

Guide for Pavement Friction

2nd Edition • December 2022



Cover photo credits:

1. (top left) Typical asphalt pavement. Photo courtesy of Caltrans Pavement Program.
2. (top right) F.W. SCRIM truck outside parking bay in VTTI. Photo courtesy of Edgar de León Izeppi, Center for Sustainable and Resilient Infrastructure (CSRI), Virginia Tech.
3. (bottom right): Typical concrete pavement. Photo courtesy of Caltrans Pavement Program.
4. (bottom left): ASTM E274 Locked Wheel. Photo courtesy of Florida DOT.

© 2022 by the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials.
All rights reserved. Duplication is a violation of applicable law.

ISBN: 978-1-56051-798-6

Pub Code: GPVF-2

2021–2022

Executive Committee

President: Roger Millar, Washington
Vice President: Paul Ajegba, Michigan
Secretary/Treasurer: Russell McMurry, Georgia

Regional Representatives:

Region I	Nicole Majeski, Delaware Vacant
Region II	Vacant Lorie Tudor, Arkansas
Region III	Nancy Daubenberger, Minnesota Jack Marchbanks, Ohio
Region IV	Kristina Swallow, Nevada Joel Jundt, South Dakota

Immediate Past President: Cheryl Wilson, Louisiana
Executive Director: Jim Tyson, Washington, DC

Currently in preview, click buy full version

Page left blank intentionally.

