

STANDARD SPECIFICATION FOR

Material Delivery Management Systems

November 2023
First Edition





American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials
555 12th Street NW, Suite 1000
Washington, DC 20004
202-624-5800 phone/202-624-5806 fax
www.transportation.org

© 2023 by the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials.

All rights reserved.

Duplication is a violation of applicable law.

ISBN: 978-1-56051-795-5

Publication Code: MDMS-1

**American Association of State Highway and
Transportation Officials Executive Committee
2023-2024**

Voting Members

Officers:

President: Craig Thompson, Wisconsin*
Vice President: Garrett Eucalitto, Connecticut*
Secretary-Treasurer: Russell McMurry, Georgia
Executive Director: Jim Tymon, Washington, D. C.

Regional Representatives:

REGION I: Vacant
..... William J. Cass, New Hampshire

REGION II: Lorie Tudor, Arkansas
..... Vacant

REGION III: Jack Marchbanks, Ohio
..... Scott Marler, Iowa

REGION IV: Joel Jundt, South Dakota
..... Ed Sniffen, Hawaii

Immediate Past President: Roger Millar, Washington State

*Elected at the 2023 Annual Meeting in Indianapolis, Indiana

Committee on Data Management and Analytics

Chair

Vacant

Vice Chair

Denise Whitney Dahlke
Oregon

MEMBERS

Alabama

Edward Austin
Terence Burke

District of Columbia

Ting Ma
Jonathan
Murphy

Kansas

Shawn Brown
Ed Lew
James Stewart

Alaska

Christine
Langley
Jill Melcher
Dom Pannone

Florida

Jared Causseaux
John Krause
Trey Tillander

Kentucky

Will Holmes
John Moore
Heather Stout

Arizona

James Meyer
Patrick
Whiteford

Georgia

Eric Conklin
Jeffrey Hill
McNeill Suter

Louisiana

Brad Doucet
Trey Jesclard
Chad Parker

Arkansas

Charles Brown
Kevin Thornton
Rex Vines
Jared Wiley

Hawaii

Goro
Suljoadikusumo

Maine

Andy Bickmore
Cindy Owings-
Hutchison

California

Chad Baker
Dara Wheeler

Illinois

David Coladner
Mark Snyder

Maryland

Subrat
Mahapatra

Colorado

Erik Abit

Cheryl
Kulavic-Knope
Becky Motor
Anshul Rastogi

Massachusetts

Rachel Bain

Connecticut

Gregory
Ciparelli
Jenny Petrario
William Pratt

Indiana

Maxwell Black
Bryan Chisholm
Mark Joseph

Michigan

Andy Esch
Janet Harrell
Kyle Nelson

Delaware

Bev Swiger

Iowa

Mark Hansen
Peggi Knight
Adam Shell

Minnesota

David Hedeem
Trent Weber

Mississippi

Ben Cohen

<i>Missouri</i>	Taylor Llans Brian Reagan	<i>Ohio</i>	Ian Kidner Aaron Shvach Susan Thomas	<i>Texas</i>	Erika Kemp Ben McCulloch Anh Selissen
<i>Montana</i>	Ed Ereth Michael Pipp	<i>Oklahoma</i>	Joni Seymour	<i>Utah</i>	Nathan Lee
<i>Nebraska</i>	Lou Anne Daugherty	<i>Oregon</i>	Thomas Amato Michael Woodford	<i>Vermont</i>	Zoe Neaderland Kyle Opuszycki Mandy Shatney
<i>Nevada</i>	Jeramie Brown Casey Smith Suvani Gautam	<i>Pennsylvania</i>	Walter Cook Partha Talluri	<i>Washington</i>	Daniela Bremmer Sreerath Gangula Matt Modarelli Michelle Morgan
<i>New Hampshire</i>	Glenn Davison Steve Detrio Jeff Harpring	<i>Puerto Rico</i>	Luis F. Colon Antonio Cordero-Anglerau Carlos Ramos	<i>West Virginia</i>	Hussein Elkhansa Michelle Oxley Yueming Wu
<i>New Jersey</i>	Narinder Kohli Silpa Reddy Kevin Sereni	<i>Rhode Island</i>	Stephen K...	<i>Wisconsin</i>	Tom Ries
<i>New Mexico</i>	Phillip Montoya Alicia Ortiz Virginia Stubella	<i>South Carolina</i>	Alex Perez Caballero Chowanury Siddiqui	<i>Wyoming</i>	Dan Tolman
<i>North Carolina</i>	Kristin Barnes Emily Jones Ehren Meister	<i>South Dakota</i>	Thad Bauer Dawson Lewis		
<i>North Dakota</i>	Scott Zaininsky	<i>Tennessee</i>	Patrick Eldridge Jermaine Scales		
OTHER STATES		<i>Federal Highway Administration</i>	Brian Brotsos	<i>Transportation Research Board</i>	Sid Mohan Trey Wadsworth
	Kyla Elzinga Penelope Weinberger Robert White				

