

American
National
Standard

ANSI/AAMI
EC71:2001/
(R)2013

Standard communications
protocol for computer-
assisted electrocardiography

The Objectives and Uses of AAMI Standards and Recommended Practices

It is most important that the objectives and potential uses of an AAMI product standard or recommended practice are clearly understood. The objectives of AAMI's technical development program derive from AAMI's overall mission: the advancement of medical instrumentation. Essential to such advancement are (1) a continued increase in the safe and effective application of current technologies to patient care, and (2) the encouragement of new technologies. It is AAMI's view that standards and recommended practices can contribute significantly to the advancement of medical instrumentation, provided that they are drafted with attention to these objectives and provided that arbitrary and restrictive uses are avoided.

A voluntary *standard* for a *medical device* recommends to the manufacturer the information that should be provided with or on the product, basic safety and performance criteria that should be considered in qualifying the device for clinical use, and the measurement techniques that can be used to determine whether the device conforms with the safety and performance criteria and/or to compare the performance characteristics of different products. Some standards emphasize the information that should be provided with the device, including performance characteristics, instructions for use, warnings and precautions, and other data considered important in ensuring the safe and effective use of the device in the clinical environment. Recommending the disclosure of performance characteristics often necessitates the development of specialized test methods to facilitate uniformity in reporting; reaching consensus on these tests can represent a considerable part of committee work. When a drafting committee determines that clinical concerns warrant the establishment of *minimum* safety and performance criteria, referee tests must be provided and the reasons for establishing the criteria must be documented in the rationale.

A *recommended practice* provides guidelines for the use, care, and/or processing of a medical device or system. A recommended practice does not address device performance *per se*, but rather procedures and practices that will help ensure that a device is used safely and effectively and that its performance will be maintained.

Although a device standard is primarily directed to the manufacturer, it may also be of value to the potential purchaser or user of the device as a fume of reference for device evaluation. Similarly, even though a recommended practice is usually oriented towards health care professionals, it may be useful to the manufacturer in better understanding the environment in which a medical device will be used. Also, some recommended practices, while not addressing device performance criteria, provide guidelines to industrial personnel on such subjects as sterilization, processing, methods of collecting data to establish safety and efficacy, human engineering, and other processing or evaluation techniques; such guidelines may be useful to health care professionals in understanding industrial practices.

In determining whether an AAMI standard or recommended practice is relevant to the specific needs of a potential user of the document, several important concepts must be recognized:

All AAMI standards and recommended practices are *voluntary* (unless, of course, they are adopted by government regulatory or procurement authorities). The application of a standard or recommended practice is solely within the discretion and professional judgment of the user of the document.

Each AAMI standard or recommended practice reflects the collective expertise of a committee of health care professionals and industrial representatives, whose work has been reviewed nationally (and sometimes internationally). As such, the consensus recommendations embodied in a standard or recommended practice are intended to respond to clinical needs and, ultimately, to help ensure patient safety. A standard or recommended practice is limited, however, in the sense that it responds generally to perceived risks and conditions that may not always be relevant to specific situations. A standard or recommended practice is an important *reference* in responsible decision-making, but it should never *replace* responsible decisionmaking.

Despite periodic review and revision (at least once every five years), a standard or recommended practice is necessarily a static document applied to a dynamic technology. Therefore, a standards user must carefully review the reasons why the document was initially developed and the specific rationale for each of its provisions. This review will reveal whether the document remains relevant to the specific needs of the user.

Particular care should be taken in applying a product standard to existing device and equipment, and in applying a recommended practice to current procedures and practices. While observed or potential risks with existing equipment typically form the basis for the safety and performance criteria defined in a standard, professional judgment must be used in applying these criteria to existing equipment. No single source of information will serve to identify a particular product as "unsafe". A voluntary standard can be used as one resource, but the ultimate decision as to product safety and efficacy must take into account the specifics of its utilization and, of course, cost-benefit considerations. Similarly, a recommended practice should be analyzed in the context of the specific needs and resources of the individual institution or firm. Again, the rationale accompanying each AAMI standard and recommended practice is an excellent guide to the reasoning and data underlying its provision.