2021–2022

Committee on Materials and Pavements

Chair

Mostafa Jamshidi
Nebraska DOT

Vice Chair

Curt Turgeon
Minnesota DOT

STATE DOT MEMBERS

Alabama DOT

Scott W. George
Steven Ingram
Kaye C. Davis

Alaska DOT&PF

Michael San Angelo
Jeff Currey
Andrew Pavvey

Arizona DOT

Jesús A. Sandoval-Gil

Arkansas State HTD

Jon Annable

California DOT

Tim Greutert
Dulce Rufino Maldinan
Sergio Acoves

Colorado DOT

Chris Wiedner
Michael Stanford

Connecticut DOT

Robert G. Lauzon
John W. Henault

Delaware DOT

Jennifer Pinkerton
Robin Davis

District of Columbia DOT

Rezene Melchioni
Jason Griffith

Florida DOT

Timothy Ruelke
Philip G. Davis
Mary Jane Hayden

Georgia DOT

Patrick Allen
Peter Wu
Neoma C. Walker

Hawaii DOT

Mung Fa Chung
Brian Ikehara

Idaho DOT

Chad W. Clawson
Mike Copeland

Illinois DOT

Brian Pfeifer
LaDonna Rowden
Andrew J. Stolba

Indiana DOT

Matthew Beeson
Jim Reilman

Iowa DOT

Jeff De Vries
Scott Schram
Chris Brakke

Kansas DOT

Richard A. Barezinsky
Greg Schieber

Kentucky TC

Allen H. Myers
Brandi R. Mitchell

Louisiana DOTD

Luanna Cambas
Jason K. Davis
Justin W. Morris

Maine DOT

Richard Bradbury
Casey B. Nash

Maryland SHA

Sejal Barot
Eric Frempong
Chung-Lung Wu

Massachusetts DOT
Mary Grieco
Clement Fung
Mark J. Brum
Ed J. Naras

Michigan DOT
Kevin Kennedy

Minnesota DOT
Curt Turgeon
Greg B. Ous

Mississippi DOT
William G. Sullivan

Missouri DOT
Brett Trautman
David D. Ahlvers
John Donahue

Montana DOT
Ross “Oak” Metcalfe
Jim Davies

Nebraska DOT
Brandon Blake Varilek
Moe Jamshidi
Wally J. Heyen

Nevada DOT
Changlin Pan

New Hampshire DOT
Joseph Blair

New Jersey DOT
Edward Inman

New Mexico DOT
Armando Armendariz
Rais Rizvi

New York DOT
Russell Thielke
Christopher Euler
F. Steven Heiser

North Carolina DOT
Todd Whittington
Christopher Peoples
Clark Morrison

North Dakota DOT
Matt Linneman
Tyler Wollmuth
Scott W. Wutzke

Ohio DOT
Dan Miller
Gary E. Angles
Patrick Bierl
Craig Landefield

Oklahoma AOT
Matt Romero

Oregon DOT
Larry D. Ilg
Sean P. Parker

Pennsylvania DOT
Joseph S. Robinson
Timothy Ramirez

Rhode Island DOT
Jose S. Lima
Michael Byrne

South Carolina DOT
Merrill E. Zwanka
Temple Short

South Dakota DOT
Joe J. Feller
Darin Hodges

Tennessee DOT
Heather Hall
Danny Malone

Texas DOT
Ryan Barborak
Jenny Li
Enad Mahmoud

Utah DOT
William J. Lawrence
Robert Stewart
L. Scott Nussbaum

Vermont AOT
Mladen Gagulic
Aaron Schwartz
Ryan Darling

Virginia DOT
Charles A. Babish

Washington State DOT
Kurt Williams
Kim Schofield

West Virginia DOH
Ron Stanevich
Vincent Allison

Wisconsin DOT
Barry C. Paye

Wyoming DOT
Greg Milburn

**ASSOCIATE MEMBERS—
INTERNATIONAL**

Ontario Ministry of Transportation
Anne Holm

Quebec Ministry of Transportation
Fernand Doucet

**ASSOCIATE MEMBERS—
OTHER**

Transportation Research Board
Dr. Amir N. Hanna

AASHTO

Casey Soneira
Mark Felag
Ryan Fracapane
Maria Knake
Brian Johnson
John Malusky

**Ex Officio—FEDERAL
HIGHWAYS ADMINISTRATION**

Brian Fouch
Gina Ahlstrom

Technical Subcommittee 5D: Pavement Design

5D Chair

Dulce Rufino Feldman
California DOT

Vice Chair

LaDonna Rowden
Illinois DOT

STATE DOT MEMBERS

Alabama DOT
Scott George
Taylor Janney

Arizona DOT
Nourali Zareh

California DOT
Dulce Feldman

Colorado DOT
Craig Wieden

Delaware DOT
Robin Davis

Florida DOT
Mary Jane Mayson
Rhonda Taylor

Georgia DOT
Ian Fish

Illinois DOT
LaDonna Rowden

Indiana DOT
Kumar P. Dave

Iowa DOT
Chris Brakke

Kansas DOT
Greg Schieber
Richard Barezinsky

Kentucky TC
Adam Ross

Louisiana DOT
Jeffery Lambert

Maine DOT
Richard Bradbury

Maryland DOT
Sejal Barot

Massachusetts DOT
Ed Naras

Michigan DOT
Kevin Kennedy

Minnesota DOT
Curt Turgeon

Mississippi DOT
Thomas Booth

Missouri DOT
John Donahue

Nevada DOT
Changlin Pan

New Mexico DOT
Rais Rizvi

New York State DOT
Wes Yang

North Carolina DOT
Clark Morrison

Ohio DOT
Patrick Bierl

Tennessee DOT
Mark Woods

Texas DOT
Sang Ick Lee

Utah DOT
William Lawrence

Vermont AOT
Aaron Schwartz

Virginia DOT
Robert Crandol

Washington State DOT
Kim Schofield

West Virginia DOT
Vincent Allison

**ASSOCIATE MEMBERS—
INTERNATIONAL**

