

Technical Information Report

AAMI TIR66: 2017/(R)2020

Guidance for the creation
of physiologic data and
waveform databases to
demonstrate reasonable
assurance of the safety
and effectiveness of alarm
system algorithms

Guidance for the creation of physiologic data and waveform databases to demonstrate reasonable assurance of the safety and effectiveness of alarm system algorithms

Approved 28 February 2017, and reaffirmed 19 March 2020 by
AAMI

Abstract: Provides guidance to manufacturers that change existing or create new alarm system algorithms as to how to create evidence that demonstrates a reasonable assurance of the safety and efficacy of the algorithm. This document also provides guidance to authorities having jurisdiction for the assessment of such evidence.

Keywords: electromedical equipment, waveforms

AAMI Technical Information Report

A technical information report (TIR) is a publication of the Association for the Advancement of Medical Instrumentation (AAMI) Standards Board that addresses a particular aspect of medical technology.

Although the material presented in a TIR may need further evaluation by experts, releasing the information is valuable because the industry and the professions have an immediate need for it.

A TIR differs markedly from a standard or recommended practice, and readers should understand the differences between these documents.

Standards and recommended practices are subject to a formal process of committee approval, public review, and resolution of all comments. This process of consensus is supervised by the AAMI Standards Board and, in the case of American National Standards, by the American National Standards Institute.

A TIR is not subject to the same formal approval process as a standard. However, a TIR is approved for distribution by a technical committee and the AAMI Standards Board.

Another difference is that, although both standards and TIRs are periodically reviewed, a standard must be acted on—reaffirmed, revised, or withdrawn—and the action formally approved usually every five years, but at least every 10 years. For a TIR, AAMI consults with a technical committee about five years after the publication date (and periodically thereafter) for guidance on whether the document is still useful—that is, to check that the information is relevant or of historical value. If the information is not useful, the TIR is removed from publication.

A TIR may be developed because it is more responsive to underlying safety or performance issues than a standard or recommended practice, or because achieving consensus is extremely difficult or unlikely. Unlike a standard, a TIR permits the inclusion of differing viewpoints on technical issues.

CAUTION NOTICE: This AAMI TIR may be revised or withdrawn at any time. Because it addresses a rapidly evolving field or technology, readers are cautioned to ensure that they have also considered information that may be more recent than this document.

All standards, recommended practices, technical information reports, and other types of technical documents developed by AAMI are voluntary, and their application is solely within the discretion and professional judgment of the user of the document. Occasionally, voluntary technical documents are adopted by government regulatory agencies or procurement authorities, in which case the adopting agency is responsible for enforcement of its rules and regulations.

Comments on this technical information report are invited and should be sent to AAMI, Attn: Standards Department, 901 N. Glebe Road, Suite 300, Arlington, VA 22203.

Published by

AAMI
901 N. Glebe Road, Suite 300
Arlington, VA 22203
www.aami.org

© 2017 by the Association for the Advancement of Medical Instrumentation

All Rights Reserved

This publication is subject to copyright claims of AAMI. No part of this publication may be reproduced or distributed in any form, including an electronic retrieval system, without the prior written permission of AAMI. All requests pertaining to this document should be submitted to AAMI. It is illegal under federal law (17 U.S.C. § 101, et seq.) to make copies of all or any part of this document (whether internally or externally) without the prior written permission of the Association for the Advancement of Medical Instrumentation. Violators risk legal action, including civil and criminal penalties, and damages of \$100,000 per offense. For permission regarding the use of all or any part of this document, complete the reprint request form at www.aami.org or contact AAMI, 901 N. Glebe Road, Suite 300, Arlington, VA 22203. Phone: +1-703-525-4890; Fax: +1-703-276-0793.