In summary, a standard or recommended practice is truly useful only when it is used in conjunction with other sources of information and policy guidance and in the context of professional experience and judgment.

INTERPRETATIONS OF AAMI STANDARDS AND RECOMMENDED PRACTICES

Requests for interpretations of AAMI standards and recommended practices must be made in writing, to the Manager for Technical Development. An official interpretation must be approved by letter ballot of the originating committee and subsequently reviewed and approved by the AAMI Standards Board. The interpretation will become official and representation of the Association only upon exhaustion of any appeals and upon publication of notice of interpretation in the "Standards Monitor" section of the *AAMI News*. The Association for the Advancement of Medical Instrumentation disclaims responsibility for any characterization or explanation of a standard or recommended practice which has not been developed and communicated in accordance with this procedure and which is not published, by appropriate notice, as an *official interpretation* in the *AAMI News*.

Standard communications protocol for computer-assisted electrocardiography

Developed by
Association for the Advancement of Medical Instrumentation

Approved 11 May 2001 and reaffirmed 19 September 2013 by
American National Standards Institute, Inc.

Abstract: This standard specifies the content and structure of information for interchange between digital electrocardiographs (ECG carts) and computer ECG management systems, as well as other computer systems where ECG-related data can be stored. Standard data formats are specified for demographics, ECG rhythm data, reference beats, global measurements, and interpretation. Practical compression of the ECG rhythm data is also standardized. This standard also specifies the two-way digital transmission of remote requests and results between digital ECG carts and heterogeneous computer systems (hosts). It specifies conventions required for cart-to-host and cart-to-cart interchange of patient data. Compliance with this standard is defined separately for data format, query messaging, and data transport.

Keywords: electromedical equipment, diagnostic, monitoring, communications protocol, computer-assisted ECG, ECG

AAMI Standard

This Association for the Advancement of Medical Instrumentation (AAMI) standard implies a consensus of those substantially concerned with its scope and provisions. The existence of an AAMI standard does not in any respect preclude anyone, whether they have approved the standard or not, from manufacturing, marketing, purchasing, or using products, processes, or procedures not conforming to the standard. AAMI standards are subject to periodic review, and users are cautioned to obtain the latest editions.

CAUTION NOTICE: This AAMI standard may be revised or withdrawn at any time. AAMI procedures require that action be taken to reaffirm, revise, or withdraw this standard no later than 5 years from the date of publication. Interested parties may obtain current information on all AAMI standards by calling or writing AAMI.

All AAMI standards, recommended practices, technical information reports, and other types of technical documents developed by AAMI are *voluntary*, and their application is solely within the discretion and professional judgment of the user of the document. Occasionally, voluntary technical documents are adopted by government regulatory agencies or procurement authorities, in which case the adopting agency is responsible for enforcement of its rules and regulations.

Published by

Association for the Advancement of Medical Instrumentation
1110 N. Glebe Road, Suite 220
Arlington, VA 22201-4795

© 2002 by the Association for the Advancement of Medical Instrumentation

All Rights Reserved

Publication, reproduction, photocopying, storage, or transmission, electronically or otherwise, of all or any part of this document without the prior written permission of the Association for the Advancement of Medical Instrumentation is strictly prohibited by law. It is illegal under federal law (17 U.S.C. § 101, *et seq.*) to make copies of all or any part of this document (whether internally or externally) without the prior written permission of the Association for the Advancement of Medical Instrumentation. Violators risk legal action, including civil and criminal penalties, and damages of \$100,000 per offense. For permission regarding the use of all or any part of this document, contact AAMI, 1110 N. Glebe Road, Suite 220, Arlington, VA 22201-4795. Phone: (703) 525-4890; Fax: (703) 525-1067.

