


Manual on **Subsurface** Investigations

2nd Edition • September 2022



AASHTO



1. Drilling on Steep Slope with Difficult Access Using Skid-Rig and Temporary Platform. Photo courtesy of Federal Highway Administration.
2. Determining Shear Strength of Soil Specimen Using Triaxial Testing. Photo courtesy of Federal Highway Administration.
3. Investigating Embankment Slope Failure. Photo courtesy of Federal Highway Administration.
4. Setting Up Electrical Resistivity Line and Electrodes. Photo courtesy of Federal Highway Administration.
5. Collecting Magnetic Survey Data. Photo courtesy of Federal Highway Administration.
6. Cone Penetration Testing (CPT). Photo courtesy of ConeTec.
7. Mud-Rotary Drilling. Photo courtesy of North Dakota Department of Transportation.

© 2022 by the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials.
All rights reserved. Duplication is a violation of applicable law.

Publ. Code: MSI-2

978-1-56051-790-0

2021–2022

Executive Committee

President: Shawn Wilson, Louisiana
Vice President: Roger Millar, Washington
Secretary/Treasurer: Russell McMurry, Georgia

Regional Representatives:

Region I Marie Therese Dominguez, New York
Nicole Majeski, Delaware

Region II Brad White, Mississippi
Vacant

Region III Julie Lorenz, Kansas
Jack Marchbanks, Ohio

Region IV Joel Jundt, South Dakota
Kristina Swallow, Nevada

Immediate Past President: Victoria Sheehan, New Hampshire
Executive Director: Jim Tyson, Washington, DC

Currently in preview, click buy full version

Page left blank intentionally.

2021–2022
**Committee on
Materials and Pavements**

Chair

Mostafa Jamshidi
Nebraska DOR

Vice Chair

Curt Turgeon
Minnesota DOT

STATE DOT MEMBERS

Alabama DOT

Scott W. George
Steven Ingram
Kaye C. Davis

Alaska DOT&PF

Michael San Angelo
Jeff Currey
Andrew Pavey

Arizona DOT

Jesús A. Sandoval-Gil

Arkansas State HTD

Jon Annable

California DOT

Tim Greutert
Dulce Rufino Maldinan
Sergio Acoves

Colorado DOT

Craig Wiedner
Michael Stanford

Connecticut DOT

Robert G. Lauzon
John W. Henault

Delaware DOT

Jennifer Pinkerton
Robin Davis

District of Columbia DOT

Rezene Melton
Jason Griffith

Florida DOT

Timothy Ruelke
Philip G. Davis
Mary Jane Hayden

Georgia DOT

Patrick Allen
Peter Wu
Neoma C. Walker

Hawaii DOT

Mung Fa Chung
Brian Ikehara

Idaho DOT

Chad W. Clawson
Mike Copeland

Illinois DOT

Brian Pfeifer
LaDonna Rowden
Andrew J. Stolba

Indiana DOT

Matthew Beeson
Jim Reilman

Iowa DOT

Jeff De Vries
Scott Schram
Chris Brakke

Kansas DOT

Richard A. Barezinsky
Greg Schieber

Kentucky TC

Allen H. Myers
Brandi R. Mitchell

Louisiana DOTD

Luanna Cambas
Jason K. Davis
Justin W. Morris

Maine DOT

Richard Bradbury
Casey B. Nash

Maryland SHA

Sejal Barot
Eric Frempong
Chung-Lung Wu

Massachusetts DOT

Mary Grieco
Clement Fung
Mark J. Brum
Ed J. Naras

Michigan DOT

Kevin Kennedy

Minnesota DOT

Curt Turgeon
Greg B. Ous

Mississippi DOT

William G. Sullivan

Missouri DOT

Brett Trautman
David D. Ahlvers
John Donahue

Montana DOT

Ross “Oak” Metcalfe
Jim Davies

Nebraska DOT

Brandon Blake Varilek
Moe Jamshidi
Wally J. Heyen

Nevada DOT

Changlin Pan

New Hampshire DOT

Joseph Blair

New Jersey DOT

Edward Inman

New Mexico DOT

Armando Armendariz
Rais Rizvi

New York DOT

Russell Thielke
Christopher Euler
F. Steven Heiser

North Carolina DOT

Todd Whitington
Christopher M. Peoples
Clark Morrison

North Dakota DOT

Matt Linneman
Tyler Wollmuth
Scott W. Wutzke

Ohio DOT

Dan Miller
Gary E. Angles
Patrick Bierl
Craig Landefeld

Oklahoma AOT

Matt Romero

Oregon DOT

Larry D. Ilg
Sean P. Parker

Pennsylvania DOT

Joseph S. Robinson
Timothy Ramirez

Rhode Island DOT

Jose S. Lima
Michael Byrne

South Carolina DOT

Merrill E. Zwanka
Temple Short

South Dakota DOT

Joe J. Feller
Darin Hodges

Tennessee DOT

Heather Hall
Danny L. Lane

Texas DOT

Ryan Barborak
Jenny Li
Enad Mahmoud

Utah DOT

William J. Lawrence
Robert Stewart
L. Scott Nussbaum

Vermont AOT

Mladen Gagulic
Aaron Schwartz
Ryan Darling

Virginia DOT

Charles A. Babish

Washington State DOT

Kurt Williams
Kim Schofield

West Virginia DOH

Ron Stanevich
Vincent Allison

Wisconsin DOT

Barry C. Paye

Wyoming DOT

Greg Milburn

**ASSOCIATE MEMBERS—
INTERNATIONAL**

Ontario Ministry of Transportation
Anne Holt

Quebec Ministry of Transportation
Fernand Doucet

**ASSOCIATE MEMBERS—
OTHER**

Transportation Research Board
Dr. Amir N. Hanna

AASHTO

Casey Soneira
Mark Felag
Ryan Fracapane
Maria Knake
Brian Johnson
John Malusky

Ex Officio—FEDERAL

HIGHWAYS ADMINISTRATION

Brian Fouch
Gina Ahlstrom

Technical Subcommittee: 1b: Geotechnical Exploration, Instrumentation, Stabilization, and Field Testing

Chair

Neoma Walker
Georgia DOT

Vice Chair

Matt Linneman
North Dakota DOT

STATE DOT MEMBERS

Alabama DOT

Kaye Davis
Scott George

Alaska DOT&PF

Daniel Gettman

Colorado DOT

Craig Wieden

Connecticut DOT

Leo Fontaine

Delaware DOT

Robin Davis

Florida DOT

David Horhota

Georgia DOT

Neoma Walker, Chair

Idaho DOT

Mike Santi

Illinois DOT

Daniel Tobias

Indiana DOT

Nayyar Siddiqui

Kansas DOT

Christopher Leibrock

Maryland DOT

Eric Rempong, PE
Rajal Barot

Mississippi DOT

William Sullivan

Missouri DOT

John Donahue

Nevada DOT

Changlin Pan

New Hampshire DOT

Joseph Blair

New York State DOT

F. Heiser

North Dakota DOT

Matt Linneman

Oklahoma DOT

Matt Romero

Oregon DOT

Sean Parker

Tennessee DOT

Travis Smith

Texas DOT

Roberto Trevino Flores

Utah DOT

L. Nussbaum

Virginia DOT

Charles Babish

West Virginia DOT

Ron Stanevich

**ASSOCIATE MEMBERS—
INTERNATIONAL**

Ontario Ministry of Transportation
Anne Holt
Stephen Lee
Zhiyong Jiang

Quebec Ministry of Transportation
Felix Doucet

AASHTO

Casey Soneira
Matt Bluman
Brian Johnson
Gregory Uherek
Maria Knake
Pete Holter
Steven Lenker

