

# Technical Information Report

## AAMI TIR34971: 2023

Application of ISO 14971  
to machine learning in  
artificial intelligence—  
Guide

Currently in preview, click buy full version

# Application of ISO 14971 to machine learning in artificial intelligence—Guide

Approved 14 March 2023 by  
**AAMI**

**Abstract:** This document provides guidance and intends to serve as a companion to ANSI/AAMI/ISO 14971:2019 for those performing risk management for machine learning (ML) or artificial intelligence (AI) incorporating medical devices.

**Keywords:** machine learning, artificial intelligence, good machine learning practice, risk management

## AAMI Technical Information Report

A technical information report (TIR) is a publication of the Association for the Advancement of Medical Instrumentation (AAMI) Standards Board that addresses a particular aspect of medical technology.

Although the material presented in a TIR may need further evaluation by experts, releasing the information is valuable because the industry and the professions have an immediate need for it.

A TIR differs markedly from a standard or recommended practice, and readers should understand the differences between these documents.

Standards and recommended practices are subject to a formal process of committee approval, public review, and resolution of all comments. This process of consensus is supervised by the AAMI Standards Board and, in the case of American National Standards, by the American National Standards Institute.

A TIR is not subject to the same formal approval process as a standard. However, a TIR is approved for distribution by a technical committee and the AAMI Standards Board.

Another difference is that, although both standards and TIRs are periodically reviewed, a standard must be acted on—reaffirmed, revised, or withdrawn—and the action formally approved usually every five years but at least every 10 years. A TIR must be acted on and the action formally approved usually every three years.

A TIR may be developed because it is more responsive to underlying safety or performance issues than a standard, or recommended practice, or because achieving consensus is extremely difficult or unlikely. Unlike a standard, a TIR permits the inclusion of differing viewpoints on technical issues.

**CAUTION NOTICE:** This AAMI TIR may be revised or withdrawn at any time. Because it addresses a rapidly evolving field or technology, readers are cautioned to ensure that they have also considered information that may be more recent than this document.

All standards, technical information reports, and other types of technical documents developed by AAMI are voluntary, and their application is solely within the discretion and professional judgment of the user of the document. Occasionally, voluntary technical documents are adopted by government regulatory agencies or procurement authorities, in which case the adopting agency is responsible for enforcement of its rules and regulations.

Comments on this technical information report are invited and should be sent to AAMI, Attn: Standards Department, 901 N. Glebe Road, Suite 300, Arlington, VA 22203.

*Published by*

AAMI  
901 N. Glebe Rd., Suite 300  
Arlington, VA 22203  
[www.aami.org](http://www.aami.org)

© 2023 by the Association for the Advancement of Medical Instrumentation

All Rights Reserved

Publication, reproduction, photocopying, storage, or transmission, electronically or otherwise, of all or any part of this document without the prior written permission of the Association for the Advancement of Medical Instrumentation is strictly prohibited by law. It is illegal under federal law (17 U.S.C. § 101, *et seq.*) to make copies of all or any part of this document (whether internally or externally) without the prior written permission of the Association for the Advancement of Medical Instrumentation. Violators risk legal action, including civil and criminal penalties, and damages of \$100,000 per offense. For permission regarding the use of all or any part of this document, visit the [Copyright Clearance Center](https://www.copyright.com).

Printed in the United States of America

**ISBN 978-1-57020-866-9**

## Contents

Page

Committee representation .....	iv
Foreword .....	v
Introduction .....	vii
1 Scope .....	1
2 Normative references .....	1
3 Terms and definitions .....	1
4 General requirements for risk management system .....	2
5 Risk analysis .....	4
6 Risk evaluation .....	12
7 Risk control .....	12
8 Evaluation of overall residual risk .....	13
9 Risk management review .....	13
10 Production and post-production activities .....	13
Annex A (informative) Risk management process overview .....	14
Annex B (informative) Risk management examples .....	16
Annex C (informative) Personnel qualifications .....	19
Annex D (informative) Considerations for autonomous systems .....	20
Bibliography .....	22
<b>Figures</b>	
Figure A.1—A schematic representation of the risk management process .....	14
Figure A.2—Relationship between hazard, hazardous situation, and harm .....	15
<b>Tables</b>	
Table B.1—Examples of ML-related hazards .....	16
Table B.2—Examples of events and circumstances .....	17
Table B.3—Examples of relationships between hazards, foreseeable sequences of events, hazardous situations, harm, and potential risk control measures .....	18
Table D.1—IMDRF SaMD Categories .....	20
Table D.2—Possible adaptation of SaMD Categories to autonomous systems .....	21

## Committee representation

### Association for the Advancement of Medical Instrumentation

#### Artificial Intelligence Committee

This technical information report (TIR) was developed by a small task group of leadership and staff members under the auspices of the Association of the Advancement of Medical Instrumentation (AAMI) and the British Standards Institution (BSI). It was first developed as an AAMI Consensus Report and approved and published in 2022.