Printed in the United States of America

ISBN 1-57020-162-5

Contents

Page

Committee representation	vi
Foreword	vii
Introduction and field of application	ii
1 Scope	1
2 Normative references	1
3 Definitions	2
3.1 Description of terms specific to this standard	2
3.2 Description of other technical terms related to this standard	2
3.3 Description of general medical informatics terms	2
4 Abbreviations	2
5 Definition of the data contents and format within the standard ECG communication protocol	4
5.1 General considerations	4
5.2 Specifications for the data structure	4
5.3 Specification of the pointer section – Section 0	8
5.4 Specification of the header information – Patient data / ECG acquisition data – Section 1	9
5.5 Specification of the Huffman tables – Section 2	22
5.6 Specification of the ECG lead definition – Section 3	24
5.7 Specification of QRS locations, reference beat subtraction zones and protected areas – Section 4	27
5.8 Specification of the encoded type 0 reference beat data – Section 5	29
5.9 Specification of the rhythm data – Section 6	31
5.10 Specification of the global measurements – Section 7	33
5.11 Specifications for the storage of full text electrocardiographic interpretive statements – Section 8	38
5.12 Specifications for storing manufacturer specific interpretive statements and data related to the overreading trail – Section 9	39
5.13 Specification of the lead measurement block – Section 10	40
5.14 Specifications for the storage of the universal ECG interpretive statement codes – Section 11	43
6 Minimum requirements for encoding and compression of the electrocardiographic signal data	45
6.1 Scope and field of application	45
6.2 Introduction	45
6.3 ECG compression methodology	45
6.4 Main results from the investigations on ECG data compression in the SCP ECG project	47
6.5 Minimum requirements for ECG data compression	47
6.5.1 Categories of compression schemes	48
6.5.2 Minimum requirements for ECG data encoding and compression ¹⁾	48
7 Definition of a minimum set of control and query messages for the interchange of ECG data	49
7.1 Introduction	49
7.2 Message formats	49
7.2.1 Identification data interchange (Message type = "I")	50
7.2.2 Request (Message type = "R")	51
7.2.3 Status (Message type = "S")	55
7.2.4 Advisory (Message type = "A")	55
7.2.5 Done (Message type = "D")	55
7.2.6 Numbered Notes for clauses 7.2.1 – 7.2.5	56
7.2.7 Minimum Functionality	58
7.3 State diagrams	59
7.3.1 Establishment of Session State Diagram	59
7.3.2 Query Messaging System State Diagram	60

7.4	Message sequence examples	61
7.4.1	ECG Transfer	61
7.4.2	Patient List Request	61
7.4.3	ECG List Request	62
7.4.4	ECG Report Request	62
7.5	Use of advisory messages	63
8	Standard low-level ECG-cart to host transport protocol	64
8.1	Scope	64
8.2	Datalink and physical functional layers	64
8.3	Physical functional layer	64
8.3.1	General description	64
8.3.2	Local connections	64
8.3.3	Remote connection	64
8.4	Data link functional layer	65
8.4.1	General description	65
8.4.2	Transmit machine	65
8.4.3	Receive machine	66
8.4.4	Format of the data blocks	67
8.4.5	CRC error detection algorithm	68
8.4.6	State Transition Diagrams (STD)	69
8.4.7	Communication scenario	73
ANNEX A		
A.0	Introduction	74
A.1	Scope	74
A.2	References and definitions	74
A.3	Values	75
A.4	Control characters	76
A.5	Character set encoding	77
A.6	Language code	83
A.7	Method for handling unsupported character sets	83
A.8	Summary of the escape sequences described in this annex for the encoding of free text in SCP-ECG	83
A.9	Examples of encoded text strings	84
ANNEX B		
B.0	Introduction	85
B.1	Compliance specification	85
B.2	Testing/validation of SCP-ECG data format compatibility	90
B.3	Coding of SCP-ECG compliance	92
ANNEX C		
C.0	Introduction	93
C.1	Principles of "High" SCP-ECG data compression	93
C.2	Equations for SCP-ECG data compression	95
C.3	Numerical examples for SCP-ECG data compression	114

C.4 Test set of ECGs for conformance testing.....	118
ANNEX D	
D.0 Introduction.....	120
D.1 Constraints.....	120
D.2 Composition of the code and general syntax rules.....	120
D.3 Acronyms for ECG interpretive statements.....	124
D.4 Overreading of measurement results.....	130
ANNEX E Glossary.....	139
ANNEX F	
F.0 National standards.....	141
F.1 References from the ECG standards literature.....	141
F.2 Specific references with respect to ECG data compression.....	142

Currently in preview, click buy full version

Committee representation

Association for the Advancement of Medical Instrumentation

Electrocardiograph (ECG) Committee

This standard was developed by the ECG/Standard Communications Protocol (SCP) Working Group of the AAMI ECG Committee. Committee approval of the standard does not necessarily imply that all committee members voted for its approval.