This page intentionally left blank.

Currently in preview, click buy full version

Table of Contents

Committees	iii
List of Figures	ix
List of Tables	x
1. Scope	1
2. Referenced Documents and Standards	4
3. Terminology	4
4. Construction Requirements	8
5. Keywords	30
Appendixes	31
X1. Examples for Use of Loading, Delivery Event, and Hauler Data	31
X2. MDMS Workflows	32
X3. Agency's MDMS Standardized Software (Veta)	41
X4. Example of Static Geofences and Loading and Delivery Event Data	56
X5. Example of Agency Verification	58

This page intentionally left blank.

Currently in preview, click buy full version

List of Figures

Figure 1	Schematic of MDMS Data	2
Figure X2.1	Schematic of MDMS Workflow (Preconstruction Process)	33
Figure X2.2	Schematic of MDMS Workflow (Source Process)	34
Figure X2.3	Schematic of MDMS Workflow (Delivery Process)	35
Figure X2.4	Schematic of MDMS Workflow (End of Day Review)	36
Figure X2.5	Schematic of MDMS Workflow (Data Transmittal Process)	37
Figure X2.6	Schematic of Veta Workflow (Preconstruction to Source Event)	38
Figure X2.7	Schematic of Veta Workflow (Source Lab Test Data to Source Event)	39
Figure X2.8	Schematic of Veta Workflow (Dump Lab Test Data to Source Event)	40
Figure X4.1	Example of Source and Contract Geofences Created in Contractor's MDMS	57
Figure X4.2	Example of Project–Category Geofences Created in Veta	57
Figure X5.1	Example Agency Verification Workflow	59

List of Tables

Table 1	Source (E-Ticket) Data Fields in Contractor's MDMS for each Data Block	10
Table 2	Lookup Table for Voided Ticket	17
Table 3	Lookup Table for Units of Measurement	18
Table 4	Lookup Table for Ingredient Code	18
Table 5	Lookup Table for Ingredient Code for Additives and Admixtures	18
Table 6	Lookup Table for Ingredient Code for Aggregate	19
Table 7	Lookup Table for Ingredient Code for Cementitious	19
Table 8	Lookup Table for Ingredient Source Names for Water	20
Table 9	Fields in Contractor's MDMS for Source Identification Data	20
Table 10	Lookup Table for Portable Plant	20
Table 11	Trailer Data Fields in Contractor's MDMS for each Data Block	21
Table 12	Lookup Table for Truck Driver Classification	23
Table 13	Loading and Delivery Event Data Fields in Contractor's MDMS for each Data Block	23
Table 14	Standardized Naming Convention for Geofence Identification	24
Table 15	Lookup Table for Geofence Type	25
Table 16	Lookup Table for Loading and Delivery Event Type	25
Table 17	MDMS Data Collection Associated with Application	28
Table X3.1	Testing and Contract Administration Fields in Veta for each Data Block	43

Table X3.2	Lookup Table for Location	48
Table X3.3	Lookup Table for Testing and Contract Administration Event Type	48
Table X3.4	Lookup Table for Split Loads	48
Table X3.5	Lookup Table for Manual Recording of Dump Event	48
Table X3.6	Lookup Table for Sampling	49
Table X3.7	Lookup Table for Testing	49
Table X3.8	Lookup Table for Field Ingredients Added	49
Table X3.9	Example Lookup Table for “Sampled From” Values	49
Table X3.10	Example Lookup Table for Test Type	50
Table X3.11	Lookup Table for Visual Stability Index (VSI) Values	52
Table X3.12	Example Lookup Table for Point of Placement	52
Table X3.13	Agency Verification Fields in Veta for each Data Block	53
Table X3.14	Lookup Table for Verification	55
Table X3.15	Agency Verification Fields Requiring Data Entry	56
Table X5.1	Example Schedule for Agency Verification of MDMS Data	58