ASSOCIATE MEMBERS—

OTHER

Federal Highways Administration
Benjamin Rivers

CONSULTANTS

*Construction Materials
Engineering Council*
David Savage

D B Consulting and Associates, LLC
Desna Bergold

GGFGA Engineering, LLC
Georgene Geary

INSTROTEK, INC.
Ali Regimand

Currently in preview, click buy full version

Table of Contents

List of Tables	xxiv
List of Figures	xxvi
Acknowledgments	xxxiii
Abstract	xxxv
Summary	xxxvii
1 Introduction	1-1
1.1 Purpose	1-1
1.2 Organization of the Manual	1-1
1.2.1 Planning the Investigation	1-2
1.2.2 Executing the Investigation	1-2
1.2.3 Reporting and Presenting the Results of the Investigation	1-3
1.2.4 Supplemental Investigative Information	1-4
1.2.5 Supplemental Administrative Information	1-4
1.2.6 Supplemental Interpretive Information: Interpreting the Results of the Investigation	1-5
1.3 Data Management and Data Exchange for Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Specialists (DIGGS)	1-6
1.3.1 Data Management	1-7
1.3.2 DIGGS	1-7
1.3.3 DIGGS, Electronic Design, and Future Workflow Interoperability	1-8
1.4 Key Complementary Resources	1-8
1.5 References	1-9
2 Geotechnical Uncertainty and Risk	2-1
2.1 Introduction	2-1
2.2 Uncertainty	2-1
2.3 Geotechnical Risks	2-2
2.3.1 Technical Risk	2-2
2.3.2 Financial Risk	2-2
2.3.3 Risk Mitigation	2-3
2.3.4 Geotechnical Risk for Design–Build Projects	2-4
2.4 Load and Resistance Factor Design (LRFD) and Subsurface Investigation	2-4
2.5 References	2-5

3	<i>Subsurface Investigation Processes</i>	3-1
3.1	Introduction	3-1
3.2	Types of Data Required for Subsurface Investigations	3-2
3.2.1	Subsurface Investigation Objectives	3-2
3.2.1.1	Project Planning Investigations	3-2
3.2.1.2	Design and Construction Investigations	3-4
3.2.1.3	Performance Monitoring during Construction and Operation	3-5
3.2.1.4	Forensic Evaluations	3-5
3.2.2	Review of Available Records	3-6
3.2.3	Site Reconnaissance	3-7
3.3	Developing a Subsurface Investigation Plan	3-9
3.3.1	Developing a Geophysical Testing Plan	3-9
3.3.2	Selecting the Number and Locations for <i>In Situ</i> Tests, Drilling, and Sampling	3-10
3.3.3	Determining the Minimum Depth of Investigation at Each Location	3-13
3.3.4	Determining the Required Types of Samples and Sampling Frequency	3-13
3.3.5	Developing an <i>In Situ</i> and Laboratory Testing Plan	3-14
3.3.5.1	<i>In Situ</i> Testing	3-14
3.3.5.2	Sampling Equipment and Methods	3-16
3.3.5.3	Laboratory Testing Program	3-18
3.3.6	Developing a Plan for Evaluating Groundwater Conditions	3-21
3.4	References	3-21
4	<i>Geophysical Investigations</i>	4-1
4.1	Introduction	4-1
4.2	Planning a Geophysical Investigation	4-3
4.3	Surface Geophysical Methods	4-3
4.3.1	Seismic Methods	4-4
4.3.1.1	Seismic Refraction and Reflection	4-6
4.3.1.2	Surface Wave Methods	4-10
4.3.2	Electrical and Electromagnetic Methods	4-11
4.3.2.1	Resistivity	4-12
4.3.2.2	Electromagnetic Methods	4-13
4.3.2.3	Ground Penetrating Radar	4-15
4.3.3	Potential Field Methods	4-17
4.3.3.1	Microgravity	4-17
4.3.3.2	Magnetometry	4-19
4.3.3.3	Self-Potential	4-20
4.4	Borehole Geophysical Methods	4-20
4.4.1	Borehole-to-Borehole and Surface-to-Borehole Methods	4-21
4.4.1.1	Seismic Crosshole Method	4-21
4.4.1.2	Seismic Downhole Method	4-22
4.4.2	In-Hole Logging Methods	4-23
4.4.2.1	Mechanical Methods	4-24
4.4.2.2	Electrical and Electromagnetic Methods	4-24
4.4.2.3	Nuclear Methods	4-24
4.4.2.4	Optical and Acoustic Televiwer Methods	4-26
4.4.2.5	Seismic Methods	4-27
4.5	References	4-29

5	<i>Equipment for Sampling of Soil and Rock</i>	5-1
5.1	Introduction	5-1
5.2	Field Equipment	5-1
5.2.1	Drilling on Land	5-2
5.2.2	Drilling over Water	5-3
5.3	Borehole Advancement Methods	5-3
5.3.1	Manual Methods	5-3
5.3.2	Test Pits	5-4
5.3.3	Auger Drilling	5-4
5.3.3.1	Solid-Flight Augers	5-4
5.3.3.2	Hollow-Stem Augers	5-4
5.3.4	Rotary Drilling	5-5
5.3.5	Measuring While Drilling	5-6
5.4	Soil Sampling	5-8
5.4.1	Split-Spoon Sampling (Disturbed Samples)	5-8
5.4.2	Direct-Push Sampling (Disturbed Samples)	5-9
5.4.2.1	Continuous Hydraulic Push Samplers	5-9
5.4.2.2	Continuous Sonic Samplers	5-9
5.4.2.3	Vibracore Samplers	5-10
5.4.3	Thin-Walled Tube Sampling (Undisturbed Samples)	5-11
5.4.3.1	Shelby Tube Thin-Walled Open Sampler	5-11
5.4.3.2	Laval Sampler	5-11
5.4.3.3	Piston Samplers	5-11
5.4.3.4	Rotary Core Sampling	5-14
5.5	Rock Coring Methods	5-16
5.5.1	Core Bits	5-16
5.5.2	Core Barrel Types	5-16
5.5.2.1	Single-Tube Core Barrel	5-17
5.5.2.2	Double-Tube Core Barrel	5-17
5.5.3	Triple-Tube Core Barrel	5-18
5.5.4	Logging Borings	5-18
5.5.5	Soil Boring Logs	5-19
5.5.6	Logging Rock Core	5-21
5.5.7	Sample Protection	5-22
5.6	Boring Closure	5-23
5.7	References	5-23
6	<i>In Situ Testing of Soil and Rock</i>	6-1
6.1	Introduction	6-1
6.2	Borehole Test Methods	6-2
6.2.1	Standard Penetration Test	6-2
6.2.1.1	Correction Factors	6-3
6.2.1.2	Stress Normalization	6-6
6.2.2	Vane Shear Test	6-6
6.2.3	Pressuremeter Testing	6-9
6.2.3.1	Prebored Menard Pressuremeter	6-10
6.2.3.2	Prebored Monocell Pressuremeter	6-10
6.2.3.3	Self-Boring Pressuremeter	6-11

6.3	Direct-Push <i>In Situ</i> Test Methods for Soils	6-11
6.3.1	Cone Penetration Testing	6-11
6.3.1.1	Piezococone Penetration Testing	6-13
6.3.1.2	Piezo-Dissipation Testing	6-15
6.3.1.3	Seismic Piezococone Test	6-15
6.3.2	Flat-Plate Dilatometer Test	6-18
6.3.3	Direct-Push Monocell Pressuremeter	6-20
6.4	<i>In Situ</i> Tests for Rock	6-20
6.4.1	Plate Load Tests on Rock	6-20
6.4.2	Flat Jack Tests	6-21
6.4.3	Rock Dilatometer	6-22
6.4.4	Large-Field Direct Shear Test	6-22
6.4.5	Rock Borehole Shear Test	6-22
6.4.6	Measurements for Rock Discontinuities	6-23
6.5	References	6-23
7	<i>Hydrogeologic Characterization</i>	7-1
7.1	Introduction	7-1
7.2	Geology and Hydrogeology	7-1
7.3	Aquifer Characteristics	7-2
7.3.1	Hydraulic Conductivity	7-2
7.3.2	Porosity	7-2
7.3.3	Permeability	7-3
7.3.4	Transmissivity	7-3
7.3.5	Storage Coefficient and Specific Yield	7-3
7.4	Aquitard Characteristics	7-4
7.5	Direction and Gradient of Groundwater Flow	7-4
7.6	Groundwater Measurements	7-5
7.6.1	Methods for Groundwater Levels and Pressures	7-5
7.6.1.1	Existing Information Sources	7-5
7.6.1.2	Geotechnical Borings	7-5
7.6.1.3	Monitoring Wells	7-5
7.6.1.4	Piezometers	7-8
7.6.1.5	Geophysical Testing	7-9
7.6.2	Methods for Aquifer Characteristics	7-9
7.6.2.1	Pumping Tests	7-9
7.6.2.2	Slug Tests	7-11
7.6.2.3	Piezo-Dissipation Testing	7-12
7.6.2.4	Packer Tests	7-12
7.7	References	7-13
8	<i>Laboratory Testing of Soil and Rock</i>	8-1
8.1	Introduction	8-1
8.2	Quality Assurance	8-2
8.2.1	Sample Tracking	8-2
8.2.2	Sample Transportation, Storage, and Handling	8-2
8.2.3	Sample Disturbance	8-3
8.2.3.1	Changes in Stress State	8-3
8.2.3.2	Changes in Water Content and Void Ratio	8-3

