

Report for the Design of Concrete Structures for Blast Effects

Reported by ACI Committee 370

ACI 370R-14



American Concrete Institute
Always advancing



Report for the Design of Concrete Structures for Blast Effects

Copyright by the American Concrete Institute, Farmington Hills, MI. All rights reserved. This material may not be reproduced or copied, in whole or part, in any printed, mechanical, electronic, film, or other distribution and storage media, without the written consent of ACI.

The technical committees responsible for ACI committee reports and standards strive to avoid ambiguities, omissions, and errors in these documents. In spite of these efforts, the users of ACI documents occasionally find information or requirements that may be subject to more than one interpretation or may be incomplete or incorrect. Users who have suggestions for the improvement of ACI documents are requested to contact ACI via the errata website at <http://concrete.org/Publications/DocumentErrata.aspx>. Proper use of this document includes periodically checking for errata for the most up-to-date revisions.

ACI committee documents are intended for the use of individuals who are competent to evaluate the significance and limitations of its content and recommendations and who will accept responsibility for the application of the material it contains. Individuals who use this publication in any way assume all risk and accept total responsibility for the application and use of this information.

All information in this publication is provided "as is" without warranty of any kind, either express or implied, including but not limited to, the implied warranties of merchantability, fitness for a particular purpose or non-infringement.

ACI and its members disclaim liability for damages of any kind, including any special, indirect, incidental, or consequential damages, including without limitation, lost revenues or lost profits, which may result from the use of this publication.

It is the responsibility of the user of this document to establish health and safety practices appropriate to the specific circumstances involved with its use. ACI does not make any representations with regard to health and safety issues and the use of this document. The user must determine the applicability of all regulatory limitations before applying the document and must comply with all applicable laws and regulations, including but not limited to, United States Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) health and safety standards.

Participation by governmental representatives in the work of the American Concrete Institute and in the development of Institute standards does not constitute governmental endorsement of ACI or the standards that it develops.

Order information: ACI documents are available in print, by download, on CD-ROM, through electronic subscription, or reprint and may be obtained by contacting ACI.

Most ACI standards and committee reports are gathered together in the annually revised ACI Manual of Concrete Practice (MCP).

American Concrete Institute
3880 Country Club Drive
Farmington Hills, MI 48331
Phone: +1.248.848.3700
Fax: +1.248.848.3701

www.concrete.org

Report for the Design of Concrete Structures for Blast Effects

Reported by ACI Committee 370

Eric B. Williamson*, Chair

William L. Bounds*, Secretary

Adeola K. Adediran*
Abi Assadi*
Darrell D. Barker*
Bal K. Cherwoo*
Savita Goel
David Kerins

Theodor Krauthammer*
Paul F. Mlakar*
Madhav G. Nene*
Barendra K. Talukdar*
Joseph W. Tedesco*
James W. Wesevich*

Stanley C. Woodson
David Z. Yankelevsky*
William H. Zehrt, Jr.*

Everett Hinman
Robert A. Kiger
Jaap Weerheijm

Consulting Members
Quentin A. Baker

*Contributed to the writing of the report.

This report addresses the design of structures to resist blast effects due to explosions. It describes the state of the practice for the guidance of structural engineers charged with the design of civil facilities that may be subjected to blast loads. This report addresses the steps commonly followed in this practice, including determination of the threat, calculation of structural loads, behavior of structural systems, design of structural elements, design of security windows, design of security doors, and design of utility openings. These steps can be applied to the design of new structures or to the retrofitting of existing structures.

Keywords: blast; blast analysis; blast-resistant buildings; blast-resistant design; ductility; dynamics; explosions; retrofit for blast; shock; overpressure.