STANDARD SPECIFICATION FOR
Material Delivery
Management Systems

1. SCOPE

1.1. This Specification provides haulers, agencies, contractors, suppliers, and vendors with a standardized format for digitalized communication of data associated with the delivery of material to a contract, such as:

- ✦ source (e-tickets);
- ✦ hauler;
- ✦ loading and delivery events;
- ✦ testing and contract administration; and
- ✦ agency verification data.

1.2. Within the format of this Specification, individual agencies will select which data blocks are necessary for the e-ticket and associated material delivery management system (MDMS).

1.3. This Specification provides a listing of possible data blocks that an agency may want to require.

Note 1—This Specification contains some features and data blocks that may or may not be currently provided by all MDMS vendors. Care must be taken as to which requirements to include within an agency’s contract. Additionally, it is beneficial to be transparent with both vendors and contractors as to data blocks and features that will be desired in the future, even if these items are not required in the current agency contract.

1.4. This work shall consist of capturing source (e-tickets); hauler; loading and delivery events; testing and contract administration; and agency verification data associated with delivery of material to a contract, for asphalt, concrete, and aggregate applications, in a digitalized format.




Figure 1—Schematic of MDMS Data

Note 2—Other material types will continue be added to this Specification in future editions.

- 1.5. **Figure 1** illustrates a schematic of the data associated with the MDMS.
- 1.6. Source data is defined as data generated by the source's loadout software, such as contract, project, source, and mix design identification; material code; ticket number; and loading and weight information. This data is considered the e-ticket.
- 1.7. Loading and delivery event data is defined as data such as dumping details, date and time stamps for given event types, and durations. Loading and delivery event data collected and stored in a system separate from the MDMS must contain the ticket number. See [Appendix X1](#) for examples describing use of this data.
- 1.8. Testing and contract administration data is defined as data generated by the Engineer and/or Contractor, such as acceptance/rejection, wasted material quantity, water and concrete admixture quantities added in field to ready-mixed concrete,

field ingredients added quantities, sampling information, split load pay items and quantities, testing results, etc.

- 1.9. Hauler data is defined as data generated by the hauler (e.g., truck identification, driver name, broker name, DOT number, maximum gross weight, etc.). See [Appendix X1](#) for examples describing use of hauler data.
- 1.10. The Contractor shall set up source(s), trucks, and dump locations with the needed MDMS components.
- 1.11. Ticket identification, source identification, and loading date and time are used to distinctly identify the e-ticket number on a contract in [Tables 1, 11, 13, X3.1, and X3.13](#), as ticket identifications can be similar between multiple sources or the numbering system could be reset.
- 1.12. This Specification is to be applied during the entire material delivery operation.
- 1.13. All tasks are the Contractor's responsibility, unless designated otherwise within this Specification.
- 1.14. [Figures X2.1 through X2.5](#) present the workflow which guides the user through pre-construction activities, the source process, delivery of material, end-of-day activities such as reconciling quantities and labor compliance reviews, and data transmittal processes.
- 1.15. [Figures X2.6 through X2.8](#) present the workflow guiding the user through the pre-construction and construction activities with respect to Veta. [Appendix X3](#) contains details pertaining to standardized viewing, data fields, data transmittal and exports, and user interface associated with Veta.

Note 3—Veta enhancements are currently under contract to provide the following:

- (1) an agency-standardized platform for real-time viewing of MDMS data in the field;
- (2) user interface for entry of testing, contract administration, and agency verification data (for agencies that do not use AASHTOWare Project's interface for these features);
- (3) agency creation of project and category geofences; and
- (4) recording of the dump geofence name.

TPF-5 (446) National Road Research Alliance is funding these enhancements. It is anticipated that this platform will be available in early 2024. Vendors may elect to include these features within their MDMS as an intermediate solution until Veta enhancements are completed, if desired; however, this will not be a vendor requirement in this Specification.