8.2.3.3	Changes in Soil Structure	8-4
8.2.3.4	Chemical Changes	8-4
8.2.3.5	Mixing and Segregation of Soil	8-4
8.2.4	Assessment of Sample Disturbance	8-4
8.2.4.1	X-Ray Radiography	8-4
8.2.4.2	Volume Change during Reconsolidation	8-5
8.3	Index Property Testing	8-6
8.3.1	Particle Size Distribution	8-6
8.3.2	Moisture Content	8-7
8.3.3	Atterberg Limits	8-7
8.3.4	Unit Weight	8-8
8.3.5	Specific Gravity	8-9
8.3.6	Organic Content	8-9
8.3.7	Electrical Resistivity	8-9
8.3.8	pH Test	8-10
8.4	Soil Classification	8-10
8.4.1	AASHTO Soil Classification System	8-10
8.4.2	Unified Soil Classification System	8-12
8.4.3	Visual-Manual Procedure	8-14
8.4.4	Additional Tests for Soil Classification	8-15
8.5	Compaction Tests	8-15
8.6	Hydraulic Conductivity	8-16
8.6.1	Flexible-Wall Permeameter	8-17
8.6.2	Rigid-Wall, Compaction-Mold Permeameter	8-17
8.7	Consolidation	8-18
8.8	Shear Strength	8-20
8.8.1	Miniature Vane Test	8-20
8.8.2	Direct Shear Test	8-21
8.8.3	Triaxial Tests	8-21
8.8.3.1	Unconsolidated-Undrained Test	8-22
8.8.3.2	Consolidated-Undrained Test	8-23
8.8.3.3	Consolidated-Drained Test	8-24
8.8.4	Direct Simple Shear Test	8-25
8.8.5	Interpretation of Laboratory Strength Data	8-25
8.9	Dynamic Properties	8-26
8.9.1	Resonant Column Test	8-26
8.9.2	Cyclic Triaxial Test	8-27
8.9.3	Cyclic Direct Simple Shear Test	8-28
8.10	Laboratory Test Methods for Characterizing Subgrade Soils and Unbound Bases for Pavement Design	8-29
8.10.1	Resilient Modulus Test	8-29
8.10.2	California Bearing Ratio Test	8-31
8.10.3	Resistance-Value Test	8-31
8.11	Laboratory Tests for Rock	8-31
8.11.1	Moisture Content	8-32
8.11.2	Unit Weight	8-32
8.11.3	Slake Durability of Weak Rock	8-32
8.11.4	Point-Load Strength Index	8-32

8.11.5	Direct Shear Test	8-33
8.11.6	Compressive Strength and Elastic Moduli of Intact Rock Core Specimens	8-33
8.11.7	Indirect Tensile Strength	8-34
8.12	References	8-34
9	<i>Compiling, Reporting, and Presenting Geotechnical Information</i>	9-1
9.1	Introduction	9-1
9.2	Uses of Geotechnical Information	9-1
9.3	Factual Information	9-2
9.3.1	Preexisting Data Resources	9-2
9.3.2	Remote Sensing Data	9-3
9.3.3	Geophysical Information	9-3
9.3.4	<i>In Situ</i> Testing	9-3
9.3.5	Hydrogeologic Information	9-3
9.3.6	Laboratory Testing	9-3
9.3.7	Construction-Phase Testing and Monitoring Results	9-3
9.4	Interpretive Information	9-4
9.4.1	Performance Criteria	9-4
9.4.2	Ground Model	9-4
9.4.3	Design Recommendations	9-5
9.4.4	Construction Considerations	9-7
9.4.5	Geotechnical Instrumentation and Monitoring	9-7
9.4.6	Limit-State Design Information for Foundations and Retaining Structures	9-7
9.4.6.1	Footings	9-7
9.4.6.2	Drilled Shafts and Piles	9-8
9.4.6.3	Earth-Retaining Structures	9-8
9.5	Geotechnical Reports	9-8
9.5.1	Uncertainty of Geotechnical Information	9-8
9.5.2	Geotechnical Reports for Conventional Project Delivery Method	9-9
9.5.2.1	Preliminary Geotechnical Reports	9-9
9.5.2.2	Final Geotechnical Report	9-10
9.5.3	Geotechnical Reports for Alternative Project Delivery Method	9-10
9.5.4	Geotechnical Data Reports	9-10
9.5.5	Geotechnical Baseline Report (GBR)	9-11
9.5.5.1	GBR Purpose	9-11
9.5.5.2	GBR Organization	9-11
9.5.5.3	GBR for Design–Bid–Build Contracts	9-12
9.5.5.4	GBR for Design–Build Contracts	9-12
9.5.6	Geotechnical Design Memoranda	9-12
9.6	Contractual Implications of Geotechnical Reports	9-13
9.6.1	Alternative Delivery Methods	9-13
9.6.1.1	Design–Bid–Build	9-13
9.6.1.2	CMAR	9-13
9.6.1.3	Design–Build	9-14
9.6.1.4	Negotiated General Contractor	9-14
9.6.2	Contractor Briefings	9-14
9.6.3	Legal Implications	9-14
9.6.4	Differing Site Conditions Clause	9-15
9.7	References	9-15

Appendix A. Geotechnical Instrumentation	A-1
A.1 Purpose of Geotechnical Instrumentation.	A-1
A.2 Potential Failure Mechanisms.	A-1
A.3 Instrumentation	A-2
A.3.1 Terminology	A-2
A.3.2 Measurement of Deformation	A-2
A.3.3 Measurement of Pore Pressure	A-4
A.3.4 Measurement of Load and Earth Pressure.	A-4
A.3.5 Measurement of Vibration.	A-4
A.4 Instrumentation System Design	A-5
A.5 Automated Data Collection	A-7
A.5.1 Advantages and Limitations of Automated Data Collection	A-8
A.5.2 Data Loggers	A-8
A.5.3 Data Transmission.	A-9
A.5.4 Data Storage.	A-9
A.6 Monitoring Data Management	A-9
A.6.1 Database Setup	A-10
A.6.2 Data Analysis	A-10
A.6.3 Data Presentation	A-10
A.6.4 Data Reporting.	A-10
A.7 References	A-10
Appendix B Applications of Geotechnical Instrumentation	B-1
B.1 Introduction	B-1
B.2 Embankments.	B-1
B.3 Excavations.	B-2
B.4 Dewatering Systems.	B-3
B.5 Earth-Retaining Structures.	B-4
B.6 Deep Foundations.	B-4
B.7 Grouting	B-6
B.8 Tunnels	B-6
B.9 Preconstruction Monitoring	B-7
B.10 Asset Management	B-7
B.11 References	B-7
Appendix C. Evaluation of Existing Bridge Foundations for Reuse	C-1
C.1 Introduction	C-1
C.2 Assessing the Structural Condition of Existing Bridge Foundations	C-1
C.2.1 Available Records.	C-2
C.2.2 Geophysical Testing Methods	C-2
C.2.2.1 Sonic Echo/Impulse Response	C-2
C.2.2.2 Dispersive Flexural Wave Test	C-3
C.2.2.3 Half-Cell Potential Test	C-4
C.2.2.4 Ultraseismic Profiling.	C-4
C.2.3 Excavation	C-5
C.2.4 Concrete Core Drilling and Laboratory Testing.	C-5