CONTENTS

CHAPTER 1—INTRODUCTION, p. 1

- 1.1—Overview of report, p. 2
- 1.2—Background and history, p. 2

CHAPTER 2—NOTATION AND DEFINITIONS, p. 3

- 2.1—Notation, p. 3
- 2.2—Definitions, p. 4

ACI Committee Reports, Guides, and Commentaries are intended to provide guidance in planning, designing, executing, and inspecting construction. This document is intended for the use of individuals who are competent to evaluate the significance and limitations of its content and recommendations and who will accept responsibility for the application of the material it contains. The American Concrete Institute disclaims any and all responsibility for the stated principles. The Institute shall not be liable for any loss or damage arising therefrom.

Reference to this document shall not be made in contract documents. If items found in this document are desired by the Architect/Engineer to be a part of the contract documents, they shall be restated in mandatory language for incorporation by the Architect/Engineer.

CHAPTER 3—DESIGN PHILOSOPHY, p. 5

- 3.1—Explosions and fragments, p. 5
- 3.2—Threat scenario, p. 6
- 3.3—Protection criteria, p. 6
- 3.4—Balanced design, p. 7
- 3.5—Global design, p. 7
- 3.6—General principles of structural design, p. 7
- 3.7—Analysis and design, p. 8
- 3.8—Energy absorption, p. 9
- 3.9—Ductility, p. 9
- 3.10—Damage control, p. 9
- 3.11—Construction, p. 10
- 3.12—Cost effectiveness, p. 10
- 3.13—Decision making, p. 10

CHAPTER 4—GENERAL PLANNING AND DESIGN METHODOLOGY, p. 10

- 4.1—Applications, p. 10
- 4.2—Objectives of blast-resistant design, p. 11
- 4.3—Hazard assessment and risk analysis, p. 11
- 4.4—Planning, p. 12
- 4.5—Structural design process, p. 12

CHAPTER 5—BLAST LOAD PREDICTION, p. 13

- 5.1—Blast load basics, p. 13
- 5.2—Explosion sources, p. 14
- 5.3—Load prediction methods, p. 17
- 5.4—High explosives, p. 17
- 5.5—Bursting pressure vessels, p. 19

ACI 370R-14 was adopted and published June 2014.

Copyright © 2014, American Concrete Institute.

All rights reserved including rights of reproduction and use in any form or by any means, including the making of copies by any photo process, or by electronic or mechanical device, printed, written, or oral, or recording for sound or visual reproduction or for use in any knowledge or retrieval system or device, unless permission in writing is obtained from the copyright proprietors.

