

American National Standard



ANSI/AAMI HE75:2009/(R)2018
Human factors engineering -
Design of medical devices

Objectives and uses of AAMI standards and recommended practices

It is most important that the objectives and potential uses of an AAMI product standard or recommended practice are clearly understood. The objectives of AAMI's technical development program derive from AAMI's overall mission: the advancement of medical instrumentation. Essential to such advancement are (1) a continued increase in the safe and effective application of current technologies to patient care, and (2) the encouragement of new technologies. It is AAMI's view that standards and recommended practices can contribute significantly to the advancement of medical instrumentation, provided that they are drafted with attention to these objectives and provided that arbitrary and restrictive uses are avoided.

A voluntary *standard* for a *medical device* recommends to the manufacturer the information that should be provided with or on the product, basic safety and performance criteria that should be considered in qualifying the device for clinical use, and the measurement techniques that can be used to determine whether the device conforms with the safety and performance criteria and/or to compare the performance characteristics of different products. Some standards emphasize the information that should be provided with the device, including performance characteristics, instructions for use, warnings and precautions, and other data considered important in ensuring the safe and effective use of the device in the clinical environment. Recommending the disclosure of performance characteristics often necessitates the development of specialized test methods to facilitate uniformity in reporting; reaching consensus on these tests can represent a considerable part of committee work. When a drafting committee determines that clinical concerns warrant the establishment of *minimum* safety and performance criteria, referee tests must be provided and the reasons for establishing the criteria must be documented in the rationale.

A *recommended practice* provides guidelines for the use, care, and/or processing of a medical device or system. A recommended practice does not address device performance *per se*, but rather procedures and practices that will help ensure that a device is used safely and effectively and that its performance will be maintained.

Although a device standard is primarily directed to the manufacturer, it may also be of value to the potential purchaser or user of the device as a frame of reference for device evaluation. Similarly, even though a recommended practice is usually oriented towards healthcare professionals, it may be useful to the manufacturer in better understanding the environment in which a medical device will be used. Also, some recommended practices, while not addressing device performance criteria, provide guidelines to industrial personnel on such subjects as sterilization processing, method of collecting data to establish safety and efficacy, human engineering, and other processing or evaluation techniques; such guidelines may be useful to health care professionals, as well as to industrial practices.

In determining whether an AAMI standard or recommended practice is relevant to the specific needs of a potential user of the document, several important concepts must be recognized:

All AAMI standards and recommended practices are *voluntary* (i.e., of course, they are adopted by government regulatory or procurement authorities). The application of a standard or recommended practice is solely within the discretion and professional judgment of the user of the document.

Each AAMI standard or recommended practice reflects the collective expertise of a committee of health care professionals and industrial representatives, whose work has been reviewed nationally (and sometimes internationally). As such, the consensus recommendations embodied in a standard or recommended practice are intended to respond to clinical needs and, ultimately, to help ensure patient safety. A standard or recommended practice is limited, however, in the sense that it responds generally to perceived risks and conditions that may not always be relevant to specific situations. A standard or recommended practice is an important *reference* in responsible decision-making, but it should never *replace* responsible decision-making.

Despite periodic review and revision (at least once every five years), a standard or recommended practice is necessarily a static document applied to a dynamic technology. Therefore, a standards user must carefully review the reasons why the document was initially developed and the specific rationale for each of its provisions. This review will reveal whether the document remains relevant to the specific needs of the user.

Particular care should be taken in applying a product standard to existing devices and equipment, and in applying a recommended practice to current procedures and practices. While observed or potential risks with existing equipment typically form the basis for the safety and performance criteria defined in a standard, professional judgment must be used in applying these criteria to existing equipment. No single source of information will serve to identify a particular product as "unsafe". A voluntary standard can be used as one resource, but the ultimate decision as to product safety and efficacy must take into account the specifics of its utilization and, of course, cost-benefit considerations. Similarly, a recommended practice should be analyzed in the context of the specific needs and resources of the individual institution or firm. Again, the rationale accompanying each AAMI standard and recommended practice is an excellent guide to the reasoning and data underlying its provision.

In summary, a standard or recommended practice is truly useful only when it is used in conjunction with other sources of information and policy guidance and in the context of professional experience and judgment.

INTERPRETATIONS OF AAMI STANDARDS AND RECOMMENDED PRACTICES

Requests for interpretations of AAMI standards and recommended practices must be made in writing, to the AAMI Vice President, Standards Policy and Programs. An official interpretation must be approved by letter ballot of the originating committee and subsequently reviewed and approved by the AAMI Standards Board. The interpretation will become official and representation of the Association only upon exhaustion of any appeals and upon publication of notice of interpretation in the "Standards Monitor" section of the *AAMI News*. The Association for the Advancement of Medical Instrumentation disclaims responsibility for any characterization or explanation of a standard or recommended practice which has not been developed and communicated in accordance with this procedure and which is not published, by appropriate notice, as an *official interpretation* in the *AAMI News*.

Human factors engineering – Design of medical devices

Developed by
AAMI

Approved 21 October 2009 and reaffirmed 26 November 2013 and 10 August 2018 by
American National Standards Institute Inc.

Abstract: This recommended practice covers general human factors engineering (HFE) principles, specific HFE principles geared towards certain user-interface attributes, and special applications of HFE (e.g., connectors, controls, visual displays, automation, software–user interfaces, hand tools, workstations, mobile medical devices, home health care devices).

Keywords: anthropometry, design process, ergonomics, human factors engineering, medical device

AAMI Recommended Practice

This Association for the Advancement of Medical Instrumentation (AAMI) recommended practice implies a consensus of those substantially concerned with its scope and provisions. The existence of an AAMI recommended practice does not in any respect preclude anyone, whether they have approved the recommended practice or not, from manufacturing, marketing, purchasing, or using products, processes, or procedures not conforming to the recommended practice. AAMI recommended practices are subject to periodic review, and users are cautioned to obtain the latest editions.

CAUTION NOTICE: This AAMI recommended practice may be revised or withdrawn at any time. AAMI procedures require that action be taken to reaffirm, revise, or withdraw this recommended practice no later than five years from the date of publication. Interested parties may obtain current information on all AAMI standards and recommended practices by calling or writing AAMI.

All AAMI standards, recommended practices, technical information reports, and other types of technical documents developed by AAMI are voluntary, and their application is solely within the discretion and professional judgment of the user of the document. Occasionally, voluntary technical documents are adopted by government regulatory agencies or procurement authorities, in which case the adopting agency is responsible for enforcement of its rules and regulations.

Published by

AAMI
4301 N. Fairfax Drive, Suite 301
Arlington, VA 22203-1633
www.aami.org

© 2010 by the Association for the Advancement of Medical Instrumentation

All Rights Reserved

Publication, reproduction, photocopying, storage, or transmission, electronically or otherwise, of all or any part of this document without the prior written permission of the Association for the Advancement of Medical Instrumentation is strictly prohibited by law. It is illegal under federal law (17 U.S.C. § 101, *et seq.*) to make copies of all or any part of this document (whether internally or externally) without the prior written permission of the Association for the Advancement of Medical Instrumentation. Violators risk legal action, including civil and criminal penalties, and damages of \$100,000 per offense. For permission regarding the use of all or any part of this document, complete the request form at www.aami.org or contact AAMI, 4301 N. Fairfax Drive, Suite 301, Arlington, VA 22203-1633. Phone: (703) 525-4890; Fax: (703) 525-1067.