The **AAMI ECG Committee** has the following members:

Cochairs: James J. Bailey, MD
David Mortara, PhD

Members: James J. Bailey, MD, National Institutes of Health
David Daly, U.S. Food and Drug Administration
Arthur R. Eddy, Jr., Conmed Corp.
Stacy Gehman, Quinton Instrument Company
Paul Lander, University of Oklahoma Health Science Center
George Moody, Massachusetts Institute of Technology
David Mortara, PhD, Mortara Instrument
Shankara Reddy, PhD, GE Marquette Medical Systems
Bill Saltzstein, Medtronic-Physio Control
Jonathan Steinberg, MD, St. Luke's Roosevelt Hospital
Roy D. Wallen, PurePulse Technologies

Alternate: Robert Cangelosi, PE, U.S. Food and Drug Administration

The committee's **ECG/Standard Communications Protocol (SCP) Working Group** has the following members:

Cochair: Stacy Gehman

Members: Robert William Bain, CBET, Holy Cross Hospital
Matthew Connell, Spacelabs Burdick
Stacy Gehman, Quinton Instrument Company
David J. Geraghty, Fukuda-Denshi
Charles S. Ho, U.S. Food and Drug Administration
Peter W. Macfarlane, University of Guelph – Department of Med. Cardiology
Charles Monroe, Hewlett Packard Health Solutions
Shankara Reddy, PhD, GE Marquette Medical Systems
Johann-Jakob Schmid, Schiller AG
Glenn T. Sherman, Alaris Medical Systems Instromedix Div.
Ward Silver, Medtronic – Physio Control
Christoph Zywietz, Medizinische Hochschule Hannover Biometrie Und Med. Inf.

Alternates: Eric Brinster, Quinton Instrument Company
Steve Duke, Medtronic – Physio Control

NOTE—Participation by federal agency representatives in the development of this standard does not constitute endorsement by the federal government or any of its agencies.

Foreword

This document represents work carried out by the Standard Communications Protocol Working Group of the AAMI Electrocardiograph (ECG) Committee, based on CEN's prENV 1064, "Standard Communications Protocol for Computer-Assisted Electrocardiography".

Development of a universal ECG transmission protocol was first started during a series of discussions involving computerized electrocardiograph manufacturers (principal participants included Marquette Electronics, Mortara Instruments, Hewlett-Packard, Compumed, Burdick, and Siemens) and the United States Veterans Administration in 1986 and 1987. The results of these discussions, the so-called "Universal Protocol," were used as the starting point in AIM's SCP-ECG Project.

In 1989, the Advanced Informatics for Medicine (AIM) Project Nr 1015, entitled "A standard communications protocol for computerized electrocardiography" (SCP-ECG) was initiated. The project team consisted of six main SCP-ECG partners (J.L.Willems, Leuven; Chr. Zywietz, Hannover; P. Rubel, Lyon; J. H. van Bommel, Rotterdam; R. Degani, Padova; and P. W. Macfarlane, Glasgow) and representatives from manufacturers of computerized electrocardiographs (including Cardionics, Elettronica-Trentina, ESA-OTE Biomedica, Fukuda-Denshi, Hellige, Hewlett-Packard, Marquette Electronics, Medis, Mortara Instruments, Mortara-Rangoni, Nihon-Kohden, Picker-Schwarzer, Schiller, Siemens-Elema [also representing Burdick]) and a number of program developers, as well as users from different universities. The resulting document, prENV 1064 was prepared by the members of Project Team 007 of CEN/TC 251 (J.L.Willems, Project Team Leader; P. Rubel & Chr. Zywietz, Core Team; and Extended Team members R. Bedini, K. Hedström, P. W. Macfarlane, C. Monroe, F. Pinciroli, L. Rystrom, and S. Scheirman) and draft version 1.0 was published February 9, 1992.

