

Report on Methods for Estimating In-Place Concrete Strength

Reported by ACI Committee 228

ACI 228.1R-19



American Concrete Institute
Always advancing



Report on Methods for Estimating In-Place Concrete Strength

Copyright by the American Concrete Institute, Farmington Hills, MI. All rights reserved. This material may not be reproduced or copied, in whole or part, in any printed, mechanical, electronic, film, or other distribution and storage media, without the written consent of ACI.

The technical committees responsible for ACI committee reports and standards strive to avoid ambiguities, omissions, and errors in these documents. In spite of these efforts, the users of ACI documents occasionally find information or requirements that may be subject to more than one interpretation or may be incomplete or incorrect. Users who have suggestions for the improvement of ACI documents are requested to contact ACI via the errata website at <http://concrete.org/Publications/DocumentErrata.aspx>. Proper use of this document includes periodically checking for errata for the most up-to-date revisions.

ACI committee documents are intended for the use of individuals who are competent to evaluate the significance and limitations of its content and recommendations and who will accept responsibility for the application of the material it contains. Individuals who use this publication in any way assume all risk and accept total responsibility for the application and use of this information.

All information in this publication is provided "as is" without warranty of any kind, either express or implied, including but not limited to, the implied warranties of merchantability, fitness for a particular purpose or non-infringement.

ACI and its members disclaim liability for damages of any kind, including any special, indirect, incidental, or consequential damages, including without limitation, lost revenues or lost profits, which may result from the use of this publication.

It is the responsibility of the user of this document to establish health and safety practices appropriate to the specific circumstances involved with its use. ACI does not make any representations with regard to health and safety issues and the use of this document. The user must determine the applicability of all regulatory limitations before applying the document and must comply with all applicable laws and regulations, including but not limited to, United States Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) health and safety standards.

Participation by governmental representatives in the work of the American Concrete Institute and in the development of Institute standards does not constitute governmental endorsement of ACI or the standards that it develops.

Order information: ACI documents are available in print, by download, through electronic subscription, or reprint and may be obtained by contacting ACI.

Most ACI standards and committee reports are gathered together in the annually revised the ACI Collection of Concrete Codes, Specifications, and Practices.

American Concrete Institute
38800 Country Club Drive
Farmington Hills, MI 48331
Phone: +1.248.848.3700
Fax: +1.248.848.3701

www.concrete.org

Report on Methods for Estimating In-Place Concrete Strength

Reported by ACI Committee 228

Andrew J. Boyd, Chair

Bernard H. Hertlein, Secretary

Todd Allen
 Muhammed P. A. Basheer
 Michael D. Brown
 Nicholas J. Carino
 William Ciggelakis
 Aldo De La Haza
 Ethan C. Dodge

Boris Dragunsky
 Christopher C. Ferraro
 Michael C. Forde
 Mostafa Mohamed Gad Alla
 Eric R. Giannini
 Kerry S. Hall
 Julie Ann Hartell

Frederick D. Heidbrink
 Michael W. Hoag
 Robert S. Jenkins
 Liying Jiang
 Keith E. Kesner
 Hai S. Lew
 Malcolm K. Lim

Larry D. Olson
 Stephen Pessiki
 John S. Popovics
 Natananiel Steven Rende
 Patrice Rivard
 Paul L. Siwek
 Patrick J. E. Sullivan

Consulting Members

John H. Bungey
 Honggang Cao
 Hermenegildo Caratin
 Nestor E. Chonillo

Gerardo G. Clemena
 Neil A. Cumming
 Al Ghorbanpoor
 Alexander M. Leshchinsky

Kenneth M. Lozei
 V. M. Mulhotra
 Claus G. Petersen
 George V. Todoru

Herbert Wiggemhauser

Subcommittee Member

Chris M. McDermott

This report provides methods for estimating the in-place strength of concrete in new and existing construction. These methods include: rebound number; penetration resistance; pullout; pull-off; ultrasonic pulse velocity; maturity; and cast-in-place cylinders. The principle, inherent limitations, and repeatability of each method are reviewed. Procedures are presented for developing the relationship needed to estimate compressive strength from in-place results. Factors to consider in planning in-place tests are discussed, and statistical techniques to interpret test results are presented. The use of in-place tests for acceptance of concrete is introduced. The Appendix A provides information on the number of strength levels that should be used to determine the strength relationship and explains a regression analysis procedure that accounts for error in both dependent and independent variables.

Keywords: coefficient of variation; compressive strength; construction safety; in-place tests; nondestructive tests; sampling; statistical analysis.

CONTENTS

CHAPTER 1—INTRODUCTION, p. 2

- 1.1—Scope, p. 2
- 1.2—Need for in-place tests during construction, p. 2
- 1.3—Influence of ACI 318, p. 3
- 1.4—Recommendations in other ACI documents, p. 3
- 1.5—Existing construction, p. 4
- 1.6—Report objective, p. 4

CHAPTER 2—NOTATION AND DEFINITIONS, p. 4

- 2.1—Notation, p. 4
- 2.2—Definitions, p. 5

CHAPTER 3—REVIEW OF METHODS, p. 5

- 3.1—Introduction, p. 5
- 3.2—Rebound number (ASTM C805/C805M), p. 6
- 3.3—Penetration resistance (ASTM C803/C803M), p. 7
- 3.4—Pullout test (ASTM C900), p. 8

ACI Committee Reports, Guides, and Commentaries are intended to provide guidance in planning, designing, executing, and inspecting construction. This document is intended for the use of individuals who are competent to evaluate the significance and limitations of its content and recommendations and who will accept responsibility for the application of the material it contains. The American Concrete Institute disclaims any and all responsibility for the stated principles. The Institute shall not be liable for any loss or damage arising therefrom.