Printed in the United States of America

ISBN 978-1-57020-364-0

Contents

	Page
Glossary of equivalent standards	xiii
Committee representation	xv
Acknowledgments	xvi
Foreword	xvii
Introduction	1
1 Scope	5
1.1 General	5
1.2 Inclusions	5
1.3 Exclusions	5
2 Normative references	6
3 Definitions and abbreviations	7
General Considerations and Principles	
4 General principles	14
4.1 Introduction	14
4.2 Seek user input	14
4.2.1 Involve users early and often	14
4.2.2 Refine designs through usability testing	14
4.3 Establish design priorities	14
4.3.1 Keep it simple	14
4.3.2 Ensure safe use	15
4.3.3 Ensure essential communication	15
4.3.4 Anticipate device failures	16
4.3.5 Facilitate workflow	16
4.4 Accommodate user characteristics and capabilities	16
4.4.1 Do not expect users to become masters	16
4.4.2 Expect user errors	17
4.4.3 Accommodate diverse users	17
4.4.4 Maximize accessibility	18
4.4.5 Consider external factors that influence task performance	18
4.5 Accommodate users' needs and preferences	19
4.5.1 Prioritize user input	19
4.5.2 Do not rely exclusively on "thought leaders"	19
4.5.3 Let users set the pace	19
4.6 Establish realistic expectations of users	19
4.6.1 Do not rely on training	19
4.6.2 Do not rely on instructions for use	19
4.6.3 Do not rely on warnings	20
4.6.4 Do not rely on users' memory	20
4.6.5 Avoid information overload	20
4.6.6 Do not assign users tasks that are better suited to the device	20
4.7 Consider real-world demands	21
4.7.1 Consider the context of use	21
4.7.2 Consider worst-case scenarios	21
4.7.3 Make devices as rugged as necessary	22
4.7.4 Limit user workload	22
4.7.5 Consider the potential for device migration into other uses or use environments	22
4.8 Develop compatible designs	23
4.8.1 Accommodate mental models	23
4.8.2 Establish natural or conventional mappings	23
4.8.3 Follow industry conventions and consensus standards	24
4.9 Optimize user interactions to enhance safety and effectiveness	24
4.9.1 Make devices error-tolerant and fail in a safe manner	24
4.9.2 Avoid physical strain, repetitive motions, and cumulative traumas	24

4.9.3	Help users anticipate future events	24
4.9.4	Confirm important actions.....	24
4.9.5	Make critical controls robust and guard them	25
4.9.6	Clarify operational modes.....	25
4.9.7	Employ redundant coding.....	25
4.9.8	Design to prevent user confusion	26
4.9.9	Don't neglect device appeal.....	26
4.10	Summary.....	26
4.11	References.....	27
5	Managing the risk of use error	29
5.1	Introduction.....	29
5.1.1	Overview	29
5.1.2	Use-related hazards vs. traditional device-failure hazards	29
5.1.3	Behavioral variability in human users	30
5.1.4	Definition of use error	30
5.2	Types of use errors.....	30
5.3	General considerations for managing use-related hazards.....	34
5.3.1	Use-error consequences in regulatory submissions.....	34
5.3.2	General considerations for managing risk	34
5.4	Methods of managing the risk of use errors.....	34
5.4.1	Overview	34
5.4.2	Risk, risk management, and use safety.....	34
5.4.3	Use-error risk management process	35
5.5	Definition of intended use, user, and the use environment	36
5.6	Identification of use-related hazards	37
5.6.1	Overview	37
5.6.2	Analysis of predecessor and similar devices	37
5.6.3	Analysis of device use tasks.....	37
5.6.4	Application of best practice for user-interface design	38
5.6.5	Consideration of user workload in device use	38
5.7	Estimation and prioritization of risk of use-related hazards.....	38
5.7.1	Overview	38
5.7.2	Failure mode effects analysis	38
5.7.3	Fault tree analysis	39
5.7.4	Usability testing	39
5.8	Implementation of risk controls	40
5.8.1	Overview	40
5.8.2	Most preferred use-related hazard mitigation strategies.....	40
5.8.3	Less preferred use-related hazard mitigation strategies.....	40
5.9	Validation of safety of use (effectiveness of risk controls).....	41
5.10	Decision on whether risks are acceptable.....	41
5.11	Determination of whether new risks were introduced.....	41
5.12	Documentation of the use-related risk management process	41
5.13	Monitoring, identification, and control of use-related issues post-marketing	42
5.14	Summary.....	42
5.15	References.....	42
6	Basic human skills and capabilities.....	44
6.1	Introduction.....	44
6.2	Design guidelines	44
6.2.1	Overview	44
6.2.2	Vision.....	44
6.2.3	Audition and speech.....	55
6.2.4	Other sensory modalities.....	58
6.2.5	Human information processing.....	60
6.2.6	Human memory	62
6.2.7	Human response capabilities.....	65
6.2.8	Human vs. machine capabilities	67
6.3	References	68
7	Anthropometry and biomechanics.....	71
7.1	Introduction.....	71
7.2	General considerations.....	71

7.3	Anthropometric design guidance	72
7.3.1	Overview	72
7.3.2	Anthropometric data	72
7.3.3	One-dimensional measurements	73
7.3.4	Mobility and functional measurements	82
7.3.5	Strength	87
7.3.6	Derivation of missing data	95
7.4	Biomechanical design guidance	96
7.4.1	Overview	96
7.4.2	Critical design considerations	96
7.4.3	Special considerations	98
7.4.4	Design guidelines for tasks involving lifting	98
7.5	References	102
8	Environmental considerations	105
8.1	Introduction	105
8.2	General considerations	105
8.3	Design guidelines	106
8.3.1	Interruptions and distractions	106
8.3.2	Acoustic noise	106
8.3.3	Lighting	109
8.3.4	Temperature and humidity	110
8.3.5	Vibration	111
8.3.6	Slipperiness and friction	112
8.3.7	Atmospheric pressure	112
8.3.8	Ease of maintenance	112
8.3.9	Storage	113
8.3.10	Mounting of devices	113
8.3.11	Radiant energy	113
8.3.12	Emergency environment	113
8.3.13	Home environment	114
8.4	References	114
9	Usability testing	116
9.1	Introduction	116
9.2	General considerations	116
9.3	Design guidelines	117
9.3.1	Types of usability tests	117
9.3.2	Principles of good usability test design	117
9.3.3	Overview of usability testing	118
9.3.4	Content of the usability test plan	121
9.3.5	Logistics	129
9.3.6	Protocol-related activities	132
9.3.7	Supplemental usability evaluation methods	136
9.4	References	137
10	Signs, symbols, and marking	139
10.1	Introduction	139
10.2	General considerations	139
10.3	Design guidelines	140
10.3.1	Overview	140
10.3.2	Labels for equipment identification	140
10.3.3	Descriptions of equipment functions	140
10.3.4	Hazard labels	140
10.3.5	Electrical receptacle and connector labels	141
10.3.6	Fuse and circuit-breaker labels	141
10.3.7	Labels on controls, keyboards, and keypads	143
10.3.8	Positioning and mounting of labels	143
10.3.9	Label orientation	143
10.3.10	Indications of functional relationships	143
10.3.11	Permanence and durability of labels	144
10.4	Specific design guidance	144
10.4.1	Consistency	144
10.4.2	Label content	144

