

ACI 325.14R-17

Guide for Design and Proportioning of Concrete Mixtures for Pavements

Reported by ACI Committee 325



American Concrete Institute
Always advancing



Guide for Design and Proportioning of Concrete Mixtures for Pavements

Copyright by the American Concrete Institute, Farmington Hills, MI. All rights reserved. This material may not be reproduced or copied, in whole or part, in any printed, mechanical, electronic, film, or other distribution and storage media, without the written consent of ACI.

The technical committees responsible for ACI committee reports and standards strive to avoid ambiguities, omissions, and errors in these documents. In spite of these efforts, the users of ACI documents occasionally find information or requirements that may be subject to more than one interpretation or may be incomplete or incorrect. Users who have suggestions for the improvement of ACI documents are requested to contact ACI via the errata website at <http://concrete.org/Publications/DocumentErrata.aspx>. Proper use of this document includes periodically checking for errata for the most up-to-date revisions.

ACI committee documents are intended for the use of individuals who are competent to evaluate the significance and limitations of its content and recommendations and who will accept responsibility for the application of the material it contains. Individuals who use this publication in any way assume all risk and accept total responsibility for the application and use of this information.

All information in this publication is provided "as is" without warranty of any kind, either express or implied, including but not limited to, the implied warranties of merchantability, fitness for a particular purpose or non-infringement.

ACI and its members disclaim liability for damages of any kind, including any special, indirect, incidental, or consequential damages, including without limitation, lost revenues or lost profits, which may result from the use of this publication.

It is the responsibility of the user of this document to establish health and safety practices appropriate to the specific circumstances involved with its use. ACI does not make any representations with regard to health and safety issues and the use of this document. The user must determine the applicability of all regulatory limitations before applying the document and must comply with all applicable laws and regulations, including but not limited to, United States Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) health and safety standards.

Participation by governmental representatives in the work of the American Concrete Institute and in the development of Institute standards does not constitute governmental endorsement of ACI or the standards that it develops.

Order information: ACI documents are available in print, by download, on CD-ROM, through electronic subscription, or reprint and may be obtained by contacting ACI.

Most ACI standards and committee reports are gathered together in the annually revised ACI Manual of Concrete Practice (MCP).

American Concrete Institute
38800 Country Club Drive
Farmington Hills, MI 48331
Phone: +1.248.848.3700
Fax: +1.248.848.3701

www.concrete.org

Guide for Design and Proportioning of Concrete Mixtures for Pavements

Reported by ACI Committee 325

David W. Pittman, Chair

David J. Akers
Richard O. Albright
William L. Arent
Jamshid M. Armaghani
Bob J. Banka
Neeraj J. Buch
Tim Cost*
Juan Pablo Covarrubias

Mohamed Nasser Darwish
Norbert J. Delatte
W. Charles Greer
Jerry A. Holland*
Gary L. Mitchell
Jon I. Mullarky
Kamran M. Nemati
Nigel K. Parkes

Steven A. Ragan
David Richardson†
John W. Roberts*
Terry W. Sherman
Alex Hak-Chul Shin
Kurt D. Smith
Anthony M. Sorcic*
Shiraz D. Tayabji

Paul C. Taylor*
Samuel S. Tyson
Thomas J. Van Dam
Don J. Wade
W. James Wilde
Gergis W. William
James M. Willson
Dan G. Zollinger

Consulting Members

Michael I. Darter

John L. Rice

Raymond F. Rollings

The committee would like to acknowledge P. Bly and S. Palotta for their contributions to this guide.

*Members of subcommittee that prepared this guide.

†Chair of subcommittee that prepared this guide.

Concrete mixtures intended for pavements have purposes and desired characteristics that are different from other types of mixtures, such as structural or mass concrete. Thus, a guide for designing concrete mixtures specific to paving, such as highways, streets, airfields, and parking lots, is necessary. This guide describes a method for designing mixtures and selecting trial mixture proportions for hydraulic-cement concrete mixtures with and without supplementary cementitious materials, chemical admixtures, and fibers. The guide provides a method that focuses on designing the concrete mixture in the context of pavement structural design, concrete production, construction operations, and the environment in which the pavement will reside. Trial mixture proportions are for concrete consisting of normalweight aggregates and concrete with workability suitable for various types of pavement construction, such as slip form, fixed-form, and laser-guided screeding. The method provides an initial approximation of proportions intended to be analyzed to assess their performance potential for mixing, transporting, placing, screeding and

