p. 22
- 9.4—Design material properties, p. 23

### CHAPTER 10—FLEXURAL STRENGTHENING, p. 24

- 10.1—Nominal strength, p. 24
- 10.2—Reinforced concrete members, p. 24
- 10.3—Prestressed concrete members, p. 29
- 10.4—Moment redistribution, p. 31

### CHAPTER 11—SHEAR STRENGTHENING, p. 31

- 11.1—General considerations, p. 32
- 11.2—Wrapping schemes, p. 32
- 11.3—Nominal shear strength, p. 32

### CHAPTER 12—STRENGTHENING OF MEMBERS SUBJECTED TO AXIAL FORCE OR COMBINED AXIAL AND BENDING FORCES, p. 34

- 12.1—Pure axial compression, p. 34
- 12.2—Combined axial compression and bending, p. 36
- 12.3—Ductility enhancement, p. 36
- 12.4—Pure axial tension, p. 37

### CHAPTER 13—SEISMIC STRENGTHENING, p. 37

- 13.1—Background, p. 38
- 13.2—FRP properties for seismic design, p. 38
- 13.3—Concomitant with FRP, p. 38
- 13.4—Flexural strengthening, p. 40
- 13.5—Shear strengthening, p. 41
- 13.6—Beam-column joints, p. 41
- 13.7—Strengthening reinforced concrete shear walls, p. 41

### CHAPTER 14—FIBER-REINFORCED POLYMER REINFORCEMENT DETAILS, p. 43

- 14.1—Bond and delamination, p. 43
- 14.2—Detailing of laps and splices, p. 44
- 14.3—Bond of near-surface-mounted systems, p. 45

### CHAPTER 15—DRAWINGS, SPECIFICATIONS, AND SUBMITTALS, p. 46

- 15.1—Engineering requirements, p. 46
- 15.2—Drawings and specifications, p. 46
- 15.3—Submittals, p. 46

### CHAPTER 16—DESIGN EXAMPLES, p. 47

- 16.1—Calculation of FRP system tensile properties, p. 47
- 16.3—Flexural strengthening of an interior reinforced concrete beam with FRP laminates, p. 50
- 16.4—Flexural strengthening of an interior reinforced concrete beam with near-surface-mounted FRP bars, p. 56
- 16.5—Flexural strengthening of an interior prestressed concrete beam with FRP laminates, p. 62
- 16.6—Shear strengthening of an interior T-beam, p. 68
- 16.7—Shear strengthening of an exterior column, p. 71
- 16.8—Strengthening of a noncircular concrete column for axial load increase, p. 73
- 16.9—Strengthening of a noncircular concrete column for increase in axial and bending forces, p. 76

16.11—Lap-splice clamping for seismic strengthening, p. 86

16.12—Seismic shear strengthening, p. 88

16.13—Flexural and shear seismic strengthening of shear walls, p. 91

## CHAPTER 17—REFERENCES, p. 97

Authored documents, p. 98

## APPENDIX A—MATERIAL PROPERTIES OF CARBON, GLASS, AND ARAMID FIBERS, p. 105

## APPENDIX B—SUMMARY OF STANDARD TEST METHODS, p. 107

## APPENDIX C—AREAS OF FUTURE RESEARCH, p. 108

## APPENDIX D—METHODOLOGY FOR COMPUTATION OF SIMPLIFIED *P-M* INTERACTION DIAGRAM FOR NONCIRCULAR COLUMNS, p. 109

### CHAPTER 1—INTRODUCTION AND SCOPE

#### 1.1—Introduction

The strengthening or retrofitting of existing concrete structures to resist higher design loads, correct strength loss due to deterioration, correct design or construction deficiencies, or increase ductility has historically been accomplished using conventional materials and construction techniques. Externally bonded steel plates, steel or concrete jackets, and external post-tensioning are some of the many traditional techniques available.