10.4.3	Use of symbols	145
10.4.4	Legibility	146
10.4.5	Coding	147
10.4.6	Application of color to mimics and flow lines	148
10.4.7	Flow lines	148
10.4.8	Language	148
10.4.9	Package labels	148
10.4.10	Hierarchical schemes	148
10.5	References.....	149
11	User documentation.....	151
11.1	Introduction.....	151
11.2	General considerations	151
11.2.1	Overview	151
11.2.2	Overall process for user documentation development.....	152
11.2.3	Basic design principles for layout, comprehension, and organization.....	154
11.2.4	Control of user risks.....	159
11.2.5	Documentation design for diverse environments.....	160
11.3	Specific design guidelines for various types of user documentation	160
11.3.1	Overview	160
11.3.2	User guides, operator manuals, and owner's manuals.....	160
11.3.3	Quick-reference guides	161
11.3.4	Electronic documentation	162
11.4	References.....	164
12	Packaging design.....	166
12.1	Introduction	166
12.2	General considerations	166
12.2.1	Overview	166
12.2.2	The user	166
12.2.3	The use environment.....	167
12.3	Principles of good medical packaging design	168
12.3.1	Overview	168
12.3.2	Opening packages.....	168
12.3.3	Assembling or sequentially using components.....	170
12.3.4	Labeling packages.....	171
12.3.5	Identifying devices.....	172
12.3.6	Indicating sterilization status.....	172
12.3.7	Storing packaged devices	173
12.3.8	Handling packaged devices.....	174
12.3.9	Disposing of packaging	174
12.4	References.....	174
13	Design for post-market issues	176
13.1	Introduction	176
13.2	General considerations	178
13.2.1	Type of user: health care professional or home-use consumer.....	178
13.2.2	Type of use environment: health care facility or home	178
13.2.3	Device longevity	178
13.3	Design guidelines	179
13.3.1	Overview	179
13.3.2	Disposables	179
13.3.3	Single-use devices	179
13.3.4	Labeling	180
13.3.5	Setup and installation	180
13.3.6	Routine maintenance.....	181
13.3.7	Repair.....	181
13.3.8	Upgrades.....	183
13.3.9	Obsolescence.....	183
13.3.10	Disposal.....	183
13.4	References.....	184
14	Cross-cultural/cross-national design.....	185
14.1	Introduction	185

14.2	General considerations	186
14.2.1	Differences among nations and cultures	186
14.2.2	National issues	187
14.2.3	Cultural issues	189
14.2.4	Culture-specific or nation-specific user profiles	190
14.3	Guidelines for cross-cultural/cross-national design	194
14.3.1	Overview	194
14.3.2	Direct issues associated with the user–device interface	195
14.3.3	Indirect issues associated with the user–device interface	198
14.3.4	Cross-cultural/cross-national design process	200
14.4	Summary	201
14.5	References	201
15	Alarm design	205
15.1	Introduction	205
15.2	General considerations	205
15.2.1	Overview	205
15.2.2	Goals	206
15.2.3	Verifying that the alarm system works	206
15.2.4	Initializing alarm monitoring	207
15.2.5	Avoiding false alarms	207
15.3	Considerations regarding specific requirements from IEC 60601-1-8	207
15.3.1	Attended-use model	207
15.3.2	Distributed alarm systems	209
15.3.3	Alarm priority, urgency of response, and allowable latency	210
15.3.4	Distributed-alarm-system requirements of IEC 60601-1-8	211
15.3.5	“Latching” alarm signals	212
15.3.6	Initiation and termination of alarm signals	212
15.3.7	Default alarm limits and alarm-limit adjustment	213
15.3.8	Alarm-system inactivation states	214
15.3.9	Simultaneous monitoring of multiple physiological states	215
15.4	Step-by-step guidelines for developing an alarm system	216
15.4.1	Developing an alarm system	216
15.4.2	Gathering information	216
15.4.3	Generating a list of alarm conditions that require alarm signals	216
15.4.4	Creating signal-processing algorithms	216
15.4.5	Identifying the information to communicate about alarm conditions	217
15.4.6	Allocating signaling modalities to alarm signals	217
15.4.7	Creating alarm signals for each signaling modality: visual alarm signals	219
15.4.8	Creating alarm signals for each signaling modality: auditory alarm signals	220
15.4.9	Creating alarm signals for each signaling modality: other alarm signals	223
15.4.10	Creating a simulated use environment	223
15.4.11	Testing prototype alarm systems with potential users	225
15.4.12	Refining alarm systems on the basis of testing results	226
15.5	References	226
16	Accessibility considerations	229
16.1	Introduction	229
16.2	General considerations	229
16.2.1	User considerations	229
16.2.2	Design considerations	230
16.3	Design guidelines based on legislative guidance documents	232
16.3.1	Overview	232
16.3.2	Users with lower-extremity disabilities	232
16.3.3	Users with upper-extremity disabilities	234
16.3.4	Users who are deaf or hard of hearing	234
16.3.5	Users who are blind or have visual impairments	235
16.3.6	Users with limited tactile sensitivity	237
16.3.7	Users with cognitive or memory impairments	237
16.3.8	Users who could benefit from having more time for device operation	237
16.3.9	Users with speech impairments	237
16.4	Research-based design guidelines for patient-support surfaces	237
16.4.1	Overview	237
16.4.2	Width of device base	237

16.4.3	Clearance for lift equipment.....	238
16.4.4	Adjustability of surface height.....	238
16.4.5	Transfer path.....	238
16.4.6	Hand-holds.....	238
16.4.7	Contact surfaces.....	239
16.4.8	Controls for support surfaces.....	240
16.5	Design guidelines for Web-based, mobile, and home-use health care products based on industry guidance documents.....	240
16.5.1	Overview.....	240
16.5.2	Existing guidelines and standards.....	240
16.5.3	Built-in multimodal capabilities.....	241
16.5.4	Devices that use home-based technologies.....	241
16.5.5	Existing laws related to use of hearing aids with telecommunication products.....	241
16.5.6	Training and informational materials.....	241
16.6	References.....	241
Design Elements		
17	Connectors and connections.....	244
17.1	Introduction.....	244
17.2	General considerations.....	244
17.2.1	Overview.....	244
17.2.2	Critical design considerations.....	245
17.2.3	Key design criteria issues.....	245
17.2.4	Types of connection failures.....	245
17.3	Prioritizing types of connections.....	246
17.3.1	Overview.....	246
17.3.2	Direct patient connections for therapeutic purposes.....	248
17.3.3	Direct patient connections for diagnostic purposes.....	248
17.3.4	Connections between patient and device.....	249
17.3.5	Routinely connected non-patient connections.....	249
17.3.6	Permanent exterior device connections.....	250
17.3.7	Permanent connections inside devices.....	250
17.4	Differentiating connectors.....	250
17.4.1	Overview.....	250
17.4.2	Active differentiators.....	250
17.4.3	Passive differentiators.....	252
17.5	Preventing disconnections.....	253
17.5.1	Overview.....	253
17.5.2	Rotating locking rings.....	253
17.5.3	Push-pull locking devices.....	254
17.5.4	Locking levers.....	254
17.5.5	Screw-captive devices.....	254
17.5.6	Capture clips.....	254
17.5.7	Friction fit.....	254
17.5.8	Positive feedback.....	255
17.5.9	Engagement of the locking mechanism.....	255
17.5.10	Engagement indicators.....	255
17.6	Facilitating connections.....	255
17.6.1	Connector design (shape, fit, feature).....	255
17.6.2	Contact design.....	256
17.6.3	Connector use and testing and user training.....	257
17.7	Preventing misconnections.....	257
17.8	Protecting connectors.....	257
17.9	User documentation.....	258
17.10	References.....	258
18	Controls.....	259
18.1	Introduction.....	259
18.2	General considerations.....	259
18.2.1	Steps in specifying controls.....	259
18.2.2	Advantages and disadvantages of various types of controls.....	260
18.2.3	Control selection.....	260
18.2.4	Human factors principles that apply to all controls.....	262