The CR was then formatted as a TIR/BSI British Standard and then reviewed by the **AAMI Artificial Intelligence Committee** and the BSI CH/210/4, Risk analysis for Medical Devices and was balloted to the AAMI Artificial Intelligence Committee.

The Artificial Intelligence Committee had the following members in the development of this TIR.

*Co-chairs:* Jesse M. Ehrenfeld  
Pat Baird

*Members:* Pat Baird, Phillips  
Yu Chen, Draeger Medical Systems Inc  
Richard W. De La Cruz – Silver Lake Group, Inc.  
Jesse M. Ehrenfeld, American Medical Association  
Mohammad Mehdi Farhangi, FDA/CDRH  
Hugo Felix Rodriguez, Pfizer Parenteral Center of Excellence  
James Hamlyn, Skin Analytics, Ltd.  
Richard Heitz, Abbott Laboratories  
Erez Kaminski, Ketryx Corporation  
Patricia Krantz-Zuppan, Medtronic Inc Campus  
Christine (Tina) Adamowski Krenc, KTA Compliance Consulting  
Li Li  
Yimin Li, Astellas Pharma Inc.  
Larry Lugo, Lugo & Associates LLC  
Magnus Miller-Wilson, Boston Scientific Corporation  
Bharath Motamarri, Cordis US Corp.  
Susumu Nozawa, Siemens Healthineers  
Andrew O’Keeffe, Olympus America, Inc.  
Deborah Perkins, Johnson & Johnson  
Karana M. Pierre, The Joint Commission  
Mike Powers, Intermountain Healthcare CESS  
Ron Seese, Arthrex Inc  
James Shults, ICM Medical Inc  
Dylan Sinks, Eli Lilly & Company  
Ryan Slaughter, MedSec  
Jeffrey Smock, Maricopa College  
Mark Subanich, PUMC Diagnostic Radiology  
Scott Thibault, Hologic Inc.  
Arleen Thukral, Veterans Administration (VA) Central Office  
Ying Xiao, University of Pennsylvania

*Alternates:* Tatiana Correia, 3M Health Care  
Kenneth J. Fuchs, Draeger Medical Systems Inc.  
James Jo, Pfizer Parenteral Center of Excellence  
Bill Prucka, Eli Lilly & Company  
Chris Reed, Medtronic Inc Campus  
Eric Wespi, Boston Scientific Corporation  
Nicole Zuk, Siemens Healthineers

---

NOTE Participation by federal agency representatives in the development of this document does not constitute endorsement by any government or any of its agencies.

---

## Foreword

This technical information report/BSI British Standard was developed based on the Consensus Report which was proposed to be written after the success of a white paper collaborated on between the Association for the Advancement of Medical Instrumentation (AAMI) and the British Standards Institution (BSI). This is being developed to provide guidance on machine learning as it applies to ISO 14971:2019.

This document was first developed as an AAMI Consensus Report (CR) but has also been provided to BSI for comments and input. BSI and AAMI then developed this as a BSI British Standard and AAMI Technical Information Report (TIR).

As part of the consensus-driven process of the AAMI standards program, this document was circulated for comments to the AAMI Artificial Intelligence Committee and the BSI CH/210/4 Risk Analysis for Medical Devices. This document was also balloted for approval by the AAMI Artificial Intelligence Committee.

The AAMI TIR and the BSI British Standard are technically the same, but there may be some changes in grammar, punctuation, and formatting between the two documents due to the differences in publication style for AAMI and BSI.

Suggestions for improving this Technical Information Report are invited. Comments and suggested revisions should be sent to Standards, AAMI, 901 North Glebe Road, Suite 300, Arlington, VA 22203.

---

NOTE This foreword does not contain provisions of the AAMI TIR34971:2023, *Application of ISO 14971 to machine learning in artificial intelligence—Guide* (AAMI TIR34971:2023), but it does provide important information about the development and intended use of the document.

