

American  
National  
Standard

ANSI/AAMI  
EC57:2012/  
(R)2020

Testing and reporting  
performance results of  
cardiac rhythm and ST  
segment measurement  
algorithms

# Testing and reporting performance results of cardiac rhythm and ST segment measurement algorithms

Developed by  
**Association for the Advancement of Medical Instrumentation**

Approved 13 December 2012 and reaffirmed 9 October 2020 by  
**American National Standards Institute, Inc.**

**Abstract:** This recommended practice establishes a method for testing and reporting the performance of algorithms used to detect cardiac rhythm disturbances, including the ST segment.

**Keywords:** arrhythmia database, arrhythmia monitoring, ST segments, heart rate variability

## AAMI Recommended Practice

This Association for the Advancement of Medical Instrumentation (AAMI) recommended practice implies a consensus of those substantially concerned with its scope and provisions. The existence of an AAMI recommended practice does not in any respect preclude anyone, whether they have approved the recommended practice or not, from manufacturing, marketing, purchasing, or using products, processes, or procedures not conforming to the recommended practice. AAMI recommended practices are subject to periodic review, and users are cautioned to obtain the latest editions.

**CAUTION NOTICE:** This AAMI recommended practice may be revised or withdrawn at any time. AAMI procedures require that action be taken to reaffirm, revise, or withdraw this recommended practice no later than five years from the date of publication. Interested parties can obtain current information on all AAMI documents by calling or writing AAMI.

All AAMI standards, recommended practices, technical information reports, and other types of technical documents developed by AAMI are *voluntary*, and their application is solely within the discretion and professional judgment of the user of the document. Occasionally, voluntary technical documents are adopted by government regulatory agencies or procurement authorities, in which case the adopting agency is responsible for enforcement of its rules and regulations.

*Published by*

AAMI  
901 N. Glebe Road, Suite 300  
Arlington, VA 22203  
[www.aami.org](http://www.aami.org)

© 2013 by the Association for the Advancement of Medical Instrumentation

All Rights Reserved

Publication, reproduction, photocopying, storage, or transmission, electronically or otherwise, of all or any part of this document without the prior written permission of the Association for the Advancement of Medical Instrumentation is strictly prohibited by law. It is illegal under federal law (17 U.S.C. § 101, *et seq.*) to make copies of all or any part of this document (whether internally or externally) without the prior written permission of the Association for the Advancement of Medical Instrumentation. Violators risk legal action, including civil and criminal penalties, and damages of \$100,000 per offense. For permission regarding the use of all or any part of this document, complete the reprint request form at [www.aami.org](http://www.aami.org) or contact AAMI, 901 N. Glebe Road, Suite 300, Arlington, VA 22203. Phone: +1-703-525-4890; Fax: +1-703-525-1067.

ISBN 1-57020-478-0

# Contents

	Page
Glossary of equivalent standards.....	v
Committee representation.....	vi
Foreword.....	vii
<b>1</b> Scope.....	<b>1</b>
<b>1.1</b> General.....	<b>1</b>
<b>1.2</b> Inclusions.....	<b>1</b>
<b>1.3</b> Exclusions.....	<b>1</b>
<b>2</b> Definitions of abbreviations.....	<b>1</b>
<b>3</b> Algorithm testing.....	<b>2</b>
<b>3.1</b> Databases.....	<b>2</b>
<b>3.1.1</b> General description of available databases.....	<b>2</b>
<b>3.1.2</b> Records to be excluded during testing.....	<b>3</b>
<b>3.2</b> Testing requirements.....	<b>3</b>
<b>3.3</b> Test environment.....	<b>3</b>
<b>3.4</b> Multiple-lead analysis.....	<b>4</b>
<b>3.5</b> Requirements for the evaluation report.....	<b>4</b>
<b>3.5.1</b> Required statistics.....	<b>4</b>
<b>3.5.2</b> Requirements for all arrhythmia algorithms.....	<b>4</b>
<b>3.5.3</b> Requirements for algorithms with optional capabilities.....	<b>5</b>
<b>3.6</b> Simulated test patterns.....	<b>7</b>
<b>4</b> Automated analysis.....	<b>7</b>
<b>4.1</b> Use of standard databases.....	<b>7</b>
<b>4.2</b> Use of annotation files.....	<b>8</b>
<b>4.3</b> Beat-by-beat comparison.....	<b>9</b>
<b>4.3.1</b> General description.....	<b>9</b>
<b>4.3.2</b> Method for beat-by-beat comparison.....	<b>10</b>
<b>4.3.3</b> Heart rate, and heart rate or RR interval variability.....	<b>10</b>
<b>4.3.3.1</b> Heart rate measurement.....	<b>10</b>
<b>4.3.3.2</b> Heart rate variability or RR interval variability measurement from databases.....	<b>11</b>
<b>4.3.3.3</b> Heart rate variability or RR interval variability measurement of test patterns.....	<b>12</b>
<b>4.4</b> Run-by-run comparison.....	<b>14</b>
<b>4.4.1</b> General description.....	<b>14</b>
<b>4.4.2</b> Terms and symbols.....	<b>15</b>
<b>4.4.3</b> Run sensitivity summary matrix.....	<b>16</b>
<b>4.4.4</b> Run positive predictivity summary matrix.....	<b>16</b>
<b>4.5</b> VF and AF comparisons.....	<b>16</b>
<b>4.6</b> ST comparison.....	<b>17</b>
<b>Annex</b>	
<b>A</b> Rationale and additional guidance.....	<b>22</b>
<b>Tables</b>	
Table 1—Requirements for all arrhythmia algorithms.....	5
Table 2—Requirements for algorithms with optional capabilities.....	6
Table 3—Beat label classifications.....	9
Table 4—AHA and MIT–BIH database labels distributed for use by HRV algorithms.....	12
Table 5—Example of noise floor calculation results.....	13

