

AMERICAN ASSOCIATION
OF STATE HIGHWAY AND
TRANSPORTATION OFFICIALS

AASHTO

GSBSM-1-UL
ISBN: 978-1-56051-724-5

Guide Specifications for Internal Redundancy of Mechanically-Fastened Built-Up Steel Members

2018
First Edition

AASHTO

American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials
44 North Capitol Street, NW, Suite 249
Washington, DC 20001
202-624-5800 phone/202-624-5806 fax
www.transportation.org

Cover photos: **Left:** Blue Water Bridge, Port Huron, MI. The M&M-designed Blue Water Bridge carries I-69/94 over the St. Clair River between Michigan and Canada. The original bridge is a continuous steel cantilever through truss with an 871-ft main span. The twin bridge is a 922-ft tied arch bridge. Courtesy of Thomas Murphy, Modjeski & Masters, Inc. **Right:** Point Marion Bridge, Point Marion, PA. Courtesy of Anthony Ream, HDR, Inc.

© 2018 by the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials. All rights reserved.
Duplication is a violation of applicable law.

ISBN: 978-1-56051-724-5

Pub Code: GSBSM-1

AMERICAN ASSOCIATION
OF STATE HIGHWAY AND
TRANSPORTATION OFFICIALS
AASHTO

GSBSM-1-UL
ISBN: 978-1-56051-724-5

Guide Specifications for Internal Redundancy of Mechanically-Fastened Built-Up Steel Members

2018
First Edition

AMERICAN ASSOCIATION OF STATE HIGHWAY AND TRANSPORTATION OFFICIALS
444 North Capitol Street, N.W. Suite 249
Washington, D.C. 20001

**EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE
2018-2019**

OFFICERS:

PRESIDENT: Carlos Braceras, Utah*

VICE PRESIDENT: Patrick McKenna, Missouri*

SECRETARY-TREASURER: Scott Bennett, Arkansas*

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR: Bud Wright, Washington, D. C.

REGIONAL REPRESENTATIVES:

REGION I: Jennifer Cohan, Delaware
Victoria Sheehan, New Hampshire

REGION II: Russell McMurry, Georgia

REGION III: Joe McGuinness, Indiana
Mark Lowe, Iowa

REGION IV: Roger Millar, Washington
Marc Luiker, Alaska

IMMEDIATE PAST PRESIDENT: John Schroer, Tennessee

***Elected at the 2018 Annual Meeting in Atlanta, Georgia**

AASHTO COMMITTEE ON BRIDGES AND STRUCTURES, 2018

GREGG FREDRICK, *Chair*

BRUCE V. JOHNSON, *Vice Chair*

JOSEPH L. HARTMANN, Federal Highway Administration, *Secretary*

PATRICIA J. BUSH, *AASHTO Liaison*

ALABAMA, Eric J. Christie, William “Tim” Colquett,
Randall B. Mullins

ALASKA, Leslie Daughtery, Elmer E. Marx, Richard
A. Pratt

ARIZONA, David B. Benton,
David L. Eberhart, Pe-Shen Yang

ARKANSAS, Charles “Rick” Ellis, Mike Hill, Joe
Santini

CALIFORNIA, Thomas A. Ostrom, Gedmund
Setberg, Dolores Valls

COLORADO, Behrooz Far, Stephen Harelson, Jessica
Martinez

CONNECTICUT, Mary E. Baker, Timothy D. Fields

DELAWARE, Jason Arndt, Jason Hastings, Craig A.
Stevens

DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, Donald L. Cooney,
Konjit C. “Connie” Eskender, Richard Kenney