Composite materials made of fibers in a polymeric resin, also known as fiber-reinforced polymers (FRPs), have emerged as a viable option for repair and rehabilitation. For the purposes of this guide, an FRP system is defined as the fibers and resins used to create the composite laminate, all applicable resins used to bond it to the concrete substrate, and all applied coatings used to protect the constituent materials. Coatings used exclusively for aesthetic reasons are not considered part of an FRP system.

FRP materials are lightweight, noncorroding, and exhibit high tensile strength. These materials are readily available in several forms, ranging from factory-produced pultruded laminates to dry fiber sheets that can be wrapped to conform to the geometry of a structure before adding the polymer resin. The relatively thin profiles of cured FRP systems are often desirable in applications where aesthetics or access is a concern. FRP systems can also be used in areas with limited access where traditional techniques would be difficult to implement.

The basis for this document is the knowledge gained from a comprehensive review of experimental research, analytical work, and field applications of FRP strengthening systems. Areas where further research is needed are highlighted in this document and compiled in [Appendix C](#).

**1.1.1 Use of FRP systems**—This document refers to commercially available FRP systems consisting of fibers

and resins combined in a specific manner and installed by a specific method. These systems have been developed through material characterization and structural testing. Untested combinations of fibers and resins could result in an unexpected range of properties as well as potential material incompatibilities. Any FRP system considered for use should have sufficient test data to demonstrate adequate performance of the entire system in similar applications, including its method of installation. [ACI 440.8](#) provides a specification for unidirectional carbon and glass FRP materials made using the wet layup process.

The use of FRP systems developed through material characterization and structural testing, including well-documented proprietary systems, is recommended. The use of untested combinations of fibers and resins should be avoided. A comprehensive set of test standards and guides for FRP systems has been developed by several organizations, including ASTM, ACI, ICRI, and ICC.

**1.1.2 Sustainability**—Sustainability of FRP materials may be evaluated considering environmental, economic, and social goals. These should be considered not only throughout the construction phase, but also through the service life of the structure in terms of maintenance and preservation, and for the end-of-life phase. This represents the basis for a life-cycle approach to sustainability ([Menna et al. 2013](#)). Life cycle assessment (LCA) takes into account the environmental impact of a product, starting with raw material extraction, followed by production, distribution, transportation, installation, use, and end of life. LCA for FRP composites depends on the product and market application, and results vary. FRP composite materials used to strengthen concrete elements can use both carbon fiber and glass fiber, which are derived from fossil fuels or minerals, respectively, and therefore have impacts related to raw material extraction. Although carbon and glass fibers have high embodied energies associated with production, on the order of 86,000 Btu/lb and 8600 Btu/lb (200 and 20 mJ/kg), respectively ([Howarth et al. 2014](#)), the overall weight produced and used is orders of magnitude lower than steel (having embodied energy of 5600 Btu/lb [13 mJ/kg]), concrete (430 Btu/lb [1 mJ/kg]), and reinforcing steel (3870 Btu/lb [9 mJ/kg]) ([Griffin and Hsu 2010](#)). The embodied energy and potential environmental impact of resin and adhesive systems are less studied, although the volume used is also small in comparison with conventional construction materials. In distribution and transportation, FRP composites' lower weight leads to less impact from transportation, and easier material handling allows smaller equipment during installation. For installation and use, FRP composites are characterized as having a longer service life because they are more durable and require less maintenance than conventional materials. The end-of-life options for FRP composites are more complex.

Although less than 1 percent of FRP composites are currently recycled, composites can be recycled in many ways, including mechanical grinding, incineration, and chemical separation ([Howarth et al. 2014](#)). It is difficult, however, to separate the materials, fibers, and resins without some degradation of the resulting recycled materials. The

market for recycled composite materials is small, although aircraft manufacturers in particular are considering methods and programs to recycle and repurpose composite materials at the end of an aircraft's life cycle.