5.6—Vapor cloud explosions, p. 19

5.7—Load on structures, p. 20

CHAPTER 6—TYPES OF STRUCTURES, p. 23

6.1—Building functions and physical characteristics, p. 23

6.2—Building types and configurations, p. 25

6.3—Blast resistance capabilities of structural systems, p. 27

CHAPTER 7—MATERIAL CHARACTERISTICS, p. 28

7.1—Strain-rate dependency of concrete, p. 28

7.2—Factors contributing to strain rate dependency, p. 28

7.3—Constitutive models for concrete, p. 30

7.4—Strain-rate-dependent constitutive equations for concrete, p. 32

7.5—Strain rate effects in metals, p. 33

7.6—Application to blast assessment and design, p. 35

CHAPTER 8—STRUCTURAL ANALYSIS, p. 36

8.1—Introduction and background, p. 36

8.2—Computational support capabilities, p. 37

8.3—Single degree-of-freedom (SDOF) computational approaches, p. 38

8.4—Intermediate approximate computational approaches, p. 41

8.5—Advanced approximate computational approaches, p. 43

8.6—Structural assessment support tools, p. 44

8.7—Application examples, p. 45

8.8—Conclusions and recommendations, p. 46

CHAPTER 9—DESIGN OF REINFORCED CONCRETE COMPONENTS, p. 47

9.1—Introduction, p. 47

9.2—Design safety factor, p. 47

9.3—Reinforced concrete design, p. 48

9.4—Development of reinforcing bars, p. 50

9.5—Construction details and procedures, p. 50

9.6—Masonry construction, p. 51

CHAPTER 10—BLAST HARDENING RETROFIT CONCEPTS FOR EXISTING BUILDINGS, p. 52

10.1—Strengthen existing building components, p. 52

10.2—Employ additional components, p. 56

CHAPTER 11—DESIGN OF BLAST-RESISTANT ANCILLARY OPENINGS, p. 57

11.1—General considerations, p. 57

11.2—Materials, p. 60

11.3—Design issues, p. 62

11.4—Specification, p. 65

CHAPTER 12—REFERENCES, p. 66

CHAPTER 1—INTRODUCTION

The design of concrete structures for blast resistance has been of great interest to the military and other federal agencies for several decades. In addition, certain specialized segments within the engineering community have also had to consider blast loads on structures as a result of potential accidents. For example, the petrochemical industry has designed for blast resistance in their facilities for many years. Even though there is considerable history in the design of structures to resist blast effects resulting from accidents or intentional acts, it is only recently that the general structural engineering community has shown a strong interest in the response of structures subjected to explosions and other high-rate loading phenomena, such as impact.

Following the attacks on the World Trade Center in New York and the Pentagon in Washington, D.C. on September 11, 2001, the vulnerability of the national infrastructure to terrorism became a top priority for many state and federal government agencies as well as private consulting engineers. Though the significance of these attacks greatly increased engineering interest in the design of structures to resist extreme loads, statistics show that US interests have been targeted by terrorists with increasing frequency during the last several decades (U.S. Department of State, 2003), leading to significant financial and personal losses. As a result, the engineering community has learned important lessons that have allowed for improved methods of analysis and design to be developed. For example, lessons learned from the Oklahoma City bombing in 1995 and the U.S. embassy attacks in Tanzania and Nairobi in 1998 shaped present design guidelines for prevention of progressive collapse.

While the field of blast- and impact-resistant design is not as mature as other fields, such as seismic-resistant design, historical events such as those described are important to note because they help shape current practice and research interests. Just as the field of seismic-resistant design has advanced by learning lessons from past incidents, engineers working in protective design can similarly benefit from being aware of historical events and corresponding data. Although such information is typically outside the scope of work routinely undertaken by design engineers, awareness of these issues is important for understanding potential threats and associated loads that may result. While historical data can be used with reasonable confidence for predicting natural loads such as earthquakes and floods, the same claim cannot be made for man-made loads associated with potential terrorist threats. Thus, the intent of the discussion herein is to bring awareness to engineers and designers that many factors can influence the loads to which a structure may potentially be subjected, and it is only through awareness and consideration of the factors that affect the threat environment that engineers can estimate design loads.

1.1—Overview of report

Given the trends in terrorism and the required protection of building occupants at petrochemical facilities, it is clear that structural engineers must be able to design structures

to resist the blast effects due to explosions. Drawing on research and engineering practice, the goal of this document is to compile essential information on the design of concrete structures to resist blast effects. Information is gathered from research reports, military design guidelines (when publicly available), and expertise from the petrochemical industry to provide a thorough introduction to the design of concrete structures to resist blast effects.

Several of the chapters in this report address topics that are nonstructural. When designing for blast effects, engineers must take into consideration that the loads that act on structures are strongly dependent on the distance between the structures and potential blast locations. In addition, there is a chapter on windows and openings because glass fragments from a blast typically contribute to injuries and fatalities. Thus, in designing structures to provide a safe environment for the inhabitants, structural engineers must consider other design issues that fall outside of their usual responsibilities when designing structures for more typical loads. Accordingly, it is important that engineers become familiar with these topics and play an active role in the decision-making process used to site buildings and select a façade system, doors, and windows.