18.2.5	Design considerations for medical device controls vs. controls for consumer products	263
18.3	Design guidelines	264
18.3.1	Control-panel controls	264
18.3.2	Input devices	273
18.3.3	Large mechanical controls.....	285
18.4	References.....	288
19	Visual displays	290
19.1	Introduction	290
19.2	General considerations	290
19.2.1	Overview	290
19.2.2	Understanding and accommodating user population characteristics.....	290
19.2.3	Accommodating the range of user postures	291
19.2.4	Determining typical mounting positions and variations in mounting positions	291
19.2.5	Examining the physical environmental conditions	291
19.2.6	Identifying the requirements for displayed information	291
19.2.7	Performing objective display measurements	292
19.2.8	Conducting usability tests.....	293
19.3	Guidelines for specifying visual-display performance	293
19.3.1	Overview	293
19.3.2	Visual-display viewing conditions	293
19.3.3	Spatial characteristics.....	294
19.3.4	Temporal characteristics	295
19.3.5	Luminance and color characteristics	297
19.4	Guidelines for display formatting.....	299
19.4.1	Size and spacing of displayed characters or symbols	299
19.4.2	Font style.....	300
19.4.3	Character, line, and word spacing	301
19.4.4	Size of color objects and alphanumeric strings.....	301
19.5	Guidelines for displaying data	301
19.5.1	Precision.....	301
19.5.2	Adequate signal duration.....	301
19.6	Guidelines for selecting electronic visual displays	302
19.6.1	Comparison of major types of visual displays.....	302
19.6.2	Liquid crystal displays.....	303
19.6.3	Active-matrix vs. passive-matrix displays	303
19.6.4	Large-screen displays	303
19.6.5	Scale indicators	304
19.7	References.....	307
20	Use of automation	309
20.1	Introduction	309
20.2	General considerations	309
20.2.1	Types of automated systems and common issues.....	309
20.2.2	Automation vs. human tradeoffs in medical systems.....	311
20.2.3	Automation status.....	312
20.2.4	User understanding of the automation.....	312
20.3	Design guidelines	313
20.3.1	Monitoring and alarm systems.....	313
20.3.2	Event-sequencing systems.....	313
20.3.3	Decision-support systems	313
20.3.4	Closed-loop physiological control systems.....	313
20.3.5	Hybrid or composite automation systems.....	313
20.4	References.....	314
21	Software–user interfaces	315
21.1	Introduction	315
21.1.1	Overview	315
21.1.2	Sample software–user interfaces	315
21.1.3	Factors influencing software–user interface design.....	316
21.2	General considerations	317
21.2.1	Overview	317
21.2.2	Make the software–user interface easy to use	318
21.2.3	Focus on user tasks	318

21.2.4	Provide user guidance	318
21.2.5	Safeguard against use error	318
21.2.6	Optimize interaction requirements	319
21.2.7	Improve software and hardware integration	319
21.2.8	Select the interaction style	320
21.2.9	Support product evolution	320
21.3	Special considerations	321
21.3.1	Overview	321
21.3.2	Screen size	321
21.3.3	Compatibility	321
21.3.4	Information priority	321
21.3.5	Information legibility	321
21.3.6	User population	321
21.3.7	Standardization	322
21.3.8	System integration	322
21.4	Design guidelines	322
21.4.1	Categories	322
21.4.2	Conceptual model	323
21.4.3	User-interface structure	323
21.4.4	Interaction style	324
21.4.5	Screen layout	325
21.4.6	Legibility	326
21.4.7	Aesthetics	328
21.4.8	Data entry	329
21.4.9	Color	331
21.4.10	Dynamic displays	333
21.4.11	Special interactive mechanisms	335
21.4.12	User support	341
21.4.13	Consistency	343
21.5	References	343

Integrated Solutions

22	Hand tool design	344
22.1	Introduction	344
22.2	General considerations	346
22.2.1	Overview	346
22.2.2	Biomechanics	346
22.2.3	Hand grips and positions associated with tool use	346
22.2.4	Forces associated with the use of hand tools	347
22.2.5	Injuries and discomfort associated with tool use	348
22.2.6	Risk factors	349
22.2.7	Compensatory strategies and tradeoffs	350
22.3	Special considerations	351
22.3.1	Laparoscopic surgery	351
22.3.2	Catheter-based procedures	353
22.3.3	What to do if design data are not available	354
22.4	Design guidelines	355
22.4.1	Overview	355
22.4.2	Context of use	355
22.4.3	Location and environmental factors	355
22.4.4	The end-effector (tool interactions with anatomy)	357
22.4.5	Characteristics of the tool	358
22.4.6	Safety	364
22.4.7	User characteristics and related design considerations	364
22.4.8	Controls for hand tools	370
22.4.9	Sensory feedback	372
22.4.10	Guidelines specifically for laparoscopic instrument design	372
22.5	References	373
23	Workstations	378
23.1	Introduction	378
23.2	General considerations	380
23.2.1	Adaptation of design to user needs	380

23.2.2	Safety	381
23.2.3	Usability	381
23.2.4	User satisfaction	383
23.3	Special considerations	383
23.3.1	Overview	383
23.3.2	Serve life-critical purposes.....	383
23.3.3	Accommodate evolving clinical practices	383
23.3.4	Accommodate a variety of user positions	383
23.3.5	Accommodate users with varying degrees of skill, training, and experience	384
23.3.6	Accommodate workstation use by individuals with disabilities	384
23.3.7	Accommodate patients who might be under stress	384
23.3.8	Accommodate caregivers who might be under stress	384
23.3.9	Anticipate potential migration from hospital to home use	384
23.3.10	Anticipate frequent or infrequent cleaning	384
23.3.11	Design for compactness	385
23.4	Design guidelines	385
23.4.1	Overview	385
23.4.2	Operational factors	385
23.4.3	Power supply	388
23.4.4	Readiness	389
23.4.5	Security	389
23.4.6	Privacy.....	390
23.4.7	Communication.....	390
23.4.8	Component configuration	392
23.4.9	Physical interaction.....	400
23.4.10	User accommodations.....	405
23.4.11	Environmental factors.....	415
23.5	References.....	415
24	Design of mobile medical devices	417
24.1	Introduction	417
24.2	General considerations	417
24.2.1	Overview	417
24.2.2	User considerations.....	417
24.2.3	Use-environment considerations	417
24.3	Design guidelines	418
24.3.1	General design guidance.....	418
24.3.2	Mechanical design.....	418
24.3.3	Electrical design	421
24.3.4	Display of information on computer-based medical devices.....	421
24.3.5	Controls and input devices	425
24.3.6	Connectors and cables.....	426
24.3.7	Security	427
24.3.8	Instruction manuals and other forms of device documentation.....	427
24.4	References	427
25	Home health care.....	428
25.1	Introduction	428
25.1.1	Trends in medical device use	428
25.1.2	The home user	428
25.1.3	The home environment.....	428
25.2	General considerations	429
25.2.1	Overview	429
25.2.2	Adjustability	429
25.2.3	Durability	429
25.2.4	Learnability and intuitiveness.....	429
25.2.5	Freedom from calibration, maintenance, and repair	429
25.2.6	Protection from unintended misuse and/or tampering	429
25.2.7	Portability and maneuverability.....	430
25.2.8	Power requirements	430
25.2.9	Aesthetics and unobtrusiveness	430
25.2.10	User guidance and training.....	430
25.3	Design guidelines	430
25.3.1	Overview	430

25.3.2	Design guidance related to sensory capabilities and limitations	431
25.3.3	Design guidance related to cognitive capabilities and limitations	434
25.3.4	Design guidance related to physical capabilities and limitations	436
25.3.5	Design guidance related to the use environment.....	437
25.3.6	Medical device training materials and documentation for home users	438
25.4	References	439

Annexes

A	Statistical justification for sample sizes in usability testing.....	441
---	--	-----

Glossary of equivalent standards

International Standards adopted in the United States may include normative references to other International Standards. For each International Standard that has been adopted by AAMI (and ANSI), the table below gives the corresponding U.S. designation and level of equivalency to the International Standard. NOTE: Documents are sorted by international designation.

Other normatively referenced International Standards may be under consideration for U.S. adoption by AAMI; therefore, this list should not be considered exhaustive.