Apart from the FRP materials and systems, their use in the repair and retrofit of structures that may otherwise be decommissioned or demolished is inherently sustainable. In many cases, FRP composites permit extending the life or enhancing the safety or performance of existing infrastructure at a monetary and environmental cost of only a fraction of replacement. Additionally, due to the high specific strength and stiffness of FRP composites, an FRP-based repair of an existing concrete structure will often represent a less energy-intensive option than a cementitious or metallic-based repair.

Within this framework of sustainability, FRP retrofit of existing structures may lead to benefits, contributing to the longevity and safety of retrofitted structures. Thus, FRP retrofit can be regarded as a viable method for sustainable design for strengthening and rehabilitation of existing structures. The environmental advantages of FRP, as evaluated by LCA investigations, have been enumerated by [Napolano et al. \(2015\)](#), [Moliner Santistevé et al. \(2013\)](#), [Zhang et al. \(2012\)](#), and [Das \(2011\)](#).

## 1.2—Scope

This document provides guidance for the selection, design, and installation of FRP systems for externally strengthening concrete structures. Information on material properties, design, installation, quality control, and maintenance of FRP systems used as external reinforcement is presented. This information can be used to select an FRP system for increasing the strength, stiffness, or both, of reinforced concrete beams or the ductility of columns and other applications.

A significant body of research serves as the basis for this guide. This research, conducted since the 1970s, includes analytical studies, experimental work, and monitored field applications of FRP strengthening systems. Based on the available research, the design procedures outlined herein are considered conservative.

The durability and long-term performance of FRP materials has been the subject of much research; however, this research remains ongoing. The design guidelines in this guide account for environmental degradation and long-term durability by providing reduction factors for various environments. Long-term fatigue and creep are also addressed by stress limitation, indicated in this document. These factors and limitations are considered conservative. As more research becomes available, however, these factors may be modified, and the specific environmental conditions and loading conditions to which they should apply will be better defined. Additionally, the coupling effect of environmental conditions and loading conditions requires further study. Caution is advised in applications where the FRP system is subjected simultaneously to extreme environmental and stress conditions. The factors associated with the long-term

durability of the FRP system may also affect the tensile modulus of elasticity of the material used for design.

Many issues regarding bond of the FRP system to the substrate remain the focus of a great deal of research. For both flexural and shear strengthening, there are many different modes of debonding failure that can govern the strength of an FRP-strengthened member. While most of the debonding modes have been identified by researchers, more accurate methods of predicting debonding are still needed. Throughout the design procedures, significant limitations on the strain achieved in the FRP material (and thus, the stress achieved) are imposed to conservatively account for debonding failure modes. Future development of these design procedures should include more thorough methods of predicting debonding.

This document gives guidance on proper detailing and installation of FRP systems to prevent many types of debonding failure modes. Steps related to the surface preparation and proper termination of the FRP system are vital in achieving the levels of strength predicted by the procedures in this document. Research has been conducted on various methods of anchoring FRP strengthening systems, such as U-wraps, mechanical fasteners, fiber anchors, and U-anchors. Because no anchorage design guidelines are currently available, the performance of any anchorage system should be substantiated through representative physical testing that includes the specific anchorage system, installation procedure, surface preparation, and expected environmental conditions.

The design equations given in this document are the result of research primarily conducted on moderately sized and proportioned members fabricated of normalweight concrete. Caution should be given to applications involving strengthening of very large or lightweight concrete members or strengthening in disturbed regions (D-regions) of structural members such as deep beams, corbels, and dapped beam ends. When warranted, specific limitations on the size of members and the state of stress are given herein.

This guide applies only to FRP strengthening systems used as additional tensile reinforcement. These systems should not be used as compressive reinforcement. While FRP materials can support compressive stresses, there are numerous issues surrounding the use of FRP for compression. Microbuckling of fibers can occur if any resin voids are present in the laminate. Laminates themselves can buckle if not properly adhered or anchored to the substrate, and highly unreliable compressive strengths result from misaligning fibers in the field. This document does not address the construction, quality control, and maintenance issues that would be involved with the use of the material for this purpose, nor does it address the design concerns surrounding such applications.