Ontario Ministry of Transportation
Anne Holt
Stephen Lee

Quebec Ministry of Transportation
Felix Doucet

**ASSOCIATE MEMBERS—
OTHER**

Transportation Research Board
Dr. Amir N. Hanna

AASHTO

Casey Soneira
Katheryn Malusky
Ryan Fragapane
Mark Felag

CONSULTANTS

GGfGA Engineering, LLC
Georgene Geary

Skidabrader
John Freeberg

Federal Highways Administration
Tom Yu

ORGANIZATIONS

*American Concrete Pavement
Association*
Leif Wathne

*National Asphalt Pavement
Association*
Audrey Copeland

Currently in preview, click buy full version

Table of Contents

List of Figures	x
List of Tables	xi
Acknowledgments	xiii
Abstract	xv
1 Introduction	1
1.1 Background	1
1.2 Purpose and Scope of Guide	2
1.3 Guide Organization and Use	3
2 Pavement Friction Overview	5
2.1 Importance of Pavement Friction	5
2.1.1 Highway Safety	5
2.1.2 Crash Type and Reduction	6
2.2 Pavement Friction Principles	8
2.2.1 Definition (of Pavement Friction)	8
2.2.1.1 Longitudinal Friction	8
2.2.1.2 Side-Force Friction	10
2.2.1.3 Combined Braking and Cornering	10
2.2.2 Mechanisms	11
2.2.3 Factors Affecting Available Pavement Friction	12
2.2.3.1 Pavement Surface Texture	12
2.2.3.2 Pavement Surface Material Properties	14
2.2.3.3 Slip Speed	14
2.2.3.4 Tire Tread Design and Condition	14
2.2.3.5 Tire Inflation Pressure	14
2.2.3.6 Temperature	14
2.2.3.7 Surface Water	14
2.2.3.8 Snow and Ice	15
2.2.4 Friction and Texture Measurement Methods	15
2.2.4.1 Overview	15
2.2.4.2 Methods and Equipment	15
2.2.4.3 Friction Indices	17

2.2.4.4	Friction Number	18
2.2.4.5	Sideway-Force Friction Number	18
2.2.4.6	International Friction Index	19
3	<i>Pavement Friction Management</i>	21
3.1	Developing Pavement Friction Management Policies	22
3.1.1	Federal Advisories Regarding Pavement Friction	22
3.1.1.1	FHWA Technical Advisory T 5040.38—Pavement Friction Management	22
3.1.1.2	FHWA Technical Advisory T 5040.36—Surface Texture for Asphalt and Concrete Pavements	22
3.1.2	Pavement Friction Management Approach and Framework	22
3.2	Establishing the Pavement Friction Management Program	25
3.2.1	Defining the Network	25
3.2.1.1	Analysis Segment Definition	25
3.2.1.1.1	Roadway Alignment	26
3.2.1.1.2	Roadway Features/Environment	27
3.2.1.1.3	Roadway Traffic Characteristics	27
3.2.1.2	Establishing Friction Demand Categories	28
3.2.2	Network-Level Data Collection and Processing	31
3.2.2.1	Collection of Friction and Other Data	31
3.2.2.2	Testing Protocol and Equipment	32
3.2.3	Testing Frequency	33
3.2.4	Testing Conditions	34
3.2.5	Equipment Calibration, Quality Assessment Checks, and Maintenance	34
3.2.6	Collection of Crash Data	34
3.2.7	Network-Level Safety Analysis	36
3.2.7.1	Systemic Network Screening Using Friction-Based Safety Performance Functions (Crash Prediction)	36
3.2.7.2	Empirical Bayes Estimation	36
3.2.8	Treatment Options	38
3.2.8.1	Estimate the Construction Costs	38
3.2.8.2	Estimate Friction and Macrotexture Improvements	38
3.2.9	Network Screening Using Benefit–Cost (B/C) Analysis	38
3.2.9.1	Identifying Candidate Segments for Friction/Macrotexture Improvements	38
3.2.9.2	Performing the Benefit–Cost (B/C) Analysis	38
3.2.10	Detailed Site Investigation	39
3.2.10.1	Step 1—Validate Screening Results to Select Sites for Detailed Site Investigation	39
3.2.10.2	Step 2—Conduct Detailed Site Investigation	40
3.2.10.3	Step 3—Prioritize and Program Maintenance	40
4	<i>Pavement Friction Design</i>	41
4.1	Introduction	41
4.2	Developing Friction Design Policies	41
4.2.1	Aggregate Testing and Characterization	42
4.2.1.1	Aggregate Composition/Structure and Mineral Hardness	43
4.2.1.2	Aggregate Angularity, Shape, and Texture	43

4.2.1.3 Abrasion/Wear Resistance	49
4.2.1.4 Polish Resistance	49
4.2.1.5 Soundness	49
4.2.1.6 Aggregate Test Criteria	50
4.2.2 Surface Mix Types and Texturing Techniques	50
4.2.3 Friction Design Categories	50
References	57
Appendix A. Terminology.....	65
A.1 Abbreviations and Acronyms.....	65
A.2 Term Definitions	67
A.3 Notation	69
Appendix B. Standards Relevant to Pavement Friction.....	71
B.1 AASHTO.....	71
B.2 ASTM	72
B.3 CSA.....	73
Appendix C. Index of Standards and Test Methods.....	75