Printed in the United States of America

ISBN 978-1-57020-652-8

Contents

Page

Glossary of equivalent standards.....	iv
Committee representation	v
Foreword	vii
Introduction.....	viii
1 Scope	1
2 Normative references	1
3 * Terms and definitions	1
4 Database requirements	7
5 Waveform acquisition and synthesis	9
6 Application of waveform databases to testing.....	13
7 Use of a database for algorithm development	14
8 ALARM SYSTEM algorithm optimization and tuning	14
Bibliography.....	20
Terminology—Alphabetized index of defined terms	25

Currently in preview, click buy full version

Glossary of equivalent standards

International Standards or Technical Reports adopted in the United States may include normative references to other International Standards. AAMI maintains a current list of each International Standard that has been adopted by AAMI (and ANSI). Available on the AAMI website at the address below, this list gives the corresponding U.S. designation and level of equivalency to the International Standard.

www.aami.org/standards/glossary.pdf

Currently in preview, click buy full version

Committee representation

Association for the Advancement of Medical Instrumentation

Medical Device Alarms Committee

This AAMI Technical Information Report (TIR) was developed and approved by the AAMI Medical Device Alarms Committee.

At the time this document was published, the **AAMI Medical Device Alarms Committee** had the following members:

Cochairs: Frank Block
Dave Osborn, Philips

Members: Pat Anglin-Regal, Massachusetts General Hospital
Steve Briol, Nonin Medical Inc
Conor Curtin, Fresenius Medical Care
Steve Dain, University of Western Ontario
Susan Dorsch
Jim Eberhart, Meritus Medical Center
Judy Edworthy, University of Plymouth School of Psychology
Shawn Forrest, FDA/CDRH
Daryle Gardner-Bonneau, Bonneau and Associates
Randy Good, Medtronic Inc Campus
Brian Gross, Philips
John Hedley-Whyte, Harvard University
David Hengl, Draeger Medical Systems Inc
Elizabeth Howard, DaVita Total Renal Care Inc
Mike Jaffe, Cardiorespiratory Consulting LLC
Mike Kersch, Smiths Medical
Joshua Kim, Hill-Rom Holdings
Robert Koch, MECA - Medical Equipment Compliance Associates LLC
Todd Konieczny, Intertek
Ramya Krishnan, ECFI Institute
Colleen Lindell, Regional Hospital
Alan Lipschultz, HealthCare Technology Consulting LLC
Tim Morris, Medline Industries Inc
Shawn O'Connell, 3 Braun of America Inc
Brodie Pedersen
Raj Rajagopalan, Mindray DS USA Inc
Mark Rogers, Decton Dickinson & Company
Nicholas Sands, Dupont Protection Technologies
Sue Senebach, Abbott Northwestern Hospital
Tom Shanks, MDVentures
Brooke Skora, Sotera Wireless Inc
Bob Steurer, Spacelabs Healthcare
Stephen Treacy, GE Healthcare
Jim Welch, Sotera Wireless Inc
Colleen Wibbe, Baxter Healthcare Corporation
Daidi Zhong, Chongqing University

Alternates: Reema Bhavnani, Baxter Healthcare Corporation
Tricia Bourie, Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center
Marty Crnkovich, Fresenius Medical Care
Scott Eaton, Mindray DS USA Inc
Bruce Friedman, GE Healthcare
Julian Goldman, Massachusetts General Hospital
Robert Hijazi, St Louis VA Medical Center

Tom Judd, Kaiser Permanente (US)
Barb Majchrowsk, Draeger Medical Systems Inc
Christina Mason, Spacelabs Healthcare
Susumu Nozawa, Becton Dickinson & Company
Linda Ricci, FDA/CDRH
Warren Sanborn, Medtronic Inc Campus

NOTE--Participation by federal agency representatives in the development of this document does not constitute endorsement by the federal government or any of its agencies.

Foreword

As used within the context of this document, “should” indicates that among several possibilities, one is recommended as particularly suitable, without mentioning or excluding others, or that a certain course of action is preferred but not necessarily required, or that (in the negative form) a certain possibility or course of action should be avoided but is not prohibited. “May” is used to indicate that a course of action is permissible within the limits of the TIR. “Can” is used as a statement of possibility and capability. Finally, “must” is used only to describe “unavoidable” situations, including those mandated by government regulation.

Suggestions for improving this recommended practice are invited. Comments and suggested revisions should be sent to Technical Programs, AAMI, 901 N. Glebe Road, Suite 300, Arlington, VA 22203.