This document does not specifically address masonry (concrete masonry units, brick, or clay tile) construction, including masonry walls. Information on the repair of unreinforced masonry using FRP can be found in [ACI 440.7R](#).

**1.2.1 Applications and use**—FRP systems can be used to rehabilitate or restore the strength of a deteriorated structural

member, retrofit or strengthen a sound structural member to resist increased loads due to changes in use of the structure, or address design or construction errors. The licensed design professional should determine if an FRP system is a suitable strengthening technique before selecting the type of FRP system.

To assess the suitability of an FRP system for a particular application, the licensed design professional should perform a condition assessment of the existing structure that includes establishing its existing load-carrying capacity, identifying deficiencies and their causes, and determining the condition of the concrete substrate. The overall evaluation should include a thorough field inspection, a review of existing design or as-built documents, and a structural analysis in accordance with **ACI 364.1R**. Existing construction documents for the structure should be reviewed, including the design drawings, project specifications, as-built information, field test reports, past repair documentation, and maintenance history documentation. The licensed design professional should conduct a thorough field investigation of the existing structure in accordance with **ACI 437R**, **ACI 562**, **ACI 369R**, and other applicable ACI documents. As a minimum, the field investigation should determine the following:

- a) Existing dimensions of the structural members
- b) Location, size, and cause of cracks and spalls
- c) Quantity and location of existing reinforcing steel
- d) Location and extent of corrosion of reinforcing steel
- e) Presence of active corrosion
- f) In-place compressive strength of concrete
- g) Soundness of the concrete, especially the concrete cover, in all areas where the FRP system is to be bonded to the concrete

The tensile strength of the concrete on surfaces where the FRP system may be installed should be determined by conducting a pull-off adhesion test in accordance with ASTM C1583/C1583M. The in-place compressive strength of concrete should be determined using cores in accordance with ACI 562 requirements. The load-carrying capacity of the existing structure should be based on the information gathered in the field investigation, the review of design calculations and drawings, and as determined by analytical methods. Load tests or other methods can be incorporated into the overall evaluation process if deemed appropriate.

FRP systems used to increase the strength of an existing member should be designed in accordance with **Chapters 9** through **15**, which include a comprehensive discussion of load limitation, rational load paths, effects of temperature and environment on FRP systems, loading considerations, and effects of reinforcing steel corrosion on FRP system integrity.

**1.2.1.1 Strengthening limits**—In general, to prevent sudden failure of the member in case the FRP system is damaged, strengthening limits are imposed such that the increase in the load-carrying capacity of a member strengthened with an FRP system is limited. The philosophy is that a loss of FRP reinforcement should not cause member failure. Specific guidance, including load combinations for assessing

member integrity after loss of the FRP system, is provided in Chapter 9.

**1.2.1.2 Fire and life safety**—FRP-strengthened structures should comply with applicable building and fire codes. Smoke generation and flame spread ratings in accordance with **ASTM E84** should be satisfied for the installation according to applicable building codes, depending on the classification of the building. Coatings (**Apicella and Imbrogno 1999**) and insulation systems (**Williams et al. 2006**) can be used to limit smoke and flame spread.

Because of the degradation of most FRP materials at high temperature, the strength of externally bonded FRP systems is assumed to be lost completely in fire, unless it can be demonstrated that the FRP will remain effective for the required duration of the fire. The fire resistance of FRP-strengthened concrete members may be improved through the use of certain resins, coatings, insulation systems, or other methods of fire protection (**Bisby et al. 2005b**). Specific guidance including load combinations and a rational approach to calculating structural fire resistance, is given in **9.2.1**.