C.3	Information Needed to Evaluate Load-Carrying Capacity	C-6
C.3.1	Available Records	C-6
C.3.1.1	Project Plans	C-6
C.3.1.2	Project Specifications	C-6
C.3.1.3	Geotechnical Reports	C-7
C.3.1.4	Construction Records	C-7
C.3.2	Geophysical Testing Methods	C-7
C.3.2.1	Induction Field Method	C-7
C.3.2.2	Parallel Seismic Method	C-8
C.3.3	Characterizing Foundation Materials	C-9
C.3.4	Load Tests	C-9
C.3.4.1	High-Strain Dynamic Load Tests	C-10
C.3.4.2	Static Load Tests	C-10
C.4	References	C-10
Appendix D. Management of Geotechnical Data		D-1
D.1	Introduction	D-1
D.1.1	Objectives	D-1
D.1.1.1	Benefits of Effective Geotechnical Data Management	D-1
D.1.1.2	Components Required for Effective Geotechnical Data Management	D-2
D.1.1.3	Effective Data Management Strategies	D-3
D.1.2	Organization	D-3
D.2	Basic Features of a Geotechnical Data Management System	D-4
D.2.1	Tenets of Effective Data Management	D-4
D.2.1.1	Single Source for Data Storage	D-4
D.2.1.2	Data that Are Untouched by Human Hands after Entry	D-5
D.2.1.3	Use of Nonproprietary Data Software for Data Use	D-5
D.2.1.4	Spatial Consistency of Every Data Entry	D-5
D.2.1.5	Readily Transferable Data	D-6
D.2.1.6	Documentation of Specific Data Management Strategies	D-6
D.2.2	Data Organization	D-6
D.2.2.1	Data Organization	D-6
D.2.2.2	Introduction to Data Schema	D-8
D.2.2.3	Introduction to Parent–Child Relationships and Primary Keys	D-8
D.2.3	Data Collection	D-9
D.2.3.1	Efficient Data Collection	D-9
D.2.3.2	Forms-Based Data Collection	D-10
D.2.3.3	Automated Data Collection	D-10
D.2.4	Data Referencing	D-10
D.2.4.1	Introduction to Georeferencing	D-11
D.2.4.2	Different Coordinate Systems	D-11
D.2.5	Computing Infrastructure	D-12
D.2.5.1	Different Coordinate Systems	D-12
D.2.5.2	Calculations Stored in Database	D-13
D.3	Guidelines for Implementing a Geotechnical Data Management System	D-13
D.3.1	Project Database	D-13
D.3.1.1	Data Management Practices	D-14
D.3.1.2	User Considerations	D-14

D.3.2	Database Access and Use	D-15
D.3.2.1	Enterprise versus Desktop	D-15
D.3.2.2	Version Control	D-15
D.3.3	Data Validation	D-16
D.3.3.1	Data Dictionary	D-16
D.3.3.2	Acceptable Values	D-16
D.3.4	Data Visualization	D-16
D.3.4.1	End-User Considerations	D-16
D.3.4.2	Reports, Graphs, and Plots	D-17
D.4	Software Requirements for the Data Management System	D-17
D.4.1	Database Software	D-17
D.4.1.1	Software Identification	D-17
D.4.1.2	Advantages, Disadvantages, and Considerations	D-18
D.4.2	Application Software Used by the Database	D-19
D.4.2.1	Typical Software Identification	D-19
D.4.2.2	Advantages, Disadvantages, and Considerations	D-19
D.4.3	Web Viewing and Reporting Alternatives and Options	D-20
D.4.3.1	Coding Requirement	D-20
D.4.3.2	Advantages, Disadvantages, and Considerations	D-20
D.4.4	Geographic Information Systems	D-20
D.4.4.1	Software Identification	D-21
D.4.4.2	Advantages, Disadvantages, and Considerations	D-21
D.5	Data Sources Considered in a Geotechnical Data Management System	D-21
D.5.1	Traditional Project Information Inventories Maintained by Agencies	D-22
D.5.1.1	Field and Laboratory Test Results	D-22
D.5.1.2	Data from Geotechnical Instrumentation	D-22
D.5.1.3	Geohazards	D-22
D.5.1.4	Geotechnical Assets	D-22
D.5.2	Project Data from Nontraditional Sources	D-22
D.5.2.1	Construction Information	D-23
D.5.2.2	Performance Monitoring Information	D-22
D.5.2.3	Maintenance Records	D-23
D.5.3	Historical Information for Region	D-23
D.5.3.1	Geological Information	D-23
D.5.3.2	Hydrologic and Hydrogeologic Data	D-24
D.5.4	Research Data	D-24
D.5.4.1	Agency-Supported Research Findings	D-24
D.5.4.2	National Research Findings	D-24
D.5.5	Geoenvironmental Remediation	D-24
D.5.5.1	Monitoring Well Construction Records	D-25
D.5.5.2	Site-Specific Geologic Information	D-25
D.6	Business Processes Considered in a Geotechnical Data Management System	D-25
D.6.1	Data Collection and Management	D-25
D.6.1.1	Data Collection	D-25
D.6.1.2	Data Management	D-25
D.6.2	Data Analysis and Visualization	D-26
D.6.2.1	Data Analysis	D-26
D.6.2.2	Data Visualization	D-26

D.6.3	Integration of Data with Other Systems	D-26
D.6.3.1	Data Integration with Business Units	D-27
D.6.3.2	Data Integration with Other Technical Units	D-27
D.7	Data Interchange for Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Specialists (DIGGS) Format	D-27
D.7.1	Benefits of Using DIGGS	D-27
D.7.1.1	Electronic Data Structure for Database Development	D-28
D.7.1.2	User-Friendly Data Dictionary	D-28
D.7.1.3	Rules for Managing Metadata	D-29
D.7.1.4	Automatic Data Validation through Independent Software	D-29
D.7.1.5	Tools to Facilitate Data Input, Output, and Exchange	D-29
D.7.2	Requirement for DIGGS Sustainability	D-29
D.7.2.1	User-Friendly Data Input and Output	D-29
D.7.2.2	Sustainable Business Model	D-29
D.7.2.3	Required Use by Agencies	D-30
Appendix E. Quality Assurance Systems		E-1
E.1	Introduction	E-1
E.2	Quality Assurance Plan Key Components	E-2
E.2.1	Introduction	E-3
E.2.2	Purpose	E-3
E.2.3	Quality Assurance/Quality Control Policy	E-3
E.2.4	Roles and Responsibilities	E-3
E.2.5	Quality Assurance/Quality Control Plan	E-3
E.2.5.1	Field Testing	E-4
E.2.5.2	Laboratory Testing	E-4
E.2.5.3	Instrumentation	E-4
E.2.6	Prequalification and Verification.	E-5
E.2.6.1	Field Testing	E-5
E.2.6.2	Laboratory Testing	E-5
E.2.6.3	Instrumentation	E-6
E.2.7	Policies and Procedures	E-6
E.2.8	Standards	E-6
E.2.9	Geotechnical Data Management	E-7
E.2.10	Quality Assurance Performance Monitoring and Improvement Process	E-7
E.3	References	E-8
Appendix F. Health and Safety		F-1
F.1	Introduction	F-1
F.2	General Health and Safety Guidelines	F-2
F.3	Responsibilities	F-2
F.4	Site Investigation Permit	F-2
F.5	Administrative Requirements	F-2
F.5.1	OSHA Poster	F-2
F.5.2	Personnel Safety Certificate	F-2
F.6	Site Inspection	F-2
F.6.1	Utilities and Overhead Utilities	F-3
F.6.2	Clearing the Work Area	F-3