---

## BSI British Standard

### Publishing information

This joint AAMI and British Standard is published by BSI Standards Limited, under licence from The British Standards Institution, and came into effect on 30 April 2023. It was prepared jointly by Subcommittee CH/210/4, *Risk analysis for Medical Devices*, under the authority of Technical Committee CH/210, *Quality management and corresponding general aspects for medical devices*, and AAMI Artificial Intelligence Committee. A list of organizations represented on the BSI committees can be obtained on request to the committee manager.

### Relationship with other publications

This publication provides guidance for applying ISO 14971.

### Information about this document

This publication was developed jointly with the Association for the Advancement of Medical Instrumentation (AAMI) as part of the AAMI/BSI initiative on artificial intelligence (AI).

Copyright is claimed on Figure A.1 and Figure A.2. Copyright holders are the International Organization for Standardization (ISO), Chemin de Blandonnet 8, CP 401, 1214 Vernier, Geneva, Switzerland.

This publication can be withdrawn, revised, partially superseded or superseded. Information regarding the status of this publication can be found in the Standards Catalogue on the BSI website at [bsigroup.com/standards](http://bsigroup.com/standards), or by contacting the Customer Services team.

Where websites and webpages have been cited, they are provided for ease of reference and are correct at the time of publication. The location of a webpage or website, or its contents, cannot be guaranteed.

### Use of this document

As a guide, this British Standard takes the form of guidance and recommendations. It should not be quoted as if it were a specification or a code of practice.

### Presentational conventions

The guidance in this standard is presented in roman (i.e. upright) type. Any recommendations are expressed in sentences in which the principal auxiliary verb is “should”.

*Commentary, explanation and general information material is presented in smaller italic type, and does not constitute a normative element.*

Where words have alternative spellings, the preferred spelling of the Shorter Oxford English Dictionary is used (e.g. “organization” rather than “organisation”).

### Contractual and legal considerations

This publication has been prepared in good faith, however no representation, warranty, assurance or undertaking (express or implied) is or will be made, and no responsibility or liability is or will be accepted by BSI in relation to the adequacy, accuracy, completeness or reasonableness of this publication. All and any such responsibility and liability is expressly disclaimed to the full extent permitted by the law.

This publication is provided as is, and is to be used at the recipient’s own risk.

The recipient is advised to consider seeking professional guidance with respect to its use of this publication.

This publication is not intended to constitute a contract. Users are responsible for its correct application.

**Compliance with a British Standard cannot confer immunity from legal obligations.**

## Introduction

Artificial Intelligence (AI) can be defined as the capability of a system to perform tasks or develop data processing systems that perform functions normally associated with human intelligence (adapted from ISO/IEC 2382:2015, Information technology—Vocabulary).

AI can bring benefits to healthcare, including improved clinical outcomes, improved efficiencies, and improvement in the management of healthcare itself. However, the implementation of new technologies such as AI can also present risks that could jeopardize patient health and safety, increase inequalities and inefficiencies, undermine trust in healthcare, and adversely impact the management of healthcare.

A 2020 joint AAMI/BSI whitepaper [1] explored the safety and effectiveness of AI in medical devices and concluded that data-driven AI medical device systems or machine learning (ML) medical device systems differed from traditional rules-based systems in three ways:

- 1) Learning—these systems can accumulate data to provide an elaboration that could have a positive impact on patient health in any term defined by the intended purpose of the medical device (in vitro or not) according to relevant regulations;
- 2) Autonomy—these systems have the potential to modify processes and outputs in response to their learning with reduced or even without clinician oversight; and
- 3) Inexplicability<sup>1</sup>—because of their sophisticated computational abilities, the intricate statistics involved, and the large, complex datasets involved, the rationale for outputs produced by such systems might not be easily understood by well-trained clinicians and other healthcare personnel let alone by individuals without specialist knowledge [1].

Despite the sophistication and complicated methodologies employed, ML systems can introduce risks to safety by learning incorrectly, making wrong inferences, and then recommending or initiating actions that can lead to harm. The amplification of errors in an AI system has the potential to create large scale harm to patients. Sometimes these systems detect correlations in data sets instead of causations, which can lead to incorrect conclusions. One well known example of correlation identification is an image recognition system that was trained to differentiate between photos of a wolf compared to photos of a husky dog. The wolf images used to train the system often had snow in the background, and the software picked up on this correlation, instead of detecting the differences between the animals themselves [2].