FLORIDA, Sam Fallaha, William Potter, Jeff
Pouliotte

GEORGIA, Clayton Bennett, Bill DuVall, Steve
Gaston

HAWAII, James Fu, Kevin Murata, John Williams

IDAHO, Matthew Farrar

ILLINOIS, Tim A. Armbrrecht, Carl Puzey, Jayme
Schiff

INDIANA, Andrew Fitzgerald, Jeremy Hunter, Anne
M. Rearick

IOWA, Ahmad Abu-Hawash, James S. Nelson

KANSAS, Mark E. Hoppe, John P. Jones, Kurt
Niehaus

KENTUCKY, Bart Asher, Andy Barber, Marvin
Wolfe

LOUISIANA, Arthur D’Amico, Paul Fossier,
Zhengzheng “Jenny” Li

MAINE, Jeffrey S. Folsom, Wayne Frankhauser,
Michael Wicht

MARYLAND, Maurice Agostino, Jesse Creel, Jeffrey
L. Roberts

MASSACHUSETTS, Alexander K. Bardow, Thomas
Donald, Joseph Rigney

MICHIGAN, Matthew Chynoweth, Rebecca Curtis,
Richard E. Liptak

MINNESOTA, Arielle Ehrlich, Ed Lutgen,
Kevin Western

MISSISSIPPI, Aaron Cagle, Justin Walker, Scott
Westerfield

MISSOURI, Dennis Heckman, Greg E. Sanders,
Scott Stotlemeyer

MONTANA, Amanda Jackson, Dustin E. Rouse

NEBRASKA, Mark Ahlman, Fouad Jaber, Mark J.
Traynowicz

NEVADA, Troy Martin, Jessen Mortensen

NEW HAMPSHIRE, Robert Landry, David L. Scott

NEW JERSEY, Xiaohua “Hannah” Cheng, Eddy
Germain, Dave D. Lambert

NEW MEXICO, Kathy Crowell, Jeff C. Vigil

NEW YORK, Brenda Crudele, Ernest Holmberg,
Richard Marchione

NORTH CAROLINA, Brian Hanks,
Scott Hidden, Girchuru Muchane

NORTH DAKOTA, Jon D. Ketterling, Jason R.
Thorenson

OHIO, Alexander B.C. Dettlaff,
Timothy J. Keller, Jeffrey Nyar

OKLAHOMA, Steven Jacoby, Walter Peters, Tim
Tegeler

OREGON, Bruce Johnson, Albert Nako,
Tanarat Pookk

PENNSYLVANIA, James M. Long, Thomas P.
Mancione, Lou Ruzzi

PUEBLO COUNTY, (Vacant)

RHODE ISLAND, Georgette Chahine, Keith Gaulin

SOUTH CAROLINA, Terry B. Koon, Jeff Sizemore

SOUTH DAKOTA, Steve Johnson, Dave Madden,
Todd S. Thompson

TENNESSEE, John S. Hastings, Ted A. Kniazewycz

TEXAS, Bernie Carrasco, Jamie F. Farris, Gregg A.
Freeby

U.S. DOT, Joseph L. Hartmann

UTAH, Rebecca Nix, Carmen Swanwick, Cheryl
Hersh Simmons

VERMONT, Kristin M. Higgins, Jim Lacroix

VIRGINIA, Prasad L. Nallapaneni,
Kendal R. Walus, Andrew M. Zickler

WASHINGTON, Tony M. Allen,
Mark A. Gaines, Bijan Khaleghi

WEST VIRGINIA, Ahmed Mongi, William Varney

WISCONSIN, Scot Becker,
William C. Dreher

WYOMING, Jeff R. Booher, Paul G. Cortez,
Gregg C. Frederick, Michael E. Menghini

DELAWARE RIVER AND BAY AUTHORITY,
Shoukry Elnahal

MDTA, Dan Williams

**MULTNOMAH COUNTY TRANSPORTATION
DIVISION**, Jon Henrichsen

N.Y. STATE BRIDGE AUTHORITY, William
Moreau

**U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS—
DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY**, Phillip W.
Sausser

U.S. COAST GUARD, Kamal Elnahal
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE—
FOREST SERVICE, John R. Kattell

TRANSPORTATION RESEARCH BOARD,
Waseem Dekelbab

Currently in preview, click buy full version

FOREWORD

While there is variability in the definitions found in US specifications, fracture-critical members (FCMs) are generally considered to be steel primary members or portions thereof, subject to tension, whose failure would probably cause a portion of, or the entire bridge to collapse. The decision to define members as FCMs has been made without considering internal redundancy; in other words, the redundancy within an individual member built-up from multiple components that provides mechanical separation of elements to limit crack propagation across the entire member cross-section. Prior to the development of these Guide Specifications, no standards existed on how to establish whether adequate internal redundancy exists in a mechanically-fastened built-up steel member. Transportation Pooled Fund Project TPF-5(253) “Evaluation of Member Level Redundancy in Built-up Steel Members” was intended to address issues in performing a “credible” analysis, identifying members with adequate internal redundancy to resist complete cross-section failure should one component suddenly fail (Hebdon et al., 2015; Lloyd et al., 2018). The resistance to such a failure mode is referred to as cross-boundary fracture resistance (CBFR). Existing and newly designed members satisfying the provisions of these Guide Specifications need not be classified as FCMs, but rather may be classified as Internally Redundant Members (IRMs).