International designation	U.S. designation	Equivalency
IEC 60601-1:2005 Technical Corrigendum 1 and 2	ANSI/AAMI ES60601-1:2005 ANSI/AAMI ES60601-1:2005/C1:2009 (amdt)	Major technical variations C1 Identical to Corrigendum 1 & 2
IEC 60601-1-2:2007	ANSI/AAMI/IEC 60601-1-2:2007	Identical
IEC 60601-2-2:2009	ANSI/AAMI/IEC 60601-2-2:2009	Identical
IEC 60601-2-4:2002	ANSI/AAMI DF80:2003	Major technical variations
IEC 60601-2-19:2009	ANSI/AAMI/IEC 60601-2-19:2009	Identical
IEC 60601-2-20:2009	ANSI/AAMI/IEC 60601-2-20:2009	Identical
IEC 60601-2-21:2009	ANSI/AAMI/IEC 60601-2-21:2009	Identical
IEC 60601-2-24:1998	ANSI/AAMI ID26:2004/(R)2009	Major technical variations
IEC 60601-2-47:2001	ANSI/AAMI EC38:2007	Major technical variations
IEC 60601-2-50:2009	ANSI/AAMI/IEC 60601-2-50:2009	Identical
IEC 80601-2-30:2009 and Technical Corrigendum 1	ANSI/AAMI/IEC 80601-2-30:2009 and ANSI/AAMI/IEC 80601-2-30:2009/ C1:2009 (amdt) – consolidated text	Identical (with inclusion) C1 Identical to Corrigendum 1
IEC 80601-2-58:2008	ANSI/AAMI/IEC 80601-2-58:2008	Identical
IEC/TR 60878:2009	ANSI/AAMI/IEC TIR60878:2003	Identical
IEC/TR 62296:2009	ANSI/AAMI/IEC TIR62296:2009	Identical
IEC 62304:2006	ANSI/AAMI/IEC 62304:2006	Identical
IEC/TR 62348:2006	ANSI/AAMI/IEC TIR62348:2006	Identical
IEC/TR 62354:2009	ANSI/AAMI/IEC TIR62354:2009	Identical
IEC/TR 80002-1:2009	ANSI/IEC/TR 80002-1:2009	Identical
ISO 5840:2005	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 5840:2005	Identical
ISO 7198:1998	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 7198:1998/2001/(R)2004	Identical
ISO 7199:2009	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 7199:2009	Identical
ISO 8637:2004	ANSI/AAMI RD16:2007	Major technical variations
ISO 8638:2004	ANSI/AAMI RD17:2007	Major technical variations
ISO 10993-1:2009	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 10993-1:2009	Identical
ISO 10993-2:2006	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 10993-2:2006	Identical
ISO 10993-3:2003	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 10993-3:2003/(R)2009	Identical
ISO 10993-4:2002 and Amendment 1:2006	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 10993-4:2002/(R)2009 and Amendment 1:2006/(R)2009	Identical
ISO 10993-5:2009	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 10993-5:2009	Identical
ISO 10993-6:2007	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 10993-6:2007	Identical
ISO 10993-7:2008	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 10993-7:2008	Identical
ISO 10993-9:1999	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 10993-9:1999/(R)2005	Identical
ISO 10993-10:2002 and Amendment 1:2006	ANSI/AAMI BE78:2002/(R)2008 ANSI/AAMI BE78:2002/A1:2006/(R)2008	Minor technical variations Identical
ISO 10993-11:2006	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 10993-11:2006	Identical
ISO 10993-12:2007	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 10993-12:2007	Identical
ISO 10993-13:1998	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 10993-13:1999/(R)2004	Identical
ISO 10993-14:2001	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 10993-14:2001/(R)2006	Identical
ISO 10993-15:2000	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 10993-15:2000/(R)2006	Identical
ISO 10993-16:1997	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 10993-16:1997/(R)2009	Identical
ISO 10993-17:2002	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 10993-17:2002/(R)2008	Identical
ISO 10993-18:2005	ANSI/AAMI BE83:2006	Major technical variations
ISO/TS 10993-19:2006	ANSI/AAMI/ISO TIR10993-19:2006	Identical
ISO/TS 10993-20:2006	ANSI/AAMI/ISO TIR10993-20:2006	Identical

International designation	U.S. designation	Equivalency
ISO 11135-1:2007	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 11135-1:2007	Identical
ISO/TS 11135-2:2008	ANSI/AAMI/ISO TIR11135-2:2008	Identical
ISO 11137-1:2006	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 11137-1:2006	Identical
ISO 11137-2:2006 (2006-08-01 corrected version)	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 11137-2:2006	Identical
ISO 11137-3:2006	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 11137-3:2006	Identical
ISO 11138-1: 2006	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 11138-1:2006	Identical
ISO 11138-2: 2006	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 11138-2:2006	Identical
ISO 11138-3: 2006	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 11138-3:2006	Identical
ISO 11138-4: 2006	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 11138-4:2006	Identical
ISO 11138-5: 2006	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 11138-5:2006	Identical
ISO/TS 11139:2006	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 11139:2006	Identical
ISO 11140-1:2005	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 11140-1:2005	Identical
ISO 11140-3:2007	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 11140-3:2007	Identical
ISO 11140-4:2007	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 11140-4:2007	Identical
ISO 11140-5:2007	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 11140-5:2007	Identical
ISO 11607-1:2006	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 11607-1:2006	Identical
ISO 11607-2:2006	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 11607-2:2006	Identical
ISO 11737-1: 2006	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 11737-1:2006	Identical
ISO 11737-2:2009	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 11737-2:2009	Identical
ISO 13408-1:2008	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 13408-1:2008	Identical
ISO 13408-2:2003	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 13408-2:2003	Identical
ISO 13408-3:2006	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 13408-3:2006	Identical
ISO 13408-4:2005	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 13408-4:2005	Identical
ISO 13408-5:2006	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 13408-5:2006	Identical
ISO 13408-6:2006	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 13408-6:2006	Identical
ISO 13485:2003	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 13485:2003/(R)2009	Identical
ISO 14155-1:2003	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 14155-1:2003/(R)2008	Identical
ISO 14155-2:2003	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 14155-2:2003/(R)2008	Identical
ISO 14160:1998	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 14160:1998/(R)2008	Identical
ISO 14161:2009	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 14161:2009	Identical
ISO 14708-3:2008	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 14708-3:2008	Identical
ISO 14708-4:2008	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 14708-4:2008	Identical
ISO 14937:2009	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 14937:2009	Identical
ISO/TR 14969:2004	ANSI/AAMI/ISO TIR14969:2004	Identical
ISO 14971:2007	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 14971:2007	Identical
ISO 15223-1:2007 and A1:2008	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 15223-1:2007 and A1:2008	Identical
ISO 15225:2000 and A1:2004	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 15225:2000/(R)2006 and A1:2004/(R)2006	Identical
ISO 15674:2009	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 15674:2009	Identical
ISO 15675:2009	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 15675:2009	Identical
ISO 15882:2008	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 15882:2008	Identical
ISO 15883-1:2006	ANSI/AAMI ST15883-1:2009	Major technical variations
ISO/TR 16142:2006	ANSI/AAMI/ISO TIR16142:2005	Identical
ISO 17664:2004	ANSI/AAMI ST81:2004	Major technical variations
ISO 17665-1:2006	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 17665-1:2006	Identical (with inclusions)
ISO/TS 17665-2:2009	ANSI/AAMI/ISO TIR17665-2:2009	Identical
ISO 18472:2006	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 18472:2006	Identical
ISO/TS 19218:2005	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 19218:2005	Identical
ISO 22442-1:2007	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 22442-1:2007	Identical
ISO 22442-2:2007	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 22442-2:2007	Identical
ISO 22442-3:2007	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 22442-3:2007	Identical
ISO 25539-1:2003 and A1:2005	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 25539-1:2003/(R)2009 and A1:2005/(R)2009	Identical
ISO 25539-2:2008	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 25539-2:2008	Identical
ISO 81060-1:2007	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 81060-1:2007	Identical
ISO 81060-2:2009	ANSI/AAMI/ISO 81060-2:2009	Identical

Committee representation

Association for the Advancement of Medical Instrumentation

AAMI Human Factors Engineering Committee

This recommended practice was developed by the AAMI Human Factors Engineering Committee. Committee approval of the recommended practice does not necessarily mean that all committee members voted for its approval.