List of Figures

Figure 2-1.	Total Crashes (from All Vehicles Types) from 1996 to 2020 (<i>Estimated; NHTSA, 2020</i>)	5
Figure 2-2.	Total Fatalities and Injuries (from All Vehicles Types) from 1996 to 2020 (<i>Estimated; NHTSA, 2020</i>).	6
Figure 2-3.	Mean Crash Risk for Roadway Network in the United Kingdom (<i>Viner et al., 2004</i>)	7
Figure 2-4.	Simplified Diagram of Forces Acting on a Rotating Wheel.	8
Figure 2-5.	Pavement Longitudinal Friction vs. Tire Slip (<i>Henry, 2000</i>)	9
Figure 2-6.	Dynamics of a Vehicle Traveling around a Constant Radius Curve at a Constant Speed and the Forces Acting on the Rotating Wheel	10
Figure 2-7.	Key Mechanisms of Pavement–Tire Friction.	11
Figure 2-8.	Simplified Illustration of the Various Texture Ranges that Exist for a Given Pavement Surface (<i>Sandberg, 1998</i>)	13
Figure 2-9.	Texture Wavelength Influence on Pavement–Tire Interactions (<i>Adapted from Henry, 2000, and Sandberg and Ejsmont, 2002</i>)	13
Figure 3-1.	Example of a Possible PFM Program.	23
Figure 3-2.	Conceptual Relationship between Friction Demand, Speed, and Friction Availability.	28
Figure 3-3.	Detail of Measurements State Route A between Mileposts 54 and 60	33
Figure 4-1.	Example Illustration of Matching Aggregate Sources and Mix Types/Texturing Techniques to Meet Friction Demand.	55

List of Tables

Table 2-1.	Factors Affecting Available Pavement Friction (<i>Wallman and Astrom, 2001</i>)	12
Table 3-1.	Example of Friction Demand Site Categories in Australia	29
Table 3-2.	Example of Friction Demand Site Categories in the United Kingdom	30
Table 3-3.	Example of Friction Demand Site Categories in New Zealand	31
Table 3-4.	Summary of Issues Relating to Standardized Test Conditions	35
Table 3-5.	Example SPF Data Collected from DOT Databases and the Available CFME Collected Data	37
Table 4-1.	Test Methods Used by Some Agencies to Gain Insight into Aggregate Frictional Properties	44
Table 4-2.	Typical Range of Test Values for Aggregate Properties	48
Table 4-3.	Asphalt Pavement Surface Mix Types and Texturing Techniques	51
Table 4-4.	Concrete Pavement Surface Mix Types and Texturing Techniques	53

Page left blank intentionally.



Acknowledgments

This second edition of the *Guide for Pavement Friction* was produced as part of the FHWA Continuous Pavement Friction Measurement and Pavement Friction Management for Safety project. Dr. Gerardo Flintsch, Director of the Center for Sustainable Transportation Infrastructure (CSTI) of the Virginia Tech Transportation Institute, was the principal investigator for this project. CSTI Research Scientists Edgar de León Izeppi and Samer Katicha, Research Associate Ross McCarthy, and Project Consultant Priscilla Tobias from ARORA and Associates supported this work. Several members from the project's Stakeholder Engagement Working Group, who are AASHTO members on different committees, also participated in the review. They are John Donohue (MO), Dulce Rufino Feldman (CA), Mike Vaughn (KY), Shawn Troy (NC), Stephen Read (VA), Mike Wells (VA), and William Lawrence (UT).

The original research was performed under NCHRP Project 1-43 by the Transportation Sector of Applied Research Associates (ARA), Inc. Dr. Jim W. Hall, Jr., was the principal investigator for the study.