These provisions also include a methodology to establish the interval for inspections specifically intended to identify whether any of the tension components have failed. In the context of this Guide Specification, this inspection is referred to as a Special Inspection for IRMs and must be of sufficient depth to reliably detect a severed component. This is a major departure from the current calendar-based approach to setting inspection intervals, and is a first step toward the development of an integrated fracture control plan by AASHTO. It is also important to note that the primary objective of the in-service special inspections of IRMs is to detect fully severed components in a built-up member rather than very small fatigue cracks emanating from any one of thousands of fastener holes. Probability of detection (POD) studies suggest that it is unrealistic to assume that such small cracks can be identified with a high level of reliability using traditional visual inspection techniques. Considering the sheer number of possible locations where a crack can initiate in a built-up member (i.e., at each fastener), this finding is not very surprising. In contrast, the likelihood of detecting a severed component in a built-up member is much higher.

The user is cautioned and must recognize that traditional on or in-depth inspections may be desirable for other reasons, such as detecting corrosion. Thus, the Owner may wish to consider such inspections at shorter intervals than indicated herein. Further, it is noted that these provisions are not intended to justify leaving a severed component of a member in service for an extended period once discovered. Such damage, once found, should be repaired as quickly as practical.

Throughout these Guide Specifications, a condition during which an individual component of the built-up member is assumed to have severed is referred to as the “faulted state”. It is in this condition (i.e., with an assumed severed component) that these Guide Specifications are intended to apply. The provisions are applicable for both evaluating existing members and for use during the design of new members. They are not applicable for ratings or other evaluations when all components are intact.

A new reliability-based load combination used to evaluate internal redundancy of built-up members was developed to achieve a target reliability index in the faulted state. This load combination is based on the research described in NCHRP Report 833 (Connor et al., 2018), which used the same procedures that were previously used to create the various load combinations specified in the *AASHTO LRFD Bridge Design Specifications* (AASHTO, 2017) (referred to as LRFD Design hereafter). Criteria have also been developed for the strength and service limit states to determine if the bridge demonstrates sufficient performance in the faulted state.

The commentary directs attention to other documents that provide references and suggestions for carrying out the requirements and the intent of these Guide Specifications. The commentary is not intended to provide every detail related to the development of these Guide Specifications, nor is it intended to provide a detailed summary of the studies and research data reviewed in formulating these Guide Specifications. Detailed examples showing the application of these Guide Specifications are provided in Appendix B.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

SECTION 1—GENERAL	1-1
1.1—GENERAL	1-1
1.2—DEFINITIONS	1-1
1.3—NOTATION	1-2
1.4—GENERAL REQUIREMENTS.....	1-2
1.5—REQUIREMENTS FOR FLEXURAL MEMBERS	1-4
1.5.1—Requirements for New Design of Internally Redundant Built-up Flexural Members.....	1-6
1.6—REQUIREMENTS FOR AXIALLY LOADED TENSION MEMBERS	1-6
1.6.1—Requirements for New Design of Internally Redundant Built-up Axially Loaded Tension Members	1-7
1.6.2—Specific Requirements for Built-up Tension Tie Members.....	1-8
1.6.3—Specific Requirements for Multi-Eyebars	1-9
1.7—APPLIED LOAD IN THE FAULTED STATE	1-9
1.7.1—Flexural Members	1-9
1.7.2—Axially Loaded Tension Members.....	1-10
1.8—IN-SERVICE SPECIAL INSPECTIONS	1-11
SECTION 2—PERFORMANCE IN THE FAULTED STATE	2-1
2.1—CALCULATED FLEXURAL STRESS IN THE FAULTED STATE	2-1
2.1.1—General.....	2-1
2.1.2—Noncomposite Flexural Members	2-1
2.1.3—Composite Flexural Members.....	2-2
2.2—CALCULATED STRESSES IN THE FAULTED STATE DUE TO AXIAL TENSION.....	2-3
2.2.1—Multi-component Truss Members: Plate Failures	2-3
2.2.1.1—Multi-component Truss Members: Failed Angle Check.....	2-7
2.2.2—Two-component Truss Members	2-8
2.2.3—Multi-eyebars and Two-eyebars Truss Members.....	2-9
2.2.4—Members Acting as Tension Ties.....	2-9
2.3—STRENGTH DESIGN CRITERIA IN THE FAULTED STATE.....	2-9
2.4—DEFLECTION	2-10
2.5—FATIGUE DESIGN CRITERIA IN THE FAULTED STATE	2-10
2.5.1—Case Ia: Infinite Fatigue Life Check for Members Satisfying Case I Requirements in the Faulted State	2-13
2.5.2—Case Ib: Finite Life Fatigue Check for Members Satisfying Case I Requirements in the Faulted State	2-13