Providing standoff is often the most cost-effective solution for mitigating the hazards associated with a blast load. In many cases, however, it may not be possible to provide sufficient standoff distance for a structure to eliminate the need for considering structural response to blast effects. Under these conditions, structural hardening is likely required. If consideration is given to structural modifications during the design stage, the costs of hardening can be minimized, and the aesthetic impact on the structure under consideration can, in many cases, be completely eliminated. As a retrofit, structural hardening can be expensive. Although necessary for the safety of a building and its occupants. To address these design challenges, significant guidance is provided in this document on the selection of structural systems for blast resistance, methods of analysis, and design considerations. In addition, an entire chapter is dedicated to describing methods of retrofitting structures to achieve adequate blast resistance.

Although this report provides great breadth in the topics it addresses, it is not intended to be a stand-alone volume for practicing engineers. The purpose of this report is to provide a well-organized introduction that will serve as a starting point for identifying key issues associated with the design of concrete structures to resist blast effects. For engineers looking to familiarize themselves with this topic, it will serve as a concise guide. If more detailed information is needed, an extensive list of references has been provided.

1.2—Background and history

To develop an appreciation of the extent to which terrorists have targeted U.S. assets, it is helpful to review several previous events. The events described in the following are not intended to be an exhaustive list of attacks against U.S. interests. Rather, the intent of the discussion is to allow engineers unfamiliar with these incidents to gain an

appreciation of the threat environment that they may have to design against, which will dictate the loads that they must consider. Reasonable estimates of design-basis loads can only be developed once the potential threats and sources of loading are understood. Further discussion on threat environment is provided in [Chapter 3](#), whereas [Chapter 4](#) includes a discussion on hazard assessment and risk analysis. In [Chapter 5](#), readers can find a description regarding how to predict blast loads for a given threat.

On February 26, 1993, an explosive device was detonated in the parking garage of one of the World Trade Center towers in New York City. As a result of this attack, six people were killed, and 1042 were injured. Damage was observed over seven floors, and property damage was over 500 million dollars. According to the FEMA/ASCE accident investigation ([McAllister 2003](#)), the compartmentalized layout of the building structure was credited with minimizing the propagation of damage and preventing progressive collapse.

Two years after the bombing of the World Trade Center, the Alfred P. Murrah building in Oklahoma City was attacked on April 19, 1995. As a result of a large truck bomb, 169 people were killed, over 500 were injured, and damages exceeded \$100 million. From an engineering perspective, there was great concern over the structural configuration of the Murrah building. This nine-story structure incorporated a transfer girder at the third floor that allowed the column spacing from the floors above to be doubled from 20 to 40 ft (6.1 to 12.2 m) for the bottom three stories. Because the bomb blast likely caused the failure of three of the columns that supported the transfer girder, the high loads from the floors above could not be redistributed to the remaining columns. As a result, the Murrah building failed due to progressive collapse. Because of this event, research into progressive collapse has become a great concern to the structural engineering community, and engineering guidelines to resist progressive collapse have been developed by the Department of Defense ([UFC 4-023-03](#)) and the General Services Administration ([PBS-P100](#)).

These events that took place on U.S. soil are quite familiar to much of the population, yet several other events in recent years have shown that U.S. assets all over the world are susceptible to terrorist attacks. Though it is not necessary to describe all of these events in great detail, it is helpful to discuss the incidents that have implications related to structural engineering. One such event includes the bombing of the Khobar Towers in Saudi Arabia on June 25, 1996. This facility was used to house U.S. and allied forces. There were 19 fatalities and approximately 500 U.S. personnel wounded in the attack. Other events that raised awareness of the need to protect against terrorist activities took place on August 7, 1998. On this date, two U.S. embassy buildings were bombed in Africa. As a result of these attacks, 11 Americans were killed, and over 30 were injured. The response of the two embassy buildings differed greatly. The embassy building in Tanzania fared quite well, and damage was limited. The Nairobi embassy building, however, suffered severe damage and underwent a partial collapse in a similar progressive fashion as the Murrah building.