At the time this recommended practice was published, the **AAMI Human Factors Engineering Committee** had the following members:

Cochairs: Edmond W. Israelski, PhD
Matthew B. Weinger, MD

Members: W. Gary Allread, PhD, Ohio State University
Eric A. Andersen, Smiths Medical North America
Eric D. Bergman, PhD, Johnson & Johnson
Ramon Berguer, MD, PhD, Contra Costa Regional Medical Center
Paul A. Blowers, MA, Medtronic Inc.
Richard Botney, MD, Oregon Health and Science University
Larry T. Dallen, MD, Victoria General Hospital
John M. DeFoggi, Business Process & Technology Management LLC
Evan T. Edwards, BSME, MSSE, Intelliject Inc.
Rollin J. Fairbanks, MD, MS, University of Rochester Medical Center
Beth H. Fitzgerald, RN, MSN, CNOR, Christiana Care Health Services
Amy Gallenberg, GE Healthcare
Daryle Jean Gardner-Bonneau, PhD, Bonneau and Associates
R. Sean Hagen, BlackHagen Design
Rodney A. Hasler, ME, Cardinal Health
Carol L. Herman, U.S. Food and Drug Administration, Center for Devices and Radiological Health
Uvo Hölscher, PhD, Muenster University of Applied Sciences Center for Medical Engineering
David H. Hoffmeister, Baxter Healthcare Corporation
Joshua Kim, Welch Allyn Inc.
Paul Loda, MBA, Kimberly-Clark Corporation
William H. Muto, PhD, Abbott Laboratories
Robert A. North, PhD, Human Centered Strategies
David Osborn, MS, Philips Healthcare
Frank R. Painter, MS, CCE, University of Connecticut
Carl A. Pantiskas, MS, Draeger Medical Systems Inc.
Yossi Pri-Paz, MS, Laniado Hospital (Independent Expert)
Mary Beth Privitera, M.Des., University of Cincinnati
Janine Purcell, MS, U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs
Robert G. Radwin, PhD, University of Wisconsin
Ray P. Silkaitis, PhD, Hospira Worldwide Inc.
S. Noel Simpson, Beaumont Services Company LLC
Richard E. Stein, St. Jude Medical Inc.
Molly F. Story, MS, Human Spectrum Design LLC
Robert C. Sugarman, PhD, RCS Performance Systems Inc.
Patricia Walters, Spacelabs Medical Inc.
Matthew B. Weinger, MD, Vanderbilt University Medical Center
Kevin White, Alcon Laboratories Inc.
Michael E. Wiklund, PE, CHFP, Wiklund Research & Design
Stephen Wilcox, PhD, Design Science Consulting
Jack M. Winters, PhD, Marquette University RERC-AMI

Alternates: Paul Ebert, Welch Allyn Inc.
Chaya K. Garg, Medtronic Inc.
Edward S. Halpern, PhD, Baxter Healthcare Corporation
Diana Hayman, Spacelabs Medical Inc.
Edmond W. Israelski, PhD, Abbott Laboratories
Michael Jaffe, PhD, Philips Electronics North America

David W. Johnson, Kimberly-Clark Corporation
Ronald D. Kaye, U.S. Food and Drug Administration, Center for Devices and Radiological Health
Carl F. Wallroth, PhD, PE, Draeger Medical Systems Inc.

NOTE—Participation by federal agency representatives in the development of this recommended practice does not constitute endorsement by the federal government or any of its agencies.

Acknowledgments

The committee wishes to gratefully acknowledge the significant contributions of the following committee members and former committee members, who authored or co-authored the major sections of this recommended practice:

W. Gary Allread, PhD, Ohio State University (Section 7, Anthropometry and biomechanics)
Ramon Berguer, MD, PhD, Contra Costa Regional Medical Center (Section 22, Hand tool design)
Paul A. Blowers, MA, Medtronic Inc. (Section 8, Environmental considerations)
Richard Botney, MD, Oregon Health and Science University (Section 22, Hand tool design)
Jason M. Bush, PhD, Roche Diagnostics Corporation (Section 12, Packaging design)
Daryle Jean Gardner-Bonneau, PhD, Bonneau and Associates (Section 3, Definitions, and Section 25, Home health care devices)
Uvo Hölscher, PhD, Muenster University of Applied Sciences Center for Medical Engineering (Section 14, Cross-cultural/cross-national design)
Edmond W. Israelski, PhD, Abbott Laboratories (Section 6, Basic human skills and abilities, and Section 9, Usability testing)
Ronald D. Kaye, U.S. Food and Drug Administration, Center for Devices and Radiological Health (Section 5, Managing the risk of use error)
Melissa R. Lemke, MS, Marquette University RERC-AMI (Section 16, Accessibility considerations)
William H. Muto, PhD, Abbott Laboratories (Section 19, Visual displays)
Robert A. North, PhD, Human Centered Strategies (Section 5, Managing the risk of use error, Section 11, User documentation, and Section 20, Use of automation)
Frank R. Painter, MS, CCE, University of Connecticut (Section 17, Connectors and connections)
Carl A. Pantiskas, MS, Draeger Medical Systems Inc. (Section 14, Cross-cultural/cross-national design, and Section 15, Alarm design)
Mary Beth Primivera, M.Des., University of Cincinnati (Section 1, Scope, and Section 13, Design for post-market issues)
Robert G. Radwin, PhD, University of Wisconsin (Section 22, Hand tool design)
Richard E. Stein, St. Jude Medical Inc. (Section 10, Signs, symbols, and markings, and Section 24, Design of mobile medical devices)
Molly F. Story, MS, Human Spectrum Design LLC (Section 16, Accessibility considerations)
Carl F. Wallroth, PhD, PE, Draeger Medical Systems Inc. (Section 2, Normative references)
Matthew B. Weinger, MD, Vanderbilt University Medical Center (Section 4, General principles)
Michael E. Wiklund, PE, CHFP, Wiklund Research & Design (Section 4, General principles, Section 21, Software–user interfaces, and Section 23, Workstations)
Stephen Wilcox, PhD, Design Science Consulting (Section 15, Alarm design, and Section 18, Controls)
Jack M. Winters, PhD, Marquette University RERC-AMI (Section 16, Accessibility considerations)

The committee also gratefully acknowledges Mr. Pantiskas for his extensive editing of the final document, as well as the significant contributions of former committee members Peter Carstensen, Jason Bush, and Melissa Lemke.

The committee would also like to recognize the participation of former committee members Mary Carol Day, Peg Rickard, Mary Hartman, John Gosbee, Warren Grant, Laura Bix, Ellen Haas, Corina Lathan, George Hutchinson, Al Martilla, Bob Worrell, Kristine Delano, Bill Gaskill, Dick Sawyer, Long Liu, Torsten Gruchmann, and Dave Korbus.