2.5.3—Finite Life Fatigue Check for Members Satisfying Case II Requirements in the Faulted State	2-14
2.6—FASTENER HOLE TYPE—EXISTING MEMBERS	2-15
SECTION 3—MAXIMUM INTERVAL FOR SPECIAL INSPECTIONS FOR IDENTIFYING	
INDIVIDUAL SEVERED COMPONENTS IN IRMS	3-1
3.1—GENERAL	3-1
3.2—UPDATING THE FUTURE SPECIAL INSPECTION INTERVAL	3-2
REFERENCES	4-1
Appendix A: IRM Procedures Flowchart.....	A-1
Appendix B: Examples.....	B-1

SECTION 1 GENERAL

1.1—GENERAL

These provisions shall be used for the evaluation of internal redundancy in built-up members traditionally designated as Fracture Critical Members (FCM). These provisions shall be used during the design of new members or the evaluation of existing members built-up from plates and rolled shapes that are mechanically fastened using high-strength bolts or rivets and subject to flexure or axial tension.

Members satisfying the requirements of these provisions shall be considered to possess sufficient internal redundancy to provide safety against complete brittle fracture of the entire member, in the event sudden fracture of an individual tension load-carrying component occurs. Such members shall be classified as Internally Redundant Members (IRMs). In new designs, IRMs shall be identified on the contract plans.

A member shall be considered to be in the faulted state when any single tension load-carrying component of the built-up member, e.g., a single angle, cover plate, or an individual component of an axial member, is assumed to be completely severed.

1.2—DEFINITIONS

- Bearing Ratio** = The maximum allowable ratio of rivet bearing stress to plate tension stress.
- Built-up Member** = A member in which the plates and angles comprising the portion of the member in tension are mechanically-fastened together with rivets or high-strength bolts.
- Collapse** = A major change in the geometry of the bridge rendering it unfit for use, as defined in LRFD Design (AASHTO, 2017) and the MBE (AASHTO, 2018).
- Component (of a Primary Member)** = A portion of a (primary) member with a specific design function; for example, a cover plate attached to the flange of a girder, the web plate of a girder, or an angle used to attach components together.
- Cross Boundary Fracture Resistance (CBFR)** = The ability of an IRM to resist complete failure of the cross-section should one component suddenly fail.
- Faulted State** = State of a member in which a single component is assumed to be severed, as opposed to the unfaulted state.
- Fracture Critical Member (FCM)** = A steel primary member or portion thereof subject to tension whose failure would probably cause a portion of or the entire bridge to collapse.

C1.1

These provisions describe a procedure for evaluating the internal redundancy of tension components of built-up flexural members and tension members. Internal redundancy is also often referred to as member level redundancy (MLR). To facilitate implementation, a flowchart describing the overall procedure is provided in Appendix A.

The term Internally Redundant Member (IRM) applies to the entire member if it satisfies the provisions specified herein; i.e., a member cannot have a component designated as a FCM, and another as an IRM.

The term “shall” denotes a requirement for compliance with these Specifications.

The term “should” indicates a strong preference for a given criterion.

The term “may” indicates a criterion that is usable, but other local and suitably documented, verified, and approved criterion may also be used in a manner consistent with the approaches described in the current Guide Specification.