F.7 Health and Safety Plan	F-3
F.7.1 General Safe Work Practices	F-4
F.7.2 Personal Protective Equipment	F-4
F.7.3 Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation and First Aid	F-5
F.7.4 Task Hazard Analysis	F-5
F.7.4.1 Traffic Hazard	F-5
F.7.4.2 Manual Materials Handling	F-6
F.7.4.3 Fire Hazard	F-7
F.7.4.4 Environmental Hazard	F-7
F.7.4.5 Heat and Cold Stress	F-8
F.7.4.5.1 Heat Stress Instruction	F-8
F.7.4.5.2 Heat Stress Breaks	F-8
F.7.4.5.3 Heat Stress Signs and Symptoms	F-9
F.7.4.5.4 Heat Stress Rest Schedule	F-9
F.7.4.5.5 Cold Stress Protective Clothing	F-9
F.7.4.5.6 Cold Stress Freezing Tissue	F-9
F.7.4.5.7 Cold Stress Exposed Skin	F-9
F.7.4.5.8 Cold Stress Work Warming Regimen	F-10
F.7.4.6 Rain and Lightning	F-10
F.7.4.6.1 Rain	F-10
F.7.4.6.2 Lightning	F-10
F.7.4.7 Chemical Hazard	F-10
F.7.4.8 Biological Hazards	F-11
F.7.5 Evacuation Plan	F-12
F.7.6 Emergency Action Planning and Prevention	F-13
F.7.7 Injury Action Planning and Prevention	F-13
F.7.8 Specific Considerations	F-14
F.7.8.1 Mobilizing Drill Rig	F-15
F.7.8.2 Drilling and Sampling	F-15
F.7.8.3 Drilling over Water	F-16
F.7.8.4 Hand Tools and Electrical Devices	F-17
F.8 On-Site Activities	F-17
F.8.1 Daily and Weekly Safety Briefing	F-17
F.8.2 Daily Equipment Inspection	F-18
F.8.3 Drill Rig Inspection Checklist	F-18
F.8.4 Stop Work Authority	F-18
F.8.5 Communication	F-18
F.8.6 Housekeeping	F-19
F.8.6.1 Personal Hygiene	F-19
F.8.6.2 Toilet Facilities	F-19
F.8.6.3 Sanitation	F-20
F.8.6.4 Additional Requirements	F-20
F.9 References	F-1
Appendix G. Contracting Subsurface Investigations	G-1
G.1 Introduction	G-2
G.2 Selecting Firms	G-2
G.2.1 Prequalification	G-2
G.2.2 Scope of Services	G-2

G.2.3	Requirements for Licensing and Registration	G-3
G.2.4	Permitted Roles of Subconsultants	G-3
G.2.5	Submittal Requirements for RFP or RFLOI.	G-3
G.2.6	Selection Criteria and Selection Process	G-3
G.2.7	Submission Schedule and Important Dates	G-4
G.3	Contract.	G-4
G.4	Work Order Assignment and Execution of Tasks.	G-5
G.4.1	Scope of Work for On-Call Contracts	G-6
G.4.2	Scope of Work for Alternate Delivery Contracting Method.	G-7
G.4.3	Quality Assurance/Quality Control.	G-8
G.4.3.1	Role of the Private Engineering Firm in Quality Assurance/Quality Control Implementation	G-8
G.4.3.2	Role of the Agency in Quality Assurance/Quality Control Implementation.	G-8
G.4.4	Contract Administration	G-8
G.4.4.1	Compensation	G-9
G.4.4.2	Invoicing	G-9
G.5	References	G-9
Appendix H. Technology Transfer Strategies		H-1
H.1	Introduction	H-1
H.2	Technical Manuals and Reports	H-1
H.2.1	Federal Highway Administration	H-1
H.2.1.1	Manuals and Guidelines	H-1
H.2.1.2	Geotechnical Engineering Circulars	H-2
H.2.2	Transportation Research Board	H-3
H.2.3	State Departments of Transportation	H-3
H.2.3.1	Alabama	H-3
H.2.3.2	Alaska	H-3
H.2.3.3	Arizona	H-3
H.2.3.4	Arkansas	H-3
H.2.3.5	California	H-3
H.2.3.6	Colorado	H-4
H.2.3.7	Connecticut	H-4
H.2.3.8	Delaware	H-4
H.2.3.9	District of Columbia	H-4
H.2.3.10	Florida.	H-4
H.2.3.11	Georgia	H-4
H.2.3.12	Idaho	H-4
H.2.3.13	Illinois	H-4
H.2.3.14	Indiana	H-5
H.2.3.15	Iowa.	H-5
H.2.3.16	Kansas.	H-5
H.2.3.17	Kentucky	H-5
H.2.3.18	Maine.	H-5
H.2.3.19	Maryland.	H-5
H.2.3.20	Massachusetts	H-5
H.2.3.21	Michigan	H-5

H.2.3.22	Minnesota	H-5
H.2.3.23	Mississippi	H-5
H.2.3.24	Missouri	H-6
H.2.3.25	Montana	H-6
H.2.3.26	Nebraska	H-6
H.2.3.27	Nevada	H-6
H.2.3.28	New Hampshire	H-6
H.2.3.29	New Jersey	H-6
H.2.3.30	New Mexico	H-6
H.2.3.31	New York	H-7
H.2.3.32	North Carolina	H-7
H.2.3.33	North Dakota	H-7
H.2.3.34	Ohio	H-7
H.2.3.35	Oklahoma	H-7
H.2.3.36	Oregon	H-7
H.2.3.37	Pennsylvania	H-8
H.2.3.38	Rhode Island	H-8
H.2.3.39	South Carolina	H-8
H.2.3.40	South Dakota	H-8
H.2.3.41	Tennessee	H-8
H.2.3.42	Texas	H-8
H.2.3.43	Utah	H-8
H.2.3.44	Vermont	H-8
H.2.3.45	Virginia	H-9
H.2.3.46	Washington	H-9
H.2.3.47	West Virginia	H-9
H.2.3.48	Wisconsin	H-9
H.2.3.49	Wyoming	H-9
H.3	Standards and Guides	H-9
H.3.1	American Association of State Highway Transportation Officials	H-9
H.3.2	ASTM International	H-9
H.4	Geotechnical Websites	H-10
H.4.1	Federal Agencies and Organizations	H-10
H.4.2	State Departments of Transportation	H-10
H.4.3	Professional Organizations and Associations	H-11
H.5	Training	H-12
H.5.1	Classroom and Web-Based Training	H-12
H.5.2	Workshops and Conferences	H-12
Appendix I. Evaluation of Soil Properties		I-1
I.1	Introduction	I-1
I.2	Stratigraphy	I-3
I.2.1	Geophysical Methods	I-3
I.2.2	<i>In Situ</i> Tests	I-3
I.2.3	Soil Borings	I-4
I.3	Soil Classification	I-4
I.3.1	Soil Behavioral Type by Cone-Penetration Tests	I-5
I.3.2	Soil Classification by Flat Dilatometer Tests	I-8

I.4	Soil Unit Weight	I-9
I.4.1	Unit Weight from Shear Wave Velocity	I-9
I.4.2	Unit Weight from Cone Penetration Tests	I-10
I.4.3	Unit Weight from Flat Dilatometer Tests	I-11
I.5	Preconsolidation or Effective Yield Stress	I-11
I.5.1	Effective Yield Stress from Cone-Penetration Tests	I-12
I.5.2	Effective Yield Stress from Flat Dilatometer Tests	I-13
I.5.3	Effective Yield Stress from Standard Penetration Tests	I-13
I.5.4	Effective Yield Stress from Shear Wave Velocity	I-15
I.6	Effective Stress Strength Parameters	I-15
I.6.1	Effective Strength Parameters from Cone-Penetration Tests	I-16
I.6.2	Effective Strength Parameters from Flat Dilatometer Tests	I-18
I.6.3	Effective Strength Parameters from Standard Penetration Test	I-20
I.7	Total Stress Strength Parameters	I-21
I.7.1	Undrained Shear Strength from Critical State Soil Mechanics	I-21
I.7.2	Undrained Shear Strength from Cone-Penetration Tests	I-22
I.7.3	Undrained Shear Strength from Flat Dilatometer Tests	I-23
I.7.4	Undrained Shear Strength from Standard Penetration Test	I-24
I.7.5	Undrained Shear Strength from Vane Shear Tests, Pressuremeter Tests, and Other Tests	I-25
I.8	Lateral Stress State	I-25
I.9	Modulus	I-26
I.9.1	Elastic Modulus from Shear Wave Velocity	I-27
I.9.2	Elastic Modulus from Cone Penetration Test	I-29
I.9.3	Elastic Modulus from Flat Dilatometer Tests	I-30
I.9.4	Elastic Modulus from Standard Penetration Test	I-31
I.10	Rigidity Index	I-32
I.11	Flow Parameters	I-33
I.11.1	Coefficient of Consolidation from Piezocone Dissipation Tests	I-34
I.11.2	Coefficient of Consolidation from Dilatometer Dissipation Tests	I-36
I.11.3	Hydraulic Conductivity from Piezocone Dissipation Tests	I-37
I.11.4	Hydraulic Conductivity Estimated from CPT Material Index	I-37
I.12	Special Considerations	I-38
I.13	References	I-38
Appendix J. Evaluation of Rock Mass Properties		J-1
J.1	Introduction	J-1
J.2	Intact Rock Classification	J-2
J.3	Intact Rock Properties	J-3
J.3.1	Specific Gravity of Solids	J-4
J.3.2	Unit Weight	J-5
J.3.3	Seismic Velocities	J-6
J.3.4	Uniaxial Compressive Strength	J-7
J.3.5	Elastic Modulus of Intact Rock	J-8
J.3.6	Poisson's Ratio	J-8
J.3.7	Tensile Strength	J-10
J.3.8	Shear Strength of Intact Rock	J-10