**1.2.1.3 Maximum service temperature**—The physical and mechanical properties of the resin components of FRP systems are influenced by temperature and degrade at temperatures close to or above their glass-transition temperature  $T_g$  (**Bisby et al. 2005b**). The  $T_g$  for commercially available, ambient temperature-cured FRP systems typically ranges from 140 to 180°F (60 to 82°C). The  $T_g$  for a particular FRP system can be obtained from the system manufacturer through testing by dynamic mechanical analysis (DMA) according to **ASTM E1640**. Reported  $T_g$  values should be accompanied by descriptions of the test configuration; sample preparation; curing conditions (time, temperature, and humidity); and size, heating rate, and frequency used. The  $T_g$  defined by this method represents the extrapolated onset temperature for the sigmoidal change in the storage modulus observed in going from a hard and brittle state to a soft and rubbery state of the material under test. This transition occurs over a temperature range of approximately 54°F (30°C) centered on the  $T_g$ . This change in state will adversely affect the mechanical and bond properties of the cured laminates. For a dry environment, it is generally recommended that the anticipated service temperature of an FRP system not exceed  $T_g - 27^\circ\text{F}$  ( $T_g - 15^\circ\text{C}$ ) (**Xian and Karbhari 2007**), where  $T_g$  is taken as the lowest  $T_g$  of the components of the system comprising the load path. This recommendation is for elevated service temperatures such as those found in hot regions or certain industrial environments. In cases where the FRP will be exposed to a moist environment, the wet glass-transition temperature  $T_{gw}$  should be used (**Luo and Wong 2002**). Testing may be required to determine the critical service temperature for FRP in other environments. The specific case of fire is described in more detail in **9.2.1**.

**1.2.1.4 Minimum concrete substrate strength**—FRP systems need to be bonded to a sound concrete substrate and should not be considered for applications on structural members containing corroded reinforcing steel or deteriorated concrete unless the substrate is repaired using

the recommendations in 6.4. Concrete distress, deterioration, and corrosion of existing reinforcing steel should be evaluated and addressed before the application of the FRP system. Concrete deterioration concerns include, but are not limited to, alkali-silica reactions, delayed ettringite formation, carbonation, longitudinal cracking around corroded reinforcing steel, and laminar cracking at the location of the steel reinforcement.

The strength of the existing concrete substrate is an important parameter for bond-critical applications, including flexure or shear strengthening. The substrate should possess the necessary strength to develop the design stresses of the FRP system through bond. The substrate, including all bond surfaces between repaired areas and the original concrete, should have sufficient direct tensile and shear strength to transfer force to the FRP system. For bond-critical applications, the tensile strength should be at least 200 psi (1.4 MPa), determined by using a pull-off type adhesion test per ICRI 210.3R or ASTM C1583/C1583M. FRP systems should not be used when the concrete substrate has a compressive strength  $f'_c$  less than 2500 psi (17 MPa). Contact-critical applications, such as column wrapping for confinement that rely only on intimate contact between the FRP system and the concrete, are not governed by these minimum values. Design stresses in the FRP system are developed by deformation or dilation of the concrete section in contact-critical applications.

The application of FRP systems will not stop the ongoing corrosion of existing reinforcing steel (El-Maaddawy et al. 2006). If steel corrosion is evident or is degrading the concrete substrate, placement of FRP reinforcement is not recommended without arresting the ongoing corrosion and repairing any degradation of the substrate.