consolidating, finishing, texturing, and time-of-setting. The method also considers the hardened concrete performance parameters of strength, durability, abrasion resistance, skid resistance, smoothness, and dimensional and shape stability. Methods of checking for incompatibilities of materials in given construction environments are included, as well as methods for aggregate grading optimization. Resulting proportions should be checked by preparing and analyzing trial mixtures in the laboratory, then in the field, and adjusting as necessary to produce the desired concrete characteristics. Special concrete pavement mixtures, such as pervious concrete or roller-compacted concrete, are not included in the document. This is a dual-unit document; however, paired values stated in inch-pound and SI units are usually not exact equivalents. Therefore, either system should be used independently of the other.

Keywords: aggregate optimization; aggregates; cementitious materials; fly ash; incompatibility; intermediate aggregate; mixture proportioning; mixtures; pavements; slag cement.

CONTENTS

CHAPTER 1—INTRODUCTION, p. 2

1.1—General, p. 2

1.2—Mixture design goals, p. 2

ACI Committee Reports, Guides, and Commentaries are intended to provide guidance in planning, designing, executing, and inspecting construction. This document is intended for the use of individuals who are competent to evaluate the significance and limitations of its content and recommendations and who will accept responsibility for the application of the material it contains. The American Concrete Institute disclaims any and all responsibility for the stated principles. The Institute shall not be liable for any loss or damage arising therefrom.

Reference to this document shall not be made in contract documents. If items found in this document are desired by the Architect/Engineer to be a part of the contract documents, they shall be restated in mandatory language for incorporation by the Architect/Engineer.

ACI 325.14R-17 was adopted and published June 2017.

Copyright © 2017, American Concrete Institute.

All rights reserved including rights of reproduction and use in any form or by any means, including the making of copies by any photo process, or by electronic or mechanical device, printed, written, or oral, or recording for sound or visual reproduction or for use in any knowledge or retrieval system or device, unless permission in writing is obtained from the copyright proprietors.

CHAPTER 2—NOTATION AND DEFINITIONS, p. 3

- 2.1—Notation, p. 3
- 2.2—Definitions, p. 3

CHAPTER 3—BASIC PROPERTIES, p. 4

- 3.1—Desired properties, p. 4
- 3.2—Workability, p. 4
- 3.3—Strength, p. 8
- 3.4—Durability, p. 10
- 3.5—Skid resistance, p. 12
- 3.6—Smoothness, p. 12
- 3.7—Dimensional and shape stability, p. 13
- 3.8—Time of setting, p. 14
- 3.9—Basic properties and considerations, p. 14
- 3.10—Sustainability, p. 14
- 3.11—Innovative methods and materials, p. 15

CHAPTER 4—MATERIALS, p. 16

- 4.1—Aggregate, p. 16
- 4.2—Portland cement, p. 17
- 4.3—Supplementary cementitious materials, p. 17
- 4.4—Blended cement, p. 18
- 4.5—Water, p. 19
- 4.6—Chemical admixtures, p. 19
- 4.7—Fibers, p. 20
- 4.8—Summary, p. 21

CHAPTER 5—MIXTURE PROPORTIONING, p. 21

- 5.1—Mixture design, p. 21
- 5.2—Proportioning methods, p. 21
- 5.3—Preliminary testing, p. 21
- 5.4—Proportioning procedure, p. 21

CHAPTER 6—SAMPLE MIXTURE DESIGNS, p. 36

- 6.1—Example 1: Urban highway, slipform, traditional grading, no SCMs (U.S. customary units), p. 36
- 6.2—Example 2: Urban highway, slipform, optimized grading, Class C fly ash (U.S. customary units), p. 40
- 6.3—Example 3: City street, fixed-form, optimized grading, sulfate soil, slag cement (U.S. customary units), p. 47
- 6.4—Example 4: Airfield, slipform, traditional grading, alkali-silica reaction aggregate, Class F fly ash (U.S. customary units), p. 52
- 6.5—Example 5: Parking lot, laser-guided screed, optimized grading, fibers (U.S. customary units), p. 57
- 6.6—Example 6: Urban highway, slipform, traditional grading, no SCMs (SI units), p. 62