Reference to this document shall not be made in contract documents. If items found in this document are desired by the Architect/Engineer to be a part of the contract documents, they shall be restated in mandatory language for incorporation by the Architect/Engineer.

ACI 228.1R-19 supersedes ACI 228.1R-03 and was adopted and published January 2019.

Copyright © 2019, American Concrete Institute.

All rights reserved including rights of reproduction and use in any form or by any means, including the making of copies by any photo process, or by electronic or mechanical device, printed, written, or oral, or recording for sound or visual reproduction or for use in any knowledge or retrieval system or device, unless permission in writing is obtained from the copyright proprietors.

- 3.5—Pull-off test (ASTM C1583/C1583M), p. 11
- 3.6—Ultrasonic pulse velocity (ASTM C597), p. 12
- 3.7—Maturity method (ASTM C1074), p. 14
- 3.8—Cast-in-place cylinders (ASTM C873/C873M), p. 16
- 3.9—Strength limitations, p. 16
- 3.10—Combined methods, p. 16
- 3.11—Summary, p. 17

CHAPTER 4—STATISTICAL CHARACTERISTICS OF TEST RESULTS, p. 17

- 4.1—Need for statistical analysis, p. 17
- 4.2—Repeatability of test results, p. 18

CHAPTER 5—DEVELOPMENT OF STRENGTH RELATIONSHIP, p. 23

- 5.1—General, p. 23
- 5.2—New construction, p. 24
- 5.3—Existing construction, p. 27

CHAPTER 6—IMPLEMENTATION OF IN-PLACE TESTING, p. 28

- 6.1—New construction, p. 28
- 6.2—Existing construction, p. 31

CHAPTER 7—INTERPRETING AND REPORTING RESULTS, p. 32

- 7.1—General, p. 32
- 7.2—Statistical methods, p. 33
- 7.3—Reporting results, p. 36

CHAPTER 8—IN-PLACE TESTS FOR ACCEPTANCE OF CONCRETE IN NEW CONSTRUCTION, p. 38

- 8.1—General, p. 38
- 8.2—Acceptance criteria, p. 38
- 8.3—Early-age testing, p. 38

CHAPTER 9—REFERENCES, p. 39

- Authored documents, p. 40

APPENDIX A, p. 44

- A.1—Minimum number of strength levels, p. 44
- A.2—Regression analysis with X -error (Mandel 1984), p. 44
- A.3—Standard deviation of estimated Y -value (Stone and Reeve 1986), p. 46
- A.4—Example, p. 46

CHAPTER 1—INTRODUCTION

1.1—Scope

In-place tests are performed typically on concrete within a structure, in contrast to tests performed on molded specimens made from the concrete to be used in the structure. Historically, they have been called nondestructive tests because some of the early tests, such as rebound number and ultrasonic pulse velocity, were noninvasive and did not damage the concrete. Over the years, however, new methods have developed that result in superficial local damage. There-

fore, the terminology “in-place tests” is used as a general name for these test methods, which includes those that do not damage the concrete and those that result in some near-surface damage. In this report, the principal application of in-place tests is to estimate the compressive strength of the concrete. The pull-off test can be used to estimate the tensile strength of concrete or evaluate bond strength between layers. The significant characteristic of most of these tests is that they do not directly measure the compressive strength of the concrete in a structure. Instead, they measure some other property that can be correlated to compressive strength (Popovics 1998). The strength is then estimated from a previously established relationship between the measured property and concrete strength. The uncertainty of the estimated compressive strength depends on the variability of in-place test results and the uncertainty of the relationship between these two parameters. These sources of uncertainty are discussed in this report. An alternative approach for correlation between tests results and concrete strength is presented in EN 13791 (2007) and BS 6 89 (2010).

In-place tests can be used to estimate concrete strength during construction so that operations requiring a specific strength can be performed safely or curing procedures terminated. They can also be used to estimate concrete strength during the evaluation of existing structures. These two applications require slightly different approaches, so parts of this report are separated into sections dealing with new and existing construction.

A variety of techniques are available for estimating the in-place strength of concrete (Malhotra 1976; Bungey et al. 2006; Malhotra and Carino 2004). No attempt is made to review all methods in this report; only those methods that have been standardized by ASTM International are discussed. Examples of methods not covered include internal fracture tests (Chabowski and Bryden-Smith 1980; Domone and Castro 1987) and torque tests (Stoll 1985).

1.2—Need for in-place tests during construction

In North American practice, the most widely used test for concrete is the compressive strength test of standard cylinders (ASTM C39/39M). This test procedure is relatively easy to perform in terms of sampling, specimen preparation (ASTM C31/C31M), and strength measurement. When properly performed, this test has low single-operator variation and low interlaboratory variation and, therefore, the method lends itself to use as a standardized testing procedure. The compressive strength so obtained is used to verify that the specified strength (f'_c) used to calculate the nominal strengths of structural members has been achieved. Therefore, the compressive strength of standard cylinders is an essential parameter in design codes and project specifications.

When carried out according to standard procedures, however, the results of the cylinder compression test represent the potential strength of the concrete as delivered to a site. The test is used mainly as a basis for quality assurance of the concrete to ensure that contract requirements are met. It is not intended for determining the in-place strength of the concrete because it makes no allowance for the effects