The current draft proposal represents the contents of ENV 1064, updated to incorporate the comments and changes recommended by the AAMI SCP-ECG WG. Over the course of three meetings, the first January 27, 1997 in Washington, DC, the second April 24-25 in Palm Coast, Florida, the third June 7, 1997, in Washington DC, the AAMI SCP-ECG Working Group discussed and proposed changes to the CEN prENV 1064 document. These changes, captured in Revision 1.0 of the AAMI draft, reflected the findings of the WG members during implementation of the protocol, and were intended to address shortcomings and inconsistencies in the original document. Comments were reviewed by the AAMI SCP-ECG WG members and the parent AAMI ECG Committee members, and Revision 1.1 of the draft, incorporating these recommendations, was presented to CEN on August 19, 1997. Over the course of three more AAMI SCP-ECG WG meetings in Orlando, Florida, November 6-7, 1997, Keystone, Colorado, April 22-24, 1998, and Cleveland, Ohio, September 12-13, 1998, a new version of the then labeled Annex D (Compliance) was prepared and refined. This, plus additional changes resulting from comments on the earlier version, comprised Revision 1.2 of this draft. Revision 1.2 was reviewed at an AAMI-SCP WG meeting in Boston, Massachusetts on June 5, 1999, and recommended changes, including the reordering of the Annexes so that all normative annexes precede all informative annexes, were incorporated into Revision 1.3, dated September 11, 1999.

Current voting members of the AAMI SCP-ECG WG are: Stacy Gehman, Industry Co-Chair, Quinton Instrument Co.; Robert Bain, Johns Hopkins Hospital; Alan Berson, NIH/NHLBI; Damon Coffman, Instrumedix; Matthew Connell, Burdick; David Geraghty, Fukuda Denshi America; Charles Ho, FDA/CDRH; Peter W. Macfarlane, Univ. of Glasgow; Charles Monroe, Hewlett-Packard; Shankara Reddy, GE Marquette Medical Systems; Johann-Jakob Schmid, Schiller AG; Ward Silver, Physio Control; Christoph Zywietz, Medical School of Hannover.

Other participating members of the WG include: Stig Andersson, Siemens-Elema; James Bailey, NIH; Eric Brinster, Quinton Instrument Co.; Fabrizio Confalonieri, Elettronica Trentina; Steve Duke, Physio Control; Ronald Fischer, Medical School of Hannover; Kurt Hedstrom, Siemens-Elema; Ed Jones, GE Marquette Medical Systems; Kevin Katzenmaier, 3M Health; Gary Laube, Burdick; Cesare M. Bossi, Elettronica Trentina; Gordon Neff, Datascope; Paul Rubel, INSERM; Eugene Salber, Braemar; Glenn Sherman, Instrumedix; Katherine Stankus, Spacelabs Medical.

The AAMI-SCP Working Group gratefully acknowledges the extensive work of Charlie Monroe, of Hewlett-Packard, as editor of the AAMI-WG version of the SCP document.

Note: Participation by the United States of America Food and Drug Administration representatives in the development of this standard does not constitute endorsement by the United States federal government or any of its agencies.

Introduction and field of application

The electrocardiogram (ECG) is a recording of voltage changes transmitted to the body surface by electrical events in the heart muscle, providing direct evidence of cardiac rhythm and conduction, and indirect evidence of certain aspects of myocardial anatomy, blood supply and function. During its propagation to the surface, extracardiac tissues may intervene and influence the ECG.

Electrocardiography has been used for many years as a key, non-invasive method in the diagnosis and early detection of coronary heart disease, which is the leading cause of mortality in Western countries. In 1993, it was estimated that more than 100 million standard ECGs are recorded yearly in the European Community (EC) for routine diagnostic and screening purposes at an estimated cost of more than 1.2 billion ECU per year.

Almost all newer electrocardiographs nowadays use digital recording, interpretation and communication techniques. These stand-alone, microcomputer based machines can be connected to each other, and to larger microcomputer based management servers for long-term storage and serial comparison. To this end, various manufacturers have used different techniques.