Foreword

In the course of the AAMI Human Factors Engineering Committee's review of ANSI/AAMI HE48:1993, *Human factors engineering guidelines and preferred practices for the design of medical devices*, the committee decided that users would be better served if the document was divided into two separate standards covering (1) human factors *design processes* and (2) human factors *design principles*. A structured approach to human factors design in medical devices is addressed in the American National Standard, ANSI/AAMI HE74:2001, *Human factors design process for medical devices*. ANSI/AAMI HE74 formed the basis of an international collaboration that led to the creation of IEC 62366:2007, *Medical devices—Application of usability engineering to medical devices*. The relationship between human factors engineering and risk management to reduce use error is addressed in IEC 62366. The content of ANSI/AAMI HE74 is provided in Appendix G of IEC 62366. ANSI/AAMI HE75 is the committee's effort to provide comprehensive human factors design principles for medical devices.

This recommended practice should be considered flexible and dynamic. As technology advances and new data are brought forward, this document will be reviewed and, if necessary, revised.

Within the context of this recommended practice, "shall" indicates requirements that must be strictly followed to conform to this document's guidance. "Should" indicates that among several possibilities, one approach is recommended as particularly suitable, without mentioning or excluding others, or that a certain course of action is preferred but not necessarily required, or that (in the negative form) a certain possibility or course of action should be avoided but is not prohibited. "May" indicates that a course of action is permissible within the limits of the recommended practice. "Can" is used as a statement of possibility and capability. Finally, "must" is used only to describe "unavoidable" situations, including those mandated by government regulation.

AAMI and ANSI procedures require that standards and recommended practices be reviewed every five years and, if necessary, revised to reflect technological advances that may have occurred since publication.

Suggestions for improving this recommended practice are invited. Comments and suggested revisions should be sent to AAMI, 1110 N Glebe Road, Suite 220, Arlington, VA 22201-4795.

NOTE—This foreword does not contain provisions of the AAMI recommended practice, *Human factors principles for medical device design* (ANSI/AAMI HE75:2009), but it does provide important information about the development and intended use of the document.

Human factors engineering – Design of medical devices

Introduction

Human factors engineering

Human factors engineering (HFE) is the application of knowledge about human capabilities (physical, sensory, emotional, and intellectual) and limitations to the design and development of tools, devices, systems, environments, and organizations. HFE might also be called human factors, ergonomics, human engineering, usability engineering, or human–computer interaction (HCI). HFE involves the use of behavioral science and engineering methodologies in support of design and evaluation.

Successful development of safe and usable medical devices and systems requires the application of HFE principles and processes throughout the product design cycle. Doing so can help reduce use error, enhance patient and user safety, improve product usability and efficiency, and enhance user satisfaction. The relationship between HFE and risk management in reducing use error is addressed in International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) 62366:2007, *Medical devices—Application of usability engineering to medical devices*.

Many decades of basic and applied research, as well as practical experience, have generated a substantial base of scientific knowledge about people and their interactions with each other, with technology, and with their environment. For example, extensive data are available on the size and shape of the human body (anthropometry), how people sense the world (perception), how people think (cognition), and how they act (sensory/motor performance). These data and related principles governing their application are available in numerous textbooks, technical articles, standards and guidelines, and specialized design tools.

Knowledge of HFE methods and principles is critical to the design of safe and effective medical devices. It allows device designers to choose wisely among design alternatives. It also allows designers to validate that a design is appropriate for use in a clinical context. HFE areas of special importance relate to understanding the factors that affect human performance, the nature of human error and human fallibility, the role of humans in complex systems, and the causes of use errors (e.g., inadvertent control activation).

The HFE process for medical device design and evaluation is discussed in detail in ANSI/AAMI HE74:2001/(R)2009, *Human factors design process for medical devices*, and in comparable international standards. HFE is not blind adherence to a set of guidelines; it is the sum of several processes:

- a) An analytic process that directs the use of available user-interface design guidance
- b) A design and development process that tailors that guidance to the specific application
- c) A test and evaluation process that verifies that the design and development process has resolved issues identified during the analytic process

The primary HFE concern for medical devices is to ensure that medical devices can be used safely. Devices that are not designed with usability in mind are frequently unsafe, prone to use error, difficult to use, difficult to learn to use, or detract from user efficiency or satisfaction.

HFE applies to all aspects of a device with which a human interacts and to all of the tasks that a human might perform with the device, including all hardware and software interfaces that support all user tasks. Primary usability questions include the following:

- a) How easy is it to learn to use the device? How soon will the intended user feel comfortable using the device?
- b) Once learned, how efficiently can the device be used?
- c) Do users remember how to use the device after several days, weeks, or months of non-use?
- d) Does the device prevent users from making errors or help users recover from their errors?

- e) Are users satisfied with the device?
- f) Is the device design appropriate for the capabilities and limitations of users?

HFE-based medical device designs offer numerous benefits. They increase safety, reduce use error and facilitate recovery from use error, decrease training time, increase ease of use, improve task performance and optimal device use, enhance user satisfaction, improve patient outcomes, reduce product liability risks, facilitate the regulatory approval process, and increase the chance of commercial success.

Purpose of this recommended practice

The purpose of this document is to provide a relevant source of HFE information, design criteria, and guidelines for medical devices. The human factors design information and methodologies described here may be used during every phase of device design and development, from initial conceptualization through post-market surveillance. The sooner HFE design criteria are incorporated into device requirements, the more significant the impact will be. For example, basic HFE principles should be incorporated into initial device requirements and refined into detailed usability specifications. As the device design evolves and as usability testing is conducted, the initial requirements or usability specifications will need to be refined further.

NOTE—In regard to “user” and “operator” nomenclature, international (IEC, International Organization for Standardization [ISO], and European Committee for Standardization [CEN]) standards have used a convention set by IEC 60601-1 many years ago. By that convention, “user” referred to the owner of a medical device, whereas “operator” referred to the person who actually uses that medical device. In human factors documents, including ANSI/AAMI HE74:2001/(R)2009, “user” encompasses both groups; however, the term usually refers to the person using the medical device. In the 3rd edition of IEC 60601-1, an attempt was made to resolve this difference by changing “user” to “responsible organization.” This new and more useful convention has been retained in IEC 62366.

Objectives of this recommended practice

These guidelines are meant to supplement the myriad of books, databases, and references that support HFE, with a particular emphasis on the design and evaluation of medical devices. This document should be used with participation by individuals with formal human factors training and expertise.

These guidelines will help medical device manufacturers

- a) understand the concept of HFE;
- b) understand how different concepts and techniques can and should be used during all device design and development phases;
- c) develop devices that solve problems in today’s clinical environment;
- d) make medical devices easier to use;
- e) understand that risk management alone cannot address use errors that lead to safety problems;
- f) recognize the value of incorporating the user’s voice in device development;
- g) adapt designs for environmental and clinical contextual considerations;
- h) recognize the importance of consistency in design, as well as in the use of signs, symbols, and markings;
- i) assess a variety of cognitive and physical human capabilities and limitations;
- j) develop an awareness of various user-interface design issues; and
- k) develop an understanding, from an HFE perspective, of the appropriate role of new technologies (e.g., speech recognition, speech synthesis) in device design.

Use of this recommended practice

Prospective uses: Medical device manufacturers can use these guidelines when designing and manufacturing their products. Health care facilities can apply the concepts in these guidelines when evaluating devices, trying to prevent use errors, or analyzing use errors that have occurred. Regulators and other organizational entities can use these guidelines to assess the design of medical devices both in isolation and as part of larger systems. Students can use these guidelines to learn more about HFE and good practices in medical device design.

Format and style: The style follows the document structure required for ANSI/AAMI standards. The format is intended to help users navigate, locate, and use the information they need.

Guideline philosophy: The material covered in this document is fundamental to good design. This document is meant to complement other learning avenues such as books, courses, and experience. Special efforts have been applied to the “human factors/usability” of this document, following the guidelines in this document and in ANSI/AAMI HE74:2001/(R)2009. Many of this document’s major sections are interrelated and use similar methodology and terminology.