J.4 Rock Mass Classification.....	J-13
J.4.1 Rock Mass Rating	J-13
J.4.2 Norwegian Geotechnical Institute <i>Q</i> -Rating System for Rock Masses	J-17
J.4.3 Geological Strength Index.....	J-18
J.4.4 Estimating Quality of Rock Mass from Seismic Velocity	J-19
J.5 Rock Mass Parameters	J-20
J.5.1 Rock Mass Strength.....	J-21
J.5.1.1 Example Calculations for Rock Mass Strength of Fractured Marble	J-22
J.5.1.2 Equivalent Mohr-Coulomb Parameters for Fractured Marble	J-23
J.5.2 Rock Mass Modulus.....	J-24
J.5.3 Foundation Bearing Resistances	J-25
J.5.4 Unit Side Resistance for Socketed Drilled Shafts	J-27
J.5.5 Rock Rippability and Earthwork Factor	J-28
J.5.6 Other Rock Parameters.....	J-28
J.6 References	J-29

List of Tables

Table 3-1.	Geologic and Man-Made Constraints	3-3
Table 3-2.	Performance Issues and Required Design Properties and Parameters	3-5
Table 3-3.	Documents and Sources of Available Information	3-6
Table 3-4.	Items that Need to Be Evaluated during Field Reconnaissance	3-8
Table 3-5.	Guidelines for Selecting Number of Investigation Locations and Depths of Investigation	3-11
Table 3-6.	Investigation Equipment and Their Applications	3-13
Table 3-7.	Summary of <i>In Situ</i> Tests and Associated Design Parameters	3-15
Table 3-8.	Sampling Equipment and Their Applications	3-17
Table 3-9.	Borehole Advancing Methods and Their Applications	3-18
Table 3-10.	Guidelines for Selecting Laboratory Tests	3-19
Table 4-1.	Matrix of Surface Geophysical Methods in Relation to Investigation Objectives	4-4
Table 4-2.	ASTM Guides and Standards for Surface Geophysical Investigations	4-4
Table 4-3.	Matrix of Borehole Geophysical Methods in Relation to Investigation Objectives	4-20
Table 4-4.	ASTM Guides for Borehole Geophysical Investigations	4-21
Table 5-1.	Rotary Drill Rigs	5-2
Table 5-2.	Standard Drill Rod Sizes	5-6
Table 5-3.	Core Sizes from WG Swivel Type Double-Tube Core Barrel	5-18
Table 5-4.	Basic Rock Types	5-21
Table 5-5.	Rated Quality of Rock Mass Based on RQD	5-22
Table 6-1.	Summary of Hammer Energy Measurements Using Automatic Hammers	6-5
Table 6-2.	SPT Correction Factors for Field Procedures	6-6
Table 6-3.	Types of Cone Penetrometers	6-12
Table 7-1.	Typical Water-Level Measurement Intervals for Observation Wells	7-10
Table 7-2.	Methods for Interpreting Pumping Tests	7-11
Table 8-1.	Specimen Quality Rating System Based on Vertical Strain	8-5
Table 8-2.	Specimen Quality Rating System Based on Change in Void Ratio	8-5
Table 8-3.	Relationship between Electric Resistivity and Corrosion Potential	8-9
Table 8-4.	AASHTO Soil Classification System	8-11
Table 8-5.	Unified Soil Classification System	8-13

Table 8-6.	Soil Constituents for Visual-Manual Procedure	8-14
Table 8-7.	Checklist for Visual-Manual Procedure.	8-15
Table 8-8.	Summary of Equipment and Procedures for Density Tests	8-18
Table A-1.	Attributes of Several Instruments Available for Measuring Deformation	A-3
Table A-2.	Attributes of Several Instruments Available for Measuring Loads and Pressures	A-5
Table A-3.	Attributes of Several Instruments Available for Measuring Vibration	A-5
Table A-4.	Steps of Instrumentation System Design	A-6
Table D-1.	Example of Project Metadata	D-13
Table I-1.	Methods for Evaluating SBT from CPTs	I-6
Table I-2.	Soil Classification Using the DMT.	I-9
Table I-3.	Relationships for Constrained Modulus from DMTs in Various Soil Types.	I-30
Table I-4.	Expressions for Estimating G_{\max} from DMT Readings in Various Soil Types	I-31
Table J-1.	Primary Rock Types Classified by Geologic Origin and Mineral Grain Size	J-3
Table J-2.	Strength and Stiffness Properties of Select Intact Rocks Measured in Laboratory Tests	J-9
Table J-3.	Selected Guideline Values of Peak Friction Angles for Rocks, Joints, and Minerals	J-12
Table J-4.	Selected Guideline Values of Residual Friction Angles for Rock	J-12
Table J-5.	Assessment of Discontinuity Orientation for Tunneling in Fractured Rock	J-17
Table J-6.	RMR Parameter R_f Adjustment for Orientation of Discontinuities.	J-17
Table J-7.	Applicability of the Hoek-Brown Model for Intact and Fractured Rocks.	J-21
Table J-8.	Values of the Disturbance Factor D for GSI Rock Mass Quality System	J-22

List of Figures

Figure 4-1.	Typical Ranges of Compression (Top) and Shear Wave Velocity (Bottom)	4-5
Figure 4-2.	Example Seismic Refraction Tomography Test Results	4-7
Figure 4-3.	Estimated D8R Ripper Performance from Seismic Velocity.	4-8
Figure 4-4.	Seismic Reflection Section Showing Depth to Bedrock.	4-9
Figure 4-5.	Seismic Reflection Section Showing Sinkhole Locations	4-9
Figure 4-6.	Example Rayleigh-Wave Dispersion Curve.	4-10
Figure 4-7.	Example 2D Shear Wave Velocity Cross Section from MASW Test	4-11
Figure 4-8.	Typical Ranges of Electrical Resistivity.	4-12
Figure 4-9.	Example Electrical Resistivity Imaging Results.	4-13
Figure 4-10.	Example Terrain Conductivity Results	4-14
Figure 4-11.	Example GPR Results Showing Base of Fill Layer.	4-16
Figure 4-12.	Example GPR Results Showing Pavement Void Detection	4-16
Figure 4-13.	Example of a Microgravity Profile	4-18
Figure 4-14.	Example of a Microgravity Contour Map.	4-18
Figure 4-15.	Example Seismic Crosshole Data.	4-22
Figure 4-16.	Example Seismic Downhole Data.	4-23
Figure 4-17.	Example Mechanical Caliper, Natural Gamma, and Acoustic Televiwer Logs	4-25
Figure 4-18.	Example Interpretation of Acoustic Televiwer Log.	4-27
Figure 4-19.	Example Results from Seismic Logging.	4-29
Figure 5-1.	HSA Sampling Systems: Drill Rod (Left) and Wireline (Right)	5-5
Figure 5-2.	Rotary Drilling Techniques in Comparison with Other Borehole Methods	5-6
Figure 5-3.	Geometry of Split-Spoon Sampler	5-8
Figure 5-4.	Direct-Push Samples of Soil	5-9
Figure 5-5.	Example of Recovered Sonic Soil Samples.	5-10
Figure 5-6.	Consecutive Vibracore Samples Showing Changes in Stratigraphy	5-10
Figure 5-7.	Components of a Fixed-Piston Sampler.	5-12
Figure 5-8.	Osterberg Piston Sampler.	5-13
Figure 5-9.	Denison Triple-Tube Core Barrel Sampler	5-15
Figure 5-10.	Primary Types of Rock Core Barrels: (a) Single Tube; (b) Rigid Double Tube; (c) Swivel Double Tube; (d) Triple Tube	5-17