NOTE—This foreword does not contain provisions of the AAMI TIR66, *Guidance for the creation of evidence to demonstrate reasonable assurance of the safety and efficacy of ALARM SYSTEM algorithms* (AAMI TIR66:2017), but it does provide important information about the development and intended use of the document.

Introduction

Numerous studies have shown that the majority of ALARM CONDITIONS are clinically irrelevant ALARM CONDITIONS. There have been a number of attempts to develop improved ALARM SYSTEMS to increase clinical sensitivity and specificity. However, there is no established method for evaluating the performance of "expert" or "smart" ALARM SYSTEMS (INTELLIGENT ALARM SYSTEMS). These systems can use a multi-parameter approach to adjudicate a single-parameter ALARM CONDITION (e.g. use availability of valid pulse for suppression of false ECG asystole ALARM CONDITION). The expert ALARM SYSTEM can also be used to provide the OPERATOR with possible interpretations of physiologic and clinical data (e.g. "possible sepsis", "possible pulmonary embolus"). This document provides guidance to manufacturers and describes how to create evidence that demonstrates a reasonable assurance of safety and effectiveness for changing existing, or creating new, ALARM SYSTEM algorithms. This document provides guidance to authorities having jurisdiction for the assessment of such evidence, which can be used as:

- a justification for IEC 60601-1 [42], subclause 4.5, to provide reasonable assurance of safety and effectiveness; and
- a justification for disclosures of performance of INTELLIGENT ALARM SYSTEMS as required by IEC 60601-1-8 [43], subclause 6.2.

Terms defined in Clause 3 of this document are in SMALL CAPITALS.

In this document, the conjunctive "or" is used as an "inclusive or" so a statement is true if any combination of the conditions is true.

Guidance for the creation of physiologic data and waveform databases to demonstrate reasonable assurance of the safety and effectiveness of ALARM SYSTEM algorithms

1 Scope

This document is intended to define the nomenclature, ingredients, and principles for the development, annotation and use of physiologic waveform databases for developing and testing the performance of INTELLIGENT ALARM SYSTEM algorithms, and to test ALARM SYSTEMS incorporating such algorithms. This document also identifies issues that should be addressed in the design and development of these physiologic databases. It discusses many major pitfalls that should be avoided. Annexes that describe several publicly available databases in detail are included. The database profiles that are presented here are intended to serve as a guide in the design, development, acquisition and documentation of future physiologic databases that can be used in the development and evaluation of ALARM SYSTEMS and algorithms.

This document does not cover the use of databases beyond algorithm development and performance testing to ensure delivery of the stated ESSENTIAL PERFORMANCE.

NOTE It is expected that inter-device data fusion will be covered in interoperability standards being jointly developed by AAMI and Underwriters Laboratory (UL).

2 Normative references

ANSI/AAMI TIR 24:1999, *Acquisition and use of physiologic waveform databases for testing of medical devices*

3 * Terms and definitions

For the purposes of this document, the following definitions apply.

3.1

ALARM CONDITION

state of the ALARM SYSTEM where it has determined that a potential or actual HAZARDOUS SITUATION exists for which OPERATOR awareness or response is required

NOTE 1 An ALARM CONDITION can be invalid, i.e. a FALSE POSITIVE ALARM CONDITION.

NOTE 2 An ALARM CONDITION can be missed, i.e. a FALSE NEGATIVE ALARM CONDITION.

NOTE 3 Table 1 demonstrates the actionability consideration relationships of ALARM CONDITIONS.

[SOURCE: IEC 60601-1-8:2006+AMD1:2012 [43], definition 3.1]

3.2

ALARM FATIGUE

condition that occurs when an OPERATOR is desensitized by the presence of excessive ALARM SIGNALS such that an inappropriate response to the ALARM SIGNAL occurs

NOTE 1 The source of excessive ALARM SIGNALS can be TRUE POSITIVE ALARM CONDITIONS, but are more likely to be from CLINICALLY NON-ACTIONABLE ALARM CONDITIONS.