It is in the general public interest for users not to be restricted in their options by incompatible technical features and services of different systems. ECG processing is increasingly being integrated with various other data processing in health care. This evolution shall have considerable impact on the storage and communication of ECG data. There are many different end-users who for different purposes (support of patient care, management, research and education) want to obtain a copy of the signal data, of the interpretive report and/or measurement results. Before one of the very first systems for medical decision support, computerized ECG interpretation stretches from departments of cardiology in hospitals, to general practitioners in primary care and health care centers. In life-threatening acute myocardial infarction, ECGs are being used in ambulances by paramedical personnel to assess the necessity for administering thrombolytic agents, with long-distance monitoring whenever possible.

To enable the exchange of information between various systems it was of utmost importance that a standard communications protocol for computer-aided electrocardiography (SCP-ECG) had to be established, as defined in this document. The primary aim of this standard is to specify a data format and means for transmitting ECG reports and data from any vendor's computerized ECG recorder on a direct connected serial line to any other's vendor central ECG management system. The same standard should also allow standardized transmission of digitized ECG data and results between various computer systems.

Under the standard communication protocol (SCP) the contents and format of the ECG waveform data and the measurements from ECG devices of different manufacturers are not expected to be identical. As a result, the determination of the suitability of a device and/or system for any particular application remains with the user/purchaser. The following possible uses of ECG records require special attention:

- Serial comparison of ECGs and interpretation
- Plot formats of ECGs
- Maintaining audit trail of edits
- Bi-directional communication and remote query.

The user is cautioned to make sure that the data contents and format of the waveform data, measurements, and the interpretive statements meet his or her specific needs. If more than one type of ECG devices and/or database management systems are interconnected, the user is also advised to verify with the manufacturers that the data from different systems are compatible with each other and with the user's needs.

In order to understand this standard, the reader needs some basic understanding of electrocardiology, electrocardiography and signal processing.

This standard relates to the conventional recording of the electrocardiogram, i.e. the so-called standard 12-lead electrocardiogram and the vectorcardiogram (VCG). Initially, the electric connections used for recording the ECG were made to the limbs only. These connections to the right arm (RA), left arm (LA), left leg (LL) and right leg (RL) were introduced by Einthoven. The electrical variations detected by these leads are algebraically combined to form the bipolar leads I, II and III. Lead I, for example records the difference between the voltages of the electrodes placed on the left arm and the right arm. The unipolar electrocardiographic leads (aVR, aVL, aVF and the precordial leads V1 to V6) were introduced much later, starting in 1933. In these leads, potentials are recorded at one location with respect to a level which does not vary significantly in electrical activity during cardiac contraction. The "augmented" limb lead potentials are recorded with reference to the average potential of (L+F), (R+F) and (L+R) respectively. The unipolar chest leads are recorded with reference to the average potential of (RA+RL+LL)/3 which is called the Wilson "central terminal" (CT). In vectorcardiography recordings are made of three mutually perpendicular leads, running parallel to one of the rectilinear

coordinate axes of the body. The axes are the X-axis going right to left, the Y-axis with a top to bottom orientation, and the Z or front to back axis.

In some research centers, so-called body surface maps are obtained by placing many (from 24 to 124 or even more) closely spaced electrodes around the torso. This standard has not been designed to handle transmission of such recordings, although future extensions could be made to this end. The standard has also not been designed to transmit specialised recordings of intracardiac potentials or of the so-called Holter or other long-term ECG recordings made for monitoring cardiac rhythm. This standard also does not address exercise ECG recordings.

ECG computer processing can be reduced to 3 principal stages:

1. Data acquisition, encoding, transmission and storage;
2. Pattern recognition and feature extraction, i.e. ECG measurement;
3. Diagnostic classification.

In each of these stages there are important needs for standardization and quality assurance testing. The scope of the standard is confined to the first of these three stages.

The various data sections that shall be transmitted by means of the standard ECG communications protocol are defined in Clause 5 of this Standard.

Minimum requirements for data encoding and compression are defined in Clause 6.