Figure 5-11. Recovered Rock Core in Gneissic Granite Bedrock	5-18
Figure 5-12. Example Engineering Boring Log	5-20
Figure 5-13. Evaluation of RQD from Recovered Core.	5-22
Figure 6-1. SPT Set-Up and Procedures	6-2
Figure 6-2. Example of SPT N -Values.	6-3
Figure 6-3. Comparison of (a) Uncorrected (N_m) and (b) Energy-Corrected (N_{60}) SPT Blow Counts.	6-4
Figure 6-4. VST or Field Van for Clays and Silts	6-7
Figure 6-5. Limit Equilibrium Solutions for Calculating Undrained Shear Strength of Rectangular and Tapered Vanes	6-7
Figure 6-6. EVST Results from Selected Depths in Clay Deposit	6-8
Figure 6-7. Example of EVST in Soft Clay	6-8
Figure 6-8. Basic Components and Procedures of the PMT.	6-9
Figure 6-9. Example PMT Pressure–Volume Curve	6-9
Figure 6-10. Basic Set-Up and Equipment for (Electric) CPT.	6-12
Figure 6-11. Common Piezocone Configurations for Pore Pressure Readings	6-13
Figure 6-12. Example Results from CPTu Showing (a) Total Cone Resistance, q_t , (b) Sleeve Friction, f_s , and (c) Pore Pressures, u_2	6-14
Figure 6-13. Example Piezo-Dissipation Tests from CPTu	6-15
Figure 6-14. SCPTu	6-16
Figure 6-15. Example SCPTu Soundings in Sediment.	6-17
Figure 6-16. Example Continuous-Interval SCPTu	6-17
Figure 6-17. DMT Procedures and Measurement Readings	6-18
Figure 6-18. Example DMT Measured Pressure Profiles.	6-19
Figure 6-19. Example DMT Index Profiles	6-19
Figure 6-20. Example PLT on Limestone	6-21
Figure 6-21. Cross Section of Flat Jack Set-Up per ASTM D4729	6-21
Figure 6-22. Rock Borehole Shear Test in Sandstone	6-22
Figure 7-1. Single-Level Monitoring Well.	7-6
Figure 7-2. Multilevel Monitoring Wells.	7-7
Figure 7-3. Example Potentiometric Surface Map	7-8
Figure 7-4. Example Results from Pumping Test in a Confined Aquifer	7-11
Figure 7-5. Example Results from Slug Test in an Unconfined Aquifer.	7-12

Figure 8-1.	Changes in Effective Stress during Drilling, Sampling, and Specimen Preparation	8-3
Figure 8-2.	Example of X-Ray Radiography	8-5
Figure 8-3.	Example of Particle-Size Distribution Plot for a Well-Graded Sand	8-6
Figure 8-4.	Atterberg Limits	8-7
Figure 8-5.	AASHTO Plasticity Chart.	8-12
Figure 8-6.	Plasticity Chart	8-14
Figure 8-7.	Example of Compaction Curves	8-16
Figure 8-8.	Example Results from CRS Consolidation Test	8-19
Figure 8-9.	Example of Casagrande Procedure to Estimate Preconsolidation Pressure.	8-20
Figure 8-10.	Example DS Test Results	8-21
Figure 8-11.	(a) Schematic of Triaxial Apparatus and (b) Assumed Stress Conditions for Triaxial Compression	8-22
Figure 8-12.	Example CU Test Results.	8-24
Figure 8-13.	Example CD Test Results.	8-24
Figure 8-14.	Example DSS Test Results.	8-25
Figure 8-15.	Example Results from Resonant Column Test	8-27
Figure 8-16.	Example Results from a Cyclic DSS Test	8-29
Figure 8-17.	Schematic of the Resilient Modulus Equipment	8-30
Figure 8-18.	Example Results from DS Test on Rock with Discontinuities	8-33
Figure A-1.	An Installed Data Logger Monitoring Deformation, Settlement, and Pore Pressure along a State Highway Embankment.	A-9
Figure B-1.	Example of an Instrumented Embankment	B-2
Figure B-2.	Example Results from an Instrumented Embankment	B-2
Figure B-3.	Instruments Used for Monitoring Excavations, Dewatering, and Earth-Retaining Structures	B-3
Figure B-4.	Example of Instrumentation for Vibration Monitoring and Measured Response.	B-5
Figure B-5.	Example of Instrumentation for Tunnels	B-7
Figure C-1.	SE/IR Test Set-Up	C-3
Figure C-2.	Set-Up for Dispersive Flexural Wave Test	C-3
Figure C-3.	Half-Cell Potential Test Set-Up	C-4
Figure C-4.	Ultraseismic Test Set-Up for Vertical Profiling	C-5
Figure C-5.	Set-Up for the Induction Field Test Method	C-8
Figure C-6.	Parallel Seismic Test Set-Up	C-9

Figure D-1.	Example of a Data Dictionary	D-7
Figure D-2.	Example of Data Schema to Show Primary Keys	D-9
Figure D-3.	Example Project Workflow Diagram	D-14
Figure D-4.	Concept for DIGGS Data Interchange	D-28
Figure I-1.	Example Subsurface Profile Developed from a 2D MASW Survey	I-3
Figure I-2.	Example Subsurface Profile Developed from Cone Resistances of Several CPT Records.	I-4
Figure I-3.	Example Subsurface Profile Developed from Soil Boring Records and SPT Values.	I-5
Figure I-4.	Nine-Zone Soil Behavioral Chart for Conducting CPTs in Soils	I-6
Figure I-5.	Use of CPT Material Index I_c and Algorithms for Nine-Zone Soil Behavioral Chart.	I-7
Figure I-6.	Soil Unit Weight Relationship with Shear Wave Velocity	I-10
Figure I-7.	Soil Unit Weight Estimated from CPT Sleeve Friction	I-10
Figure I-8.	Soil Unit Weight Estimated from DMT Readings.	I-11
Figure I-9.	General Relationship for Effective Yield Stress and CPT Net Cone Resistance in Soils.	I-12
Figure I-10.	General Trend for Yield Stress Exponent and CPT Material Index	I-13
Figure I-11.	General Relationship for Effective Yield Stress and DMT Net Contact Pressure in Soils	I-14
Figure I-12.	General Relationship for Effective Yield Stress and Energy-Corrected SPT N_{60} Resistance in Soils	I-14
Figure I-13.	General Relationship for Effective Yield Stress and Shear Wave Velocity in Soils.	I-15
Figure I-14.	Effective Friction Angle of Sands from CPT-Normalized Cone Resistance	I-16
Figure I-15.	Effective Stress Friction Angle of Clays from CPTu via NTH Solution.	I-17
Figure I-16.	Calibration of NTH Solution with Lab Triaxial and <i>In Situ</i> CPTu Data from 105 Clay Sites.	I-18
Figure I-17.	Effective Friction Angle of Sands from DMT Horizontal Stress Index	I-19
Figure I-18.	Calibration of NTH Solution with Lab Triaxial and <i>In Situ</i> DMT Data from 46 Clays	I-20
Figure I-19.	Empirical Relationship for Effective Friction Angle of Sands from Stress-Normalized SPT N_{60} Value Using Data from Undisturbed Sampling Techniques	I-21
Figure I-20.	Normalized Undrained Shear Strength Ratio versus OCR for Clays Tested in DSS Mode	I-22
Figure I-21.	Cone Bearing Factor N_{kt} for Obtaining Undrained Shear Strength in Clays.	I-23