Topic completeness: The authors strove to make the topic coverage relevant and sufficiently detailed to provide the groundwork for skillful HFE in medical device design and manufacturing. However, none of the topic presentations is comprehensive. References are provided to expand on the material presented and to help users address design problems germane to that section. Importantly, this document is not a replacement for the skillful application of HFE by trained and experienced practitioners.

Redundancy and references: In most cases, any redundancy in content across sections is intentional and meant to reduce the need to reference a different section for critical information. References are made to other sections when a topic is covered there in more detail or from a different perspective.

Overview of this recommended practice

This document is divided into three main parts: “General Considerations and Principles,” “Design Elements,” and “Integrated Solutions.” References are provided at the end of each section. Section 3 (Definitions) is a good place to become acquainted with the HFE terminology used in these guidelines.

NOTE—The first section below is Section 4. Sections 1 through 3 are not listed because they are part of the general framework of the recommended practice.

General considerations and principles: This part provides general HFE background upon which the remainder of this recommended practice is built.

Section 4 (General principles) summarizes best practices for general design (from making devices simple to being consistent with rules of design), which apply to all sections and devices.

Section 5 (Managing the risk of use error) discusses the nature of use error and how HFE should be applied as part of risk management. This section expands on material found in IEC 62366:2007 and ISO 14971:2007, *Medical devices—Application of risk management to medical devices*. This section has implications for the design of all devices.

Section 6 (Basic human skills and abilities) presents information about the sensory, perceptual, cognitive and physical attributes and abilities of users. This section is relevant to the content of many other sections and has broad implications for many other sections and for the design of all devices.

Section 7 (Anthropometry and biomechanics) describes the extent of human physical capabilities and limitations and includes data about human size, shape, posture, range of motion, and strength. Prevention of cumulative trauma is also discussed. This section complements Section 16 (Accessibility considerations), Section 22 (Hand tool design), Section 23 (Workstations), and Section 24 (Design of mobile medical devices).

Section 8 (Environmental considerations) presents special circumstances regarding the overall variety of environments in which health care occurs. Physical attributes such as space, temperature, light, and noise are discussed. This section has implications for the design of all medical devices.

Section 9 (Usability testing) provides detailed methods of planning and conducting evaluations that generate valid and reliable usability data. This section expands on material found in ANSI/AAMI HE74:2001/(R)2009 and is relevant to the evaluation of all medical devices.

Section 10 (Signs, symbols, and markings) covers static on-device labels, icons, and mimics and their organization order, size, and optimal use conditions. Other sections with related material include Section 11 (User documentation), Section 19 (Visual displays), and Section 23 (Workstations).

Section 11 (User documentation) provides guidelines for creating easy-to-use and effective hard-copy or electronic device instructions, including multimedia. For additional information on device labeling, Section 10 (Signs, symbols, and markings) and Section 12 (Packaging design) should be consulted.

Section 12 (Packaging design) describes the design of medical device packaging, with emphasis on ease of access, shipping, integrity, and sterilization. Excluded are device enclosures and mobile accessories. Relevant additional material can be found in Section 10 (Signs, symbols, and markings) and Section 13 (Design for post-market issues).

Section 13 (Design for post-market issues) discusses principles relevant to the maintenance, reuse, disposal, and obsolescence of devices. This section has implications for all medical devices.

Section 14 (Cross-cultural/cross-national design) highlights usability issues related to the design of devices intended for worldwide use. Different nations and cultures have different preferences, conventions, and expectations that can have substantial implications for interface design.

Section 15 (Alarm design) presents guidance about the design of alarm systems, warning signals, and similar notification mechanisms in medical devices. This section complements IEC 60601-1-8:2006, *General requirements for basic safety and essential performance—Collateral standard: General requirements, tests and guidance for alarm systems in medical electrical equipment and medical electrical systems*.

Section 16 (Accessibility considerations) highlights information related to designing medical devices to be accessible to users with temporary or permanent disabilities. Particular emphasis is placed on flexibility, adjustability, and multimodal access considerations. Related material can be found throughout this document, particularly in Section 6 (Basic human skills and abilities), Section 7 (Anthropometry and biomechanics), Section 23 (Workstations), and Section 25 (Home health care).

Design elements: This part describes specific HFE principles geared towards certain user-interface attributes.

Section 17 (Connectors and connections) discusses the physical design of medical device connectors. Specific issues addressed include the prevention of wrong connections, failed connections, and disconnections.

Section 18 (Controls) discusses decisions about the selection and design of a wide range of controls. Control design is also affected by issues discussed in Section 10 (Signs, symbols, and markings), Section 19 (Visual displays), and Section 23 (Workstations). Controls related to hand tool design are addressed in Section 22.

Section 19 (Visual displays) contains guidelines for dynamic output devices, excluding those used to notify users of alarm conditions. This section discusses design trade-offs for various technologies and implementations. Display design is also affected by issues discussed in Section 18 (Controls) and Section 23 (Workstations). Static displays are discussed in Section 10 (Signs, symbols, and markings).

Section 20 (Use of automation) discusses issues regarding task allocation, automation, and feedback. Section 21 (Software–user interfaces) covers some related issues.

Section 21 (Software–user interfaces) is a comprehensive description of all electronic user-interface elements and their respective design considerations. This section complements material presented in Section 10 (Signs, symbols, and markings), Section 18 (Controls), Section 19 (Visual displays), and Section 23 (Workstations).

Integrated solutions: This part further describes special medical device applications of HFE.

Section 22 (Hand tool design) describes HFE factors relevant to the design of medical hand tools. Special attention is given to handle design. Other sections with related design guidance include Section 7 (Anthropometry and biomechanics), Section 10 (Signs, symbols, and markings), and Section 18 (Controls).

Section 23 (Workstations) provides guidance on the large-scale integration of multiple device components. Topics include optimal control–display relationships and integration of furniture with medical device components. This section complements material covered in many other sections, including Section 4 (General principles), Section 7 (Anthropometry and biomechanics), Section 10 (Signs, symbols, and markings), Section 16 (Accessibility considerations), Section 18 (Controls), and Section 19 (Visual displays).

Section 24 (Design of mobile medical devices) discusses the design of devices intended to be moved or to be used in environments that move (including beds, helicopters, and ambulances). It does not address implantable devices but does discuss wearable devices. Other sections with complementary material include Section 7 (Anthropometry and biomechanics), Section 23 (Workstations), and Section 25 (Home health care).

Section 25 (Home health care) covers the unique attributes and challenges of designing devices for lay users that are used outside of clinical environments. Other sections that cover relevant material include Section 8 (Environmental considerations), Section 16 (Accessibility considerations), and Section 24 (Design of mobile medical devices).

The authors hope that you find this document to be a useful resource for the application of HFE to the design of medical devices. We wish you success in designing a safe and useful medical device or in evaluating the safety and usability of a medical device.

1 Scope

1.1 General

This recommended practice addresses a broad range of human factors engineering (HFE) topics in a structured format. Examples are provided, as are references to more detailed information. The material emphasizes adoption of a user-centered focus throughout the product design and development process, with the goal of making medical devices easier to use and less prone to use error.

The presumed users of this document are human factors and usability specialists, software developers, industrial, biomedical, mechanical, and electrical engineers, and other development personnel. Other users might include clinicians, clinical and biomedical engineers, and others who evaluate devices before purchase or after use errors have occurred, regulatory agencies, purchasing entities, and others interested in assessing the usability of medical devices.

1.2 Inclusions

This recommended practice covers general HFE principles, specific HFE principles geared towards certain user-interface attributes, and special applications of HFE (e.g., hand tool design).

1.3 Exclusions

This recommended practice does not provide detailed recommendations on all aspects of the human factors medical device design process (see ANSI/AAMI HE74:2001/(R)2009).