The compliance categories defined in ANNEX B provide users and manufacturers of ECG devices and/or systems with a relatively simple codification of SCP-ECG related features and information content that may be provided by a specific device. Compliance is defined separately for data format, query messaging, and data transport. Four Data Format Categories have been defined based on information content as follows:

Category	Data Sections Required ¹	Content Description
I	0, 1, 7, 8	Demographics, global measurements and interpretation
II	0, 1, 2, 3, 6, (7), (8)	Demographics and ECG rhythm data ²
III	0, 1, 2, 3, 5, (7), (8)	Demographics and reference beats ²
IV	0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, (7), (8)	Demographics, ECG rhythm data, and reference beats ²

Note 1: All devices stating a SCP-ECG Data Format Category import data sections 0, 1, 7, 8. All Categories may have additional sections added (e.g. 9, 10, 11). A device may export at more than one Category. Manufacturer specific data shall be optionally included only in manufacturer specific fields, bytes and data blocks that have been defined in the standard. Reserved, unspecified and undefined fields, bytes or data blocks will not be used for manufacturer specific data.

Note 2: (7) and (8) mean that these data sections are optional for export.

For a particular device, a SCP-ECG compliance statement lists Data Format Category(ies) for export (i.e. acquiring and making available a SCP-ECG record) and import (i.e. accepting, and making available to a user, a SCP-ECG record). A device may also state its ability to transfer (i.e. making available a SCP-ECG record without changing its data format, for example, exporting a record that was previously imported). (These terms are precisely defined in ANNEX B for the purpose of this standard).

Clause 7 specifies query messaging, and Clause 8 specifies data transport. A device should state one of three options: "Query Messaging and Data Transport not supported", "Query Messaging supported", or "Query Messaging and Data Transport supported". It is recognized that other mechanisms for transfer of a SCP-ECG formatted file exist. This standard neither restricts nor supports use of any mechanism other than SCP-ECG Query Messaging and Data Transport defined in Document Clauses 7 and 8.

The selection and definition of ECG specific high-level syntaxes for transfer of messages and data between host-to-hosts, such as EDIFACT or ASN.1, are beyond the scope of this standard.

Standard communications for computer assisted electrocardiography

1 Scope

This standard covers the two-way digital transmission of remote requests and results between digital electrocardiographs (ECG carts) and heterogeneous computer systems (hosts). It documents the common conventions required for the cart-to-host as well as cart-to-cart interchange of specific patient data (demographic, recording...), ECG signal data, ECG measurement and ECG interpretation results.

This standard specifies the content and structure of the information which is to be interchanged between digital ECG carts and computer ECG management systems (ECG DBMS), as well as other computer systems where ECG data can be stored. It enables any two such systems to establish a logical link for communicating ECG related data in a standard and interpretable form.

2 Normative references

- CCITT Blue Book, ed. 1988, Volume VIII.2., Recommendations for X.25 and Specifications for the CCITT-CRC Calculations
- CCITT Blue Book, ed. 1988, Volume VIII.1., Specifications for the V series, including XMODEM
- GB 2312-80 Code of Chinese Graphic Character Set for Information Interchange - Primary Set
- ISO 2022 Information Processing - ISO 7-bit and 8-bit coded character sets- With code extension techniques (1986) . For all text fields the limited conformance to the ISO 2022 standard shall be applied, as described in ANNEX A.
- ISO-8859 Information Processing - 8-bit single-byte coded graphic character sets.
- ISO 2375 Data processing - Procedure for registration of escape sequences.
- ISO 646 Information processing - ISO 7-bit coded character set for information interchange
- ISO 4873 Information processing - ISO 8-bit code for information interchange – Structure and rules for implementation.
- ISO 6429 Information processing - ISO 7-bit and 8-bit coded character sets – Additional control functions for character-imaging devices.
- ISO 60646 Unicode
- IEC 601-1 Safety of Medical Electrical Equipment, Part 1. General Requirements - 1979
- IEC 601-2 The Particular Requirements for Safety, Part 2. (Electrocardiographs), 62D(CO17) –1979
- JIS X0201-1976 Code for Information Interchange
- JIS X0208-1998 Code of the Japanese Graphic Character Set for Information Interchange
- JIS X0212-1990 Code of the Supplementary Japanese Graphic Character Set for Information Interchange
- KS C5601-1987 Code for Information Interchange