Table 6—example of HRV test results .....	14
Table 7—Run sensitivity summary matrix.....	15
Table 8—Run positive predictivity summary matrix .....	15
Table A.1—Records to be included in a complete test .....	23
Table A.2—Example of a line-format, beat-by-beat performance report .....	26
Table A.2.1—Condensed beat-by-beat summary matrix containing 11 elements.....	26
Table A.2.2—Summary table (matrix format) of beat-by-beat comparison.....	27
Table A.3—Example of a line-format shutdown report .....	27
Table A.4—Example of a line-format report.....	28
Table A.5—Example of VF performance report .....	29
Table A.6—Example of false VF performance report .....	29
Table A.7—Example of a line-format couplet and run performance report.....	30
Table A.8—Example of results of HRV program run on MIT-BIH database reference annotations.....	31
Table A.9—Example of device measurements of synthetic test patterns.....	31
Table A.10—Example of predicted ideal values for synthetic test patterns .....	32
Table A.11—Example of choice of test patterns.....	32
Table A.12—Example of RMS interval differences .....	34
Table A.13—Example of summary of frequency components.....	35
Table A.14—Example of a line-format report.....	36

**Figures**

1	Example of scatter plot of ST amplitude measurement.....	18
2	Example of scatter plot of ST amplitude measurement.....	18
3	Example of scatter plot of ST amplitude measurement (-200 microvolt to + 200 microvolt reference) .....	19
4	Example of scatter plot of ST slope measurement error .....	20
5	Example of scatter plot of ST slope measurement.....	21

## Glossary of equivalent standards

International Standards adopted in the United States may include normative references to other International Standards. AAMI maintains a current list of each International Standard that has been adopted by AAMI (and ANSI). Available on the AAMI website at the address below, this list gives the corresponding U.S. designation and level of equivalency to the International Standard.

[www.aami.org/publications/standards/glossary.pdf](http://www.aami.org/publications/standards/glossary.pdf)

Currently in preview, click buy full version

## Committee representation

### Association for the Advancement of Medical Instrumentation

#### Electrocardiograph (ECG) Committee

This recommended practice was developed by the ECG Committee of the Association for the Advancement of Medical Instrumentation. Committee approval of the standard does not necessarily imply that all committee members voted for its approval.

At the time this document was published, the **AAMI Electrocardiograph Committee** had the following members:

*Cochairs:* Richard A. Sunderland  
Ahmet Turkmen  
Brian J. Young

*Members:* Robert William Bain, CBET, Baltimore Medical Engineers & Technician Society  
Robert E. Bruce  
Scott Coggins, Covidien  
Prakash C. Deedwania, MD, The VA Medical Center  
Laura Dhatt, Physio-Control  
Sreeram Dhurjaty, Dhurjaty Electronics Consulting LLC  
Richard Diefes, ECRI Institute  
Greg Downs, Spacelabs Medical Inc.  
Arthur R. Eddy, Jr.  
James J. Greco, Medapprove Inc  
Richard Gregg, Philips Electronics North America  
Janice M. Jenkins, PhD, University of Michigan College of Engineering  
Carolyn Lall, Draeger Medical Systems Inc  
Dongping Lin, PhD  
Walter G. Lloyd, Childrens Hospital Boston  
Peter W. Macfarlane, Royal Infirmary  
Luis A. Melendez, Partners Healthcare  
George Moody, Massachusetts Institute of Technology  
Cadathur Rajagopalan, PhD SMIE, Mindray DS USA Inc  
Linda Ricci, FDA/CDRH  
Johann-Jakob Schmid, Schiller AG  
Jonathan Steinberg, MD, St. Luke's Roosevelt Hospital Center  
Richard A. Sunderland, Welch Allyn, Inc.  
Ahmet Turkmen, BS MS PhD, University of Wisconsin-Stout  
Jeffrey Wisner, 3M Healthcare  
Ted Yantsides, Conmed Corp  
Brian J. Young, GE Healthcare

*Alternates:* Mark J. Jainchani, Covidien  
Kejian Chen, 3M Healthcare  
Yu Chen, PhD, Draeger Medical Systems Inc  
Steve Duke, Physio-Control  
Charles S. Ho, PhD, FDA/CDRH  
Richard Richardson, GE Healthcare  
Serkan Sezer, Schiller AG  
Donald Stewart, Spacelabs Medical Inc.  
Anna Varlese, Conmed Corp  
John J. Wang, Philips Electronics North America  
Yinqi Zhang, Spacelabs Medical Inc

---

NOTE—Participation by federal agency representatives in the development of this standard does not constitute endorsement by the federal government or any of its agencies.