Figure I-22.	Trends Reported between Undrained Shear Strength and SPT Resistance.	I-24
Figure I-23.	General Relationships between K_0 and OCR in Soils for Loading–Unloading.	I-26
Figure I-24.	Representative Stress–Strain–Strength Curve of Soil in Triaxial Compression Mode	I-27
Figure I-25.	Modulus Reduction Factor of Sands and Clays in Drained and Undrained Loading Expressed in Terms of Mobilized Strength	I-29
Figure I-26.	Dilatometer Modulus versus Net Cone Resistance for Different Soils	I-30
Figure I-27.	Estimate for V_s from SPT	I-31
Figure I-28.	Geologic-Specific Relationship between Equivalent Elastic Modulus and Energy-Corrected SPT N_{60} from DMTs and Foundation Performance.	I-32
Figure I-29.	Rigidity Index from Spherical Cavity Expansion (SCE-CSSM) in Terms of ϕ' and CPT Resistance.	I-33
Figure I-30.	Representative Monotonic Dissipation Record from CPTu ₂ in Mud Island	I-34
Figure I-31.	Dissipation Time Factors from SCE-CSSM Solution at Different Degrees of Consolidation	I-35
Figure I-32.	Definitions of Monotonic versus Dilatory Type for Pore Pressure Response	I-35
Figure I-33.	Correction of Dilatory Dissipation Tests Using Square Root Time Plots to Get t_{50}	I-36
Figure I-34.	Dissipations from DMT A-Reading with Time	I-36
Figure I-35.	Interpreted Coefficient of Permeability from Monotonic t_{50} Dissipations in Soils	I-37
Figure I-36.	Coefficient of Permeability Expression from CPT Material Index in Soils.	I-38
Figure J-1.	Diversity of Rock Types Found in the Conterminous U.S.	J-2
Figure J-2.	Geologic Time Scale and Associated Age of Rocks	J-4
Figure J-3.	Specific Gravity of Solids of Common Rock Minerals	J-4
Figure J-4.	Unit Weight of Saturated Rock versus Porosity	J-5
Figure J-5.	Trend for Rock Unit Weight with <i>In Situ</i> Shear Wave Velocity.	J-6
Figure J-6.	Trends between Measured V_s and V_p for Various Types of Rocks	J-7
Figure J-7.	Measured Stress–Strain Response on Intact Gneissic Rock.	J-8
Figure J-8.	General Trends between Tensile and Compressive Strengths for Intact Rock According to Geologic Origin	J-10
Figure J-9.	General Interrelationships between Strength Parameters of Intact Rock	J-11
Figure J-10.	Basic Components for RMR System.	J-14
Figure J-11.	New RMR Component $R_{23} = R_2 + R_3$ for Number of Discontinuities	J-16

Figure J-12. Depictions of Massive, Favorable, Unfavorable, and Highly Fractured Rock Mass Scenarios for Rock Slope Cuts J-16

Figure J-13. Outline and Components of the *Q* Systems for RMR J-18

Figure J-14. Chart for Assessing the GSI Rating and Quality of Rock Masses J-19

Figure J-15. Correlative Trend for RMR in Terms of Shear Wave Velocity J-20

Figure J-16. Example Calculations of Rock Mass Strength of Marble Using the Hoek-Brown Model J-23

Figure J-17. Example Rock Mass Strength of Fractured Marble from Hoek-Brown Model to Determine *c'* and ϕ' Using (a) Mohr's Circles and (b) *p'-q* Space J-24

Figure J-18. Rock Mass Modulus Estimated from the RMR and *Q*-Rating Systems J-25

Figure J-19. Allowable Bearing Stress for Foundations on Fractured Rock. J-26

Figure J-20. Allowable Bearing Stress for Drilled Shaft Foundations ($L/d > 3$) on Rock J-27

Figure J-21. Unit Side Resistance of Drilled Shaft Foundations Related to Rock Strength J-28

Page left blank intentionally.

Acknowledgments

The research presented in this report was conducted under National Cooperative Highway Research Program (NCHRP) 21-10 by Geosyntec Consultants, Inc. The principal investigators for the work were Mr. Njoroge Wainaina and Dr. Glenn J. Rix. The authors are grateful to the National Cooperative Highway Research Program of the National Academy of Sciences for their support. In particular, the authors would like to acknowledge the contributions and support provided by the NCHRP project managers, Mr. David Reynaud and Dr. Waseem Dekelbab, and the valuable guidance and peer review comments from the NCHRP project panel members.

Page left blank intentionally.

Abstract

The primary purpose of geotechnical subsurface investigations in transportation projects is to collect data that will help transportation engineers and planners identify, assess, and address risks associated with subsurface conditions; select appropriate design parameters; and monitor performance during construction and operation phases of a project's life cycle. The results of the research performed for NCHRP Project 21-10 have resulted in a complete revision and update to the 1988 American Association of State Highway Transportation Officials (AASHTO) *Manual on Subsurface Investigation* that reflects the changes in the approaches and methods used for geotechnical site characterization that the geotechnical community has developed and adopted in the past 30 years. The updated manual provides information and guidelines to help geoprofessionals plan and execute a sound geotechnical site investigation program; use the results to develop a ground model for planning, design, construction, and asset management phases of a project; and report and document the results in a manner that facilitates peer review, communication with stakeholders, and potential future uses.

Page left blank intentionally.

Summary

Subsurface investigation plays a critical role in all phases of transportation project development—planning, design, construction, and operations—as it provides information that is needed to ensure public safety and to make cost-effective decisions. For the past 30 years, transportation engineers and planners have relied on the AASHTO *Manual on Subsurface Investigation* (1988) to provide guidance on best practices for planning and conducting geotechnical subsurface investigations. However, in the past 30 years, significant advances have occurred in the geophysical, *in situ*, and laboratory methods used by geoprosessionals to conduct subsurface investigations. There are also new design approaches (e.g., load and resistance factor design, or LRFD) and project delivery methods (e.g., design–build) that impact subsurface investigations.

These changes in geotechnical practices, design approaches, and project delivery methods warrant an update to the AASHTO *Manual on Subsurface Investigations* to reflect the current state of the practice. The objective of the efforts to update the 1988 manual was to develop a concise, comprehensive document that will be invaluable for planning, executing, and using subsurface investigations and geotechnical characterizations for planning, designing, constructing, maintaining, and managing assets of transportation facilities.

The organization of the updated AASHTO *Manual on Subsurface Investigations* is described in Chapter 1.

The results of the research performed for NCHRP Project 21-10 have resulted in a complete revision and update to the 1988 AASHTO *Manual on Subsurface Investigation*. The updated manual defines a reasonable minimum standard of practice for modern geotechnical site investigations and will enable geoprosessionals to develop cost-effective geotechnical design and construction solutions while optimizing project life-cycle costs, ensuring public safety and environmental sustainability, minimizing contract disputes and cost overruns, and accelerating construction.

Page left blank intentionally.

Chapter 1

Introduction

1.1 Purpose

The primary purpose of geotechnical subsurface investigations in transportation projects is to collect data that will help transportation engineers and planners identify, assess, and address risks associated with subsurface conditions; select appropriate design parameters; and monitor performance during construction and operation phases of an asset's life cycle. Geotechnical risks generally pose the greatest risk to transportation projects because adverse subsurface conditions can have significant impacts on public safety, project schedules, life-cycle costs, and environmental sustainability. A sound subsurface investigation program can mitigate these risks and yield significant dividends for state transportation agencies in terms of cost savings and timely completion of projects. Thus, it is prudent for transportation agencies to include geotechnical investigations as a part of their project development process.

This manual provides information and guidelines to help geoprofessionals (i.e., geotechnical engineers, geological engineers, geologists, and engineering geologists) plan and execute a sound geotechnical site investigation program; use the results to develop a ground model for planning, design, construction, and asset management phases of a project; and report and document the results in a manner that facilitates peer review, communication with stakeholders, and potential future uses.

1.2 Organization of the Manual

The organization of the manual mirrors how the subsurface investigations are typically performed:

- Planning the investigation (Chapters 2 and 3)
- Executing the investigation (Chapters 4 through 8)
- Reporting and presenting the results of the investigation (Chapter 9)

Supplemental information is presented in appendixes that are broadly grouped into three categories—investigative, administrative, and interpretive. Appendixes A through C contain information regarding investigations that are not typically conducted as part of the routine subsurface investigations but are conducted during either construction or operation to monitor performance and assess the condition of existing geotechnical features. Appendixes D through H contain information pertaining to administration