Dr. Hall was supported in the research and in developing this guide by ARA research engineers Leslie Titus-Glover, Kelly Smith, and Lynn Evans, and by three project consultants—Dr. James Wambold (President of CDRM, Inc. and Professor Emeritus of Mechanical Engineering at Penn State University), Thomas Yager (Senior Research Engineer at the NASA Langley Research Center), and Zoltan Rado (Senior Research Associate at the Pennsylvania Transportation Institute).

The authors gratefully acknowledge all of the individuals with state departments of transportation (DOTs) who responded to the pavement friction survey conducted for this project. The authors also express their gratitude for the valuable input provided by knowledgeable representatives of DOTs, paving associations, academia, and manufacturers of friction measuring equipment, vehicle tires, and trucks.

Page left blank intentionally.



Abstract

This report contains guidelines and recommendations for managing and designing for friction on highway pavements. The contents of this report will be of interest to highway materials, construction, pavement management, safety, design, and research engineers, as well as others concerned with the friction and related surface characteristics of highway pavements.

Information is presented that emphasizes the importance of providing adequate levels of friction for the safety of highway users. The factors that influence friction and the concepts of how friction is determined (based on measurements of surface microtexture and macrotexture) are discussed. Methods for monitoring the friction of in-service pavements and determining appropriate actions in the case of friction deficiencies (friction management) are described. Also, aggregate tests and criteria that help attain adequate microtexture are presented, followed by a discussion of how paving mixtures and surface texturing techniques can be selected so as to impart the macrotexture required to achieve the design friction level.

Page left blank intentionally.



Chapter 1

Introduction

1.1 Background

Pavement–tire friction (or, simply, pavement friction) is one of many factors influencing highway safety performance; in particular, the probability of skid-related crashes. Highway agencies have recognized this fact since the 1920s with the primary focus on wet skid-related crashes (Moyer, 1959). Skid-related crashes are a result of many factors, such as road geometry, traffic characteristics (such as vehicle speed and driver action), pavement conditions, and weather conditions; and these factors must be considered together with friction data when evaluating the safety performance of a particular section of roadway.

The *Guidelines for Skid-Resistant Pavement Design*—published by the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO) in 1976 and superseded by this Guide—recommended pavement specifications that would yield the desired frictional properties upon completion of construction and that would maintain adequate long-term friction. This Guide discussed the importance of aggregate selection and mixture design for both asphalt- and concrete-surfaced pavements, and the role of microtexture and macrotexture in pavement surface friction.

The 2008 AASHTO *Guide for Pavement Friction* was prepared under NCHRP Project 1-43 and introduced additional information on friction management and provided information on nontraditional pavement surface texture related effects such as noise generation and pavement–tire splash and spray.

Roadway safety is a complex issue that involves consideration of human behavior, vehicle type, roadway design and operations, and the interaction of road users with the roadway. Significant advancements in the roadway safety state of practice have been made since the early 2000s. This has occurred through evolving research and technology, multidisciplinary approaches, and increased implementation of the evidence-based knowledge in programs to reduce traffic-related fatalities and serious injuries on all public roads.

The AASHTO *Highway Safety Manual* (HSM) (2014), first published in 2010, presents state-of-the-practice safety performance and predictive modeling analyses. These analyses can be used to quantify safety performance considering the friction available and comparing it to the friction demand to improve safety performance. Friction demand is the level of friction (micro- and macrotexture) needed to safely perform braking, steering, and acceleration maneuvers.

For many years, highway engineers have identified locations with elevated wet crashes as being the primary locations that could benefit from friction enhancement treatments. However, during the decade of the 2010s, with more in-depth investigation of how roadway attributes contribute to the frequency and severity of crashes and application of innovative countermeasures, the U.S. saw a significant increase in the use of high-friction surface treatments (HFSTs). In a study conducted in Kentucky, the data demonstrated that at all the locations treated with HFST (ramps and curves), there were significant reductions in both wet and dry crashes (von Quintus and Mergenmeier, 2015). Highway engineers developed crash modification factors (CMFs), which represent the change in crashes expected after the implementation of a particular countermeasure, based on Kentucky's experience using HFST on curves (CMF = 0.125) and ramps (CMF = 0.079). These CMFs (CMF IDs 10330 and 10344) have high star quality ratings and are located in the CMF Clearinghouse (University of North Carolina Highway Safety Research Center, 2021), which provides a searchable database of CMFs along with guidance and resources on using CMFs in road safety practice.