---

## Foreword

This recommended practice was developed by the Arrhythmia Monitoring Working Group of the AAMI Electrocardiograph (ECG) Committee. It reflects the conscientious efforts of health care professionals, in cooperation with manufacturers of arrhythmia monitoring devices, to develop recommendations for testing and reporting performance results of algorithms for cardiac arrhythmia detection and ST segment measurement.

The first edition of this document was issued in 1987 under the title *Recommended practice for testing and reporting performance results of ventricular arrhythmia detection algorithms* (AAMI ECAR:1987). The document was developed to assist in the comparison of ventricular arrhythmia detection algorithm performance through the promulgation of a generally accepted method for testing and reporting such performance. Major changes were incorporated into this revision, retitled *Testing and reporting performance results of cardiac rhythm and ST segment measurement algorithms* (ANSI/AAMI EC57:1998), including updated references to databases that have become available since 1987 and the addition of mechanisms for testing and reporting ST measurement and heart rate variability performance along with supraventricular ectopic performance statistics. As with cardiac ventricular rhythm measurements, these additional parameters are intended to benefit users who are comparing algorithm performance. This current revision makes minor changes to the 1998 standard and updates the information for the databases.

It is not intended that these recommendations be construed as universally applicable to all circumstances. It is also recognized that these recommendations may not be achievable in all situations.

This recommended practice must be reviewed and updated periodically to accommodate progressive technological developments. The concepts incorporated in this recommended practice should not be considered inflexible or static.

As used within the context of this recommended practice, “shall” indicates requirements strictly to be followed to conform to the recommended practice; “should” indicates that among several possibilities one is recommended as particularly suitable, without mentioning or excluding others, or that a certain course of action is preferred but not necessarily required, or that (in the negative form) a certain possibility or course of action is discouraged but not prohibited; “may” is used to indicate that a course of action is permissible within the limits of the recommended practice; and “can” is used as a statement of possibility and capability. “Must” is used only to describe “unavoidable” situations.

Suggestions for improving this recommended practice are invited. Comments and suggested revisions should be sent to Standards Dept., AAMI, 4301 N. Fairfax Dr., Suite 501, Arlington, VA 22203-1633. Comments may also be e-mailed to: [standards@aami.org](mailto:standards@aami.org).

---

NOTE—This foreword is not a part of the AAMI Recommended Practice, *Testing and reporting performance results of cardiac rhythm and ST segment measurement algorithms* (ANSI/AAMI EC57:2012), but it does provide important information about the development and intended use of the document.

---

# Testing and reporting performance results of cardiac rhythm and ST segment measurement algorithms

## 1 Scope

### 1.1 General

The availability of annotated arrhythmia and ST databases has permitted different automated arrhythmia detection algorithms to be tested on the same data. This recommended practice provides a protocol for a reproducible test with realistic clinical requirements, and emphasizes record-by-record presentation of results that reflect an algorithm's ability to detect events of clinical significance. Beat-by-beat comparisons are used to measure performance in QRS (see 2.7), ventricular ectopic beat (VEB), and supraventricular ectopic beat (SVEB) detection. Run-by-run comparisons are used to measure an algorithm's ability to detect consecutive VEBs and SVEBs. Detection of ventricular flutter, atrial flutter, ventricular fibrillation, and atrial fibrillation are addressed. The evaluation of heart-rate variability measurement algorithms and ST segment measurement algorithms are also examined.

Although this document seeks to establish clinically relevant measures of performance for the comparison of algorithms, it must be recognized that certain clinical concerns cannot be addressed within the context of this recommended practice. Available databases do not yet contain a representative sample of nonventricular arrhythmias, paced patients or artifacts typical of a very significant portion of ECG signals originating in the clinical setting. In addition, these databases have a limited bandwidth and should be used with caution when testing algorithms designed for full ECG diagnostic bandwidth devices. Therefore, the clinical implications of a test are necessarily limited by the size, scope, and characteristics of the databases used for testing. Performance measures derived from such testing should be regarded as uncertain indicators of performance in clinical settings.

This recommended practice has been developed for testing algorithms, not entire systems. It is not a performance standard, but rather a set of recommendations for testing cardiac rhythm and ST measurement and reporting the results of those tests. The intent of this recommended practice is that automated testing methods be reproducible.

### 1.2 Inclusions

This recommended practice applies to algorithms implemented in devices or systems that use automated methods to analyze the ECG.

This document applies both to human-operated, stand-alone devices that use automated methods to analyze the recorded ECG, and to so-called real-time event recorders that use automated methods to select abnormal events for recording.

### 1.3 Exclusions

Testing methodologies other than