CHAPTER 7—REFERENCES, p. 68

- Additional documents, p. 69

CHAPTER 1—INTRODUCTION**1—General**

This document is intended to be used as a supplement to ACI 211.1, specifically for paving concrete mixtures. ACI 211.1 provides an in-depth discussion of concrete mixture characteristics and technology. It is unnecessary to repeat

this information within this guide. Rather, this guide will point out the concepts specific to paving mixtures that are not fully developed in ACI 211.1. Additionally, concepts of materials' compatibility, durability, solutions for alkali-silica and sulfate reactions, and aggregate grading optimization are more fully developed in this document. Mixtures considered in this document would be suitable as paving mixtures for airports, highways, streets, or parking lots.

1.2—Mixture design goals

The design of a concrete mixture suitable for paving includes the desired outcomes of production, construction, service life, economy, and sustainability. Material selection and mixture proportioning are the means of obtaining the goals of the mixture design, and should consider materials suitability and availability in relation with the proposed production technology and construction constraints.

Ideally, the concrete mixture design method will assist the mixture designer to (Transtec Group, Inc. 2010):

- (a) Identify important performance criteria that are functions of the climate, weather during construction, service conditions, and importance of the project
- (b) Identify mixture performance criteria (such as strength and durability)
- (c) Identify recommended test methods
- (d) Assess the impact of changes in weather, construction procedures, materials, and proportions on constructability and service performance
 - (i) Provide methods for aggregate blending
 - (j) Produce mixture proportions based on all the above
 - (g) Provide mixture performance criteria optimization opportunities

A successful mixture design will meet the performance criteria of the paving contractor for: the mixture's ability to be properly mixed, transported, placed, screeded and consolidated, finished, and textured without segregation within the constraints of the proposed construction operation; schedule (including weather); production technology; and material availability. A successful mixture design will also meet the performance criteria of the owner to provide sufficient strength, durability, wear resistance, skid resistance, and dimensional and shape stability while achieving economy and sustainability. These properties are interrelated. For instance, placeability and finishability are important to the integrity of the top 1/8 in. (3 mm) of the slab surface, thus affecting resistance to freezing and thawing as well as wear resistance. To achieve all these goals, the optimal combination of materials and proportions should be provided.

The dilemma of mixture design and proportioning involves conflicting combinations of benefits and disadvantages as materials and proportions are varied. Reduction of water content will increase strength and durability while reducing shrinkage and edge slump. It may, however, negatively impact finishability and smoothness, which refers to the undulation of the concrete surface elevation, not the surface texture or skid resistance, and could reduce the ability to entrain air, thereby reducing durability. Raising the air content will increase durability, but lower strength. Use of locally available aggregate

may be less expensive, but gap-graded or poorly-shaped material may negatively impact finishability, smoothness, and edge slump. Increased water content may increase workability and finishability, but decreases strength and durability. Material incompatibilities will further complicate the issue. The intent of this guide is to find a way through these issues and produce successful mixture designs.

Mixture design criteria taken into consideration in this guide include:

- a) Slump
- b) Air content
- c) Strength
- d) Resistance to freezing and thawing
- e) Sulfate attack
- f) Alkali-silica reaction
- g) Modulus of elasticity
- h) Thermal expansion and contraction
- i) Shrinkage
- j) Warping
- k) Curling
- l) Abrasion resistance
- m) Setting time
- n) Permeability
- o) Corrosion resistance of reinforcing steel