All medical devices come with inherent risks. Manufacturers are required to demonstrate that their medical devices do not pose unacceptable risks, and that the benefits of their intended use outweigh the overall residual risk. ISO 14971:2019, *Medical devices — Application of risk management to medical devices*, details how manufacturers assess and mitigate potential risks in order to protect the health and safety of patients as well as data and system security. Additionally, IEC 80001-1:2021, *Application of risk management for IT-networks incorporating medical devices — Part 1: Safety, effectiveness and security in the implementation and use of connected medical devices or connected health software* and IEC/TR 80002-1:2009, *Medical device software — Part 1: Guidance on the application of ISO 14971 to medical device software* addresses software internal to the medical device that might support AI. These standards, and associated supporting guidance documents, provide the basis for risk management and the lifecycle process for all software that is regulated under medical device legislation.

To manage a risk, however, one has to be aware that the risk exists. Risks are often hypothesized from clinical knowledge of the anatomy, physiology or condition and real-world experience with similar medical devices. With AI-enabled medical devices, real-world experience with similar technologies might be lacking, increasing the potential to fail to identify hazards, and inequities, misunderstand the technology or the process or underestimate the risk.

---

<sup>1</sup> “Explainability” is often used to refer to the technical details regarding how a ML system works, whereas “Interpretability” is used to refer to how predictable the system is – these are similar but different concepts which are appropriate for differing stakeholders. For example, a data scientist may be very interested in Explainability, but the end user may be more interested in Interpretability. <https://www.kdnuggets.com/2018/12/machine-learning-explainability-interpretability-ai.html>

One of the key findings of the 2020 AAMI/BSI whitepaper [1] was the need to develop “risk management guidance to assist in applying ISO 14971 to AI as a medical technology.” ISO 14971 (also published as ANSI/AAMI/ISO 14971:2019 and BS EN ISO 14971:2019) provides a process for managing the risk associated with medical devices. It has been recognized by medical device regulators and adopted as a national standard in countries across the world. This AAMI Technical Information Report/British Standard does not provide a new risk management process, nor does it expand the requirements of ISO 14971. Rather, it provides guidance to assist those who are applying ISO 14971 to regulated AI medical technologies.

There are many different algorithms that can support AI, including technologies such as decision trees, genetic algorithms, and neural nets. Often, when people are discussing AI, they are specifically talking about ML systems. Since ML systems are often more complicated and more opaque than other approaches, this document focuses on ML-related risks. Because of the potential for confusion, the remainder of this document avoids the use of the terms “AI” and “AI-enabled” and uses ML.

# Application of ISO 14971 to machine learning in artificial intelligence—Guide

## 1 Scope

**1.1** This document provides guidance for applying an ISO 14971 risk management process when evaluating medical technology utilizing machine learning (ML). It is intended to apply to ML-enabled medical devices<sup>2</sup> throughout all phases of the product lifecycle.

**1.2** This document is intended to be used in conjunction with ISO 14971. It does not modify the ISO 14971 risk management process—rather it provides information and guidance to inform the application of ISO 14971 to ML medical technology. A risk management process is further detailed in Annex A.

**1.3** This document addresses the same types of risk that are addressed in ISO 14971 but focuses on risks that are elevated with or unique to ML medical devices. Because artificial intelligence (AI) and ML are software-driven, the unique or elevated risks are those around data management, feature extraction, algorithm training, evaluation, bias, health inequity, safety, and cyber and information security. This document also provides examples and suggests strategies for eliminating or mitigating the associated risk.

**1.4** Annex B provides additional clarification and examples of hazards, hazardous situations, and harms, as well as possible risk control strategies in a series of tables that correspond with ISO 14971: 2019, Table C.1, Table C.2, and Table C.3.

## 2 Normative references

The following documents are referred to in the text in such a way that some or all of their content constitutes provisions of this document. For dated references, only the cited edition applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the reference document (including any amendments) applies.

ISO 14971:2019, *Medical devices - Application of risk management to medical devices*

## 3 Terms and definitions

For the purposes of this document, the terms and definitions in ISO 14971 and the following apply:

### 3.1

#### **artificial intelligence**

#### **AI**

capability of a system to perform tasks or develop data processing systems that perform functions normally associated with human intelligence

[Adapted from ISO/IEC 2382:2015, Information technology—Vocabulary].

<sup>2</sup> Also referred to as ML medical devices in this document.

<sup>2</sup> Documents that are referred to solely in an informative manner are listed in the Bibliography.