## CHAPTER 2—NOTATION AND DEFINITION

### 2.1—Notation

$A_c$  = cross-sectional area of concrete in compression member, in.<sup>2</sup> (mm<sup>2</sup>)  
 $A_{cw}$  = area of concrete section of individual vertical wall, in.<sup>2</sup> (mm<sup>2</sup>)  
 $A_e$  = cross-sectional area of effectively confined concrete section, in.<sup>2</sup> (mm<sup>2</sup>)  
 $A_f$  = area of FRP external reinforcement, in.<sup>2</sup> (mm<sup>2</sup>)  
 $A_{fanchor}$  = area of transverse FRP U-wrap for anchorage of flexural FRP reinforcement, in.<sup>2</sup> (mm<sup>2</sup>)  
 $A_{fv}$  = area of FRP shear reinforcement with spacing  $s$ , in.<sup>2</sup> (mm<sup>2</sup>)  
 $A_g$  = gross area of concrete section, in.<sup>2</sup> (mm<sup>2</sup>)  
 $A_p$  = area of prestressed reinforcement in tension zone, in.<sup>2</sup> (mm<sup>2</sup>)  
 $A_s$  = area of nonprestressed steel reinforcement, in.<sup>2</sup> (mm<sup>2</sup>)  
 $A_{sc}$  = area of the longitudinal reinforcement within a distance of  $w_f$  in the compression region, in.<sup>2</sup> (mm<sup>2</sup>)  
 $A_{si}$  = area of  $i$ -th layer of longitudinal steel reinforcement, in.<sup>2</sup> (mm<sup>2</sup>)  
 $A_{st}$  = total area of longitudinal reinforcement, in.<sup>2</sup> (mm<sup>2</sup>)

$A_{sw}$  = area of longitudinal reinforcement in the central area of the wall, in.<sup>2</sup> (mm<sup>2</sup>)  
 $a$  = depth of the equivalent concrete compression block, in. (mm)  
 $a_b$  = smaller cross-sectional dimension for rectangular FRP bars, in. (mm)  
 $b$  = width of compression face of member, in. (mm)  
 $b_c$  = short side dimension of compression member of prismatic cross section, in. (mm)  
 $b_b$  = larger cross-sectional dimension for rectangular FRP bars, in. (mm)  
 $b_w$  = web width or diameter of circular section, in. (mm)  
 $C_E$  = environmental reduction factor  
 $C_{sc}$  = compressive force in  $A_{sc}$ , lb (N)  
 $c$  = distance from extreme compression fiber to the neutral axis, in. (mm)  
 $c_y$  = distance from extreme compression fiber to the neutral axis at steel yielding, in. (mm)  
 $D$  = diameter of compression member for circular cross sections or diagonal distance equal to  $\sqrt{b^2 + h^2}$  for prismatic cross sections (diameter of equivalent circular column), in. (mm)  
 $d$  = distance from extreme compression fiber to centroid of tension reinforcement, in. (mm)  
 $d'$  = distance from the extreme compression fiber to the center of  $A_{sc}$ , in. (mm)  
 $d''$  = distance from the extreme tension fiber to the center of  $A_{st}$ , in. (mm)  
 $d_{bc}$  = diameter of longitudinal steel in confined plastic hinge, in. (mm)  
 $d_f$  = effective depth of FRP flexural reinforcement, in. (mm)  
 $d_{fv}$  = effective depth of FRP shear reinforcement, in. (mm)  
 $d_i$  = distance from centroid of  $i$ -th layer of longitudinal steel reinforcement to geometric centroid of cross section, in. (mm)  
 $d_p$  = distance from extreme compression fiber to centroid of prestressed reinforcement, in. (mm)  
 $E_2$  = slope of linear portion of stress-strain model for FRP-confined concrete, psi (MPa)  
 $E_c$  = modulus of elasticity of concrete, psi (MPa)  
 $E_f$  = tensile modulus of elasticity of FRP, psi (MPa)  
 $E_{ps}$  = modulus of elasticity of prestressing steel, psi (MPa)  
 $E_s$  = modulus of elasticity of steel, psi (MPa)  
 $e_s$  = eccentricity of prestressing steel with respect to centroidal axis of member at support, in. (mm)  
 $e_m$  = eccentricity of prestressing steel with respect to centroidal axis of member at midspan, in. (mm)  
 $f_c$  = compressive stress in concrete, psi (MPa)  
 $f'_c$  = specified compressive strength of concrete, psi (MPa)  
 $f'_{cc}$  = compressive strength of confined concrete, psi (MPa)  
 $f'_{co}$  = compressive strength of unconfined concrete; also equal to  $0.85f'_c$ , psi (MPa)  
 $f_{c,s}$  = compressive stress in concrete at service condition, psi (MPa)  
 $f_f$  = stress in FRP reinforcement, psi (MPa)