Several other reports have also shown that the risk for both wet and dry crashes increases as pavement friction decreases (Wu et al., 2014; Pratt et al., 2014). In addition, data obtained with continuous friction measurements by the FHWA Pavement Friction Management (PFM) Support Project show that both wet and dry crashes increase when pavement friction decreases (de León Izeppi et al., 2019a). Therefore, consideration of the relationship between friction and all crashes (i.e., wet and dry combined) is both justified by research and consistent with proactive, systemic approaches to improving safety performance across the roadway network based on measurable risk and performance characteristics rather than nominal, reactive approaches such as hot-spot wet pavement crash clusters.

Initiatives such as the Safe System approach have further shifted efforts from past traditional practices to eliminate traffic-related fatalities and serious injuries on all public roads. The Safe System approach recognizes that humans make mistakes, and it is a shared responsibility to ensure that these mistakes and resulting crashes do not lead to fatalities or serious injuries. Furthermore, it emphasizes a proactive approach and builds redundancy in the design and operations of all parts of the roadway system.

The combined efforts of pavement and safety professionals align with the Safe System approach. There are even greater demands on highway engineers to design, construct, and maintain longer-lasting, cost-effective pavements while improving safety performance. There is also increasing focus on the needs of the highway user (safe and comfortable roads). The continued introduction of new materials, technologies, and safety performance analyses offers an opportunity to further integrate PFM and safety performance. The *Guide for Pavement Friction* should help highway engineers accomplish such a task.

1.2 Purpose and Scope of Guide

The *Guide for Pavement Friction* provides highway pavement and safety practitioners with guidance in designing, constructing, maintaining, and managing pavement surfaces to help transportation agencies achieve safety performance goals. This version of the Guide, while published by the AASHTO Committee on Materials and Pavements, includes review and input by members of the AASHTO Committee on Safety.

This Guide contains recommendations and tools for upper-level administrators and policymakers, as well as frontline pavement and safety designers, managers, and practitioners. These recommendations are intended to supplement, but not replace, an agency's normal pavement structural or mix design practices and safety analyses. This Guide covers the following topics:

- characteristics of pavement materials and surfaces that contribute to friction (microtexture and macrotexture);
- friction-testing methods, equipment, and indices;
- analysis methods for establishing friction demand based on investigatory friction levels for the following applications: (1) design of new pavement surfaces, (2) increased potential for skid-related crashes, and (3) the need for adequate cost-effective friction restoration;
- consideration of pavement friction and safety performance; and
- guidance for aggregates, mixtures, and surface types that result in long-lasting, high-quality friction surfaces, with proper consideration of noise, economics, and other friction-related issues (e.g., splash and spray, hydroplaning, tire wear).

This Guide addresses both asphalt (i.e., flexible and semi-rigid) and concrete (i.e., rigid) pavements associated with both original construction (i.e., new construction and reconstruction) and maintenance and rehabilitation (M&R) treatments. It does not address winter maintenance issues (i.e., snow and ice removal or treatment), unpaved surfaces, or nonroadway pavements.

1.3 Guide Organization and Use

This Guide is divided into four chapters covering the importance of pavement friction, the basic concepts of friction, how friction is measured and managed, and how to design for friction. Following this introductory chapter, Chapter 2 discusses the importance of providing adequate levels of friction for improved roadway safety performance, and it provides an overview of pavement friction (what it is and what influences it) and describes the equipment and methods used to measure and report friction and texture.

Chapter 3 discusses friction from the management standpoint, covering both policy development and the application of procedures for monitoring and restoring friction, based on the principle of friction supply versus friction demand, and integrating pavement friction into safety performance analyses. Chapter 4 guides the user through the surface friction design process. It discusses the development of design policies that help promote long-term, network-wide friction improvements, and provides project-level how-to guidance for designing pavements with proper friction. Lastly, a glossary of terms is included in Appendix A to facilitate understanding of the terminology and nomenclature contained in this Guide, a list of standards relevant to pavement friction is provided in Appendix B, and an index of these standards is provided in Appendix C.