CHAPTER 2—NOTATION AND DEFINITIONS

2.1—Notation

Abs	= absorption of an aggregate	$HRWRA_{adj}$	= adjustment of water requirement for use of high-range water-reducing admixture
AEA_{adj}	= adjustment of water requirement for use of air-entraining admixture	k	= regression factor
DRD_{avg}	= dry-rodded density of combined CA and FA aggregate	M_{admix}	= mass of admixture
DRD_{CA}	= dry-rodded density of coarse aggregate	$M_{admix\ water}$	= mass of water in admixture
DRD_{FA}	= dry-rodded density of fine aggregate	$M_{AEA\ water}$	= mass of water in air-entraining admixture
DRD_{IA}	= dry-rodded density of intermediate aggregate	M_{agg}	= mass of total aggregate
f_c	= compressive strength	$M_{base\ water}$	= mass of base water
f_c'	= specified compressive strength of concrete	$M_{batch\ water}$	= mass of batch water
f_{cr}'	= required average compressive strength of concrete used as the basis for selection of concrete proportions	M_{CAadj}	= mass of coarse aggregate shape water adjustment
$Fly\ ash_{adj}$	= adjustment of water requirement for use of fly ash	$M_{CA,OD}$	= mass of oven-dry coarse aggregate
G_{admix}	= specific gravity of admixture	$M_{CA,SSD}$	= mass of saturated surface-dry coarse aggregate
$G_{CA,OD}$	= oven-dry specific gravity of coarse aggregate	M_{cem}	= mass of portland cement
$G_{CA,SSD}$	= saturated surface-dry specific gravity of coarse aggregate	M_{cm}	= mass of cementitious material
G_{ce}	= specific gravity of cement	M_{FAadj}	= mass of fine aggregate shape water adjustment
G_{cm}	= specific gravity of cementitious material	$M_{FA,OD}$	= mass of oven-dry fine aggregate
$G_{FA,c}$	= oven-dry specific gravity of fine aggregate	$M_{FA,SSD}$	= mass of saturated surface-dry fine aggregate
$G_{FA,SSD}$	= saturated surface-dry specific gravity of fine aggregate	$M_{fly\ ash}$	= mass of fly ash
$G_{fly\ ash}$	= specific gravity of fly ash	$M_{fly\ ash_{adj}}$	= mass of fly ash water adjustment
$G_{IA,OD}$	= oven-dry specific gravity of intermediate aggregate	$M_{IA,OD}$	= mass of oven-dry intermediate aggregate
$G_{IA,SSD}$	= saturated surface-dry specific gravity of intermediate aggregate	$M_{IA,SSD}$	= mass of saturated surface-dry intermediate aggregate
G_w	= specific gravity of water	M_{SFadj}	= mass of silica fume water adjustment
		M_{slag}	= mass of slag cement
		$M_{slag_{adj}}$	= mass of slag cement water adjustment
		M_{SCM}	= mass of total cementitious materials
		M_w	= mass of total design water
		$M_{WRA\ water}$	= mass of water in water-reducing admixture
		w	= moisture content, aggregate
		N	= number of test results in a data set
		RD_{fiber}	= relative density of fibers
		S	= standard deviation of f_c
		SCM_{adj}	= adjustment of water requirement for use of SCM
		$Slag_{adj}$	= adjustment of water requirement for use of slag cement
		V	= absolute volume of a component
		V_{admix}	= absolute volume of admixture
		V_{air}	= absolute volume of air
		$V_{CA,OD}$	= absolute volume of oven-dry coarse aggregate
		V_{cm}	= absolute volume of cementitious material
		$V_{FA,OD}$	= absolute volume of oven-dry fine aggregate
		$V_{FAvoid\ content}$	= absolute volume of fine aggregate void content
		V_{fiber}	= absolute volume of fibers
		$V_{fly\ ash}$	= absolute volume of fly ash
		$V_{IA,OD}$	= absolute volume of oven-dry intermediate aggregate
		V_p	= absolute volume of paste
		w	= water content
		WRA_{adj}	= adjustment of water requirement for use of WRA
		wt	= weight
		ρ_{water}	= density of water

2.2—Definitions

ACI provides a comprehensive list of definitions through an online resource, “ACI Concrete Terminology,” <https://>

www.concrete.org/store/productdetail.aspx?ItemID=CT13. Definitions provided herein complement that source.

mass (weight)—this usage is provided as an aid to understanding for users of the U.S. customary system in which “mass” refers to pounds mass, but is often erroneously called “weight”, which is mass multiplied by the acceleration of gravity.

stress ratio—ratio of maximum applied flexural stress to modulus of rupture.

vibrator trails—localized areas of segregation characterized as mortar-rich and often with a compromised air-void system.

CHAPTER 3—BASIC PROPERTIES

3.1—Desired properties

This section presents concrete behavior that is desired during production, construction, and service life as related to specific concrete mixture properties and components. Regarding production and construction, a major factor to be considered is workability. In this document, workability includes ease of mixing, transporting, placing, screeding and consolidating, finishing, and texturing. Hardened concrete performance properties of interest include strength, durability, skid resistance, smoothness, and dimensional and shape stability (resistance to excessive warping, curling, or both). Desired properties are addressed in the mixture design phase either by being specified in the contract documents, or by a decision made by the contractor/producer. If the property is specified, then the contractor/producer should work within the specification, but may elect to further enhance the property in the mixture design. If the property is not specified, the contractor/producer may still choose to add requirements/additives for the mixture.

3.2—Workability

3.2.1 *Effects of concrete components*

3.2.1.1 *Effects of water*—A major factor affecting the workability of a concrete mixture is water content. Increasing the water content will increase the flow and compactability of the mixture, but will usually also reduce strength while increasing segregation, bleeding, and permeability (Mindess et al. 2003). Workability decreases with time as water from the mixture evaporates, is absorbed by the aggregate, and reacts with cementitious materials during the initial chemical reactions. Increases in ambient temperatures will accelerate these effects because higher temperatures increase both the evaporation and hydration rates (Mindess et al. 2003).

3.2.1.2 *Effects of aggregate*—Effects on all aspects of workability are related to aggregate particle shape and surface texture, nominal maximum size, and grading. The more rounded and smooth the aggregate, the lower the water requirement; thus, rounded river gravels and sands would be preferred in this regard. The particle shape of the fine aggregate is especially important to finishability. Increased finishability will result in less hand manipulation of the surface, producing a smoother-riding pavement, as discussed in 3.2.5 and 3.6. Regarding ease of mixing, more rounded and smoother aggregate may shorten mixing time. Also, as

aggregate becomes flatter, more elongated, or both (failing a 3:1 shape ratio requirement per ASTM D4791), issues arise regarding increased paste content. In some specifications, the recommended maximum limit of flat and elongated aggregate is 15 to 20 percent. Cubical or well-rounded particles are more mobile under vibration and flow more easily around dowel baskets, chairs, and reinforcement. Angular aggregate, such as manufactured sand, can sometimes cause the surface of the concrete to tear as the mixture moves through the paver.

A more well-graded aggregate has been shown to decrease segregation, which can cause honeycombing. For traditionally-graded mixtures, it is recommended that when coarse aggregate graded from No. 4 to 1-1/2 in. (4.75 to 38 mm) is specified, the coarse aggregates should be furnished in at least two separate sizes, with the separation at the 3/4 in. (19 mm) sieve. For No. 4 to 2 in. (4.75 to 50 mm) material, the separation should be at the 1 in. (25 mm) size. Such separation is not necessary when the specified nominal maximum size of coarse aggregate is 1 in. (25 mm) or less (MoDOT 2011). A more well-graded aggregate has been shown to increase finishability and smoothness. Being well graded, the larger particles will not lock with others because of less direct contact under vibration and finishing. Tearing of the concrete surface through the paver is associated with gap-graded mixtures which are typically deficient in the No. 8 to No. 30 (2.36 to 0.075 mm) sizes. Coarser fine aggregates are recommended to reduce the occurrence of shrinkage cracking and joint raveling. Mixtures that are too sandy or where the fine aggregate is too fine may appear sticky.

Whether to use the traditional two-aggregate blend (coarse and fine), or to optimize the grading with three or more aggregate products, is driven by the benefits of a well-graded combined aggregate. These benefits can include the following:

- a) Enhanced finishability, leading to higher smoothness incentives
- b) Less day-to-day variability, leading to less intermittent problems of edge slump, segregation, and strength variation
- c) Less shrinkage cracking
- d) Less joint raveling

For example, MoDOT allows a 50 lb/yd³ (23 kg/m³) reduction in cement content if an optimized grading is used (MoDOT 2011).

However, potential constraints of using more than two aggregates to optimize grading should also be considered. These constraints could include, but are not limited to, economics, available stockpile space at the plant site, increased time needed for the plant to measure a batch, and increased water demand or paste content if the additional aggregate source contains deleterious materials or undesirable particle shapes. The cost of bringing in a third or fourth aggregate or blending two fine aggregates is often offset by a cement reduction or higher smoothness bonus, or less penalties for excessive cracking (USAF 1997).

The largest nominal maximum size (NMS) consistent with workability should be used to minimize shrinkage cracking and provide the most economical concrete. For two-aggregate systems, however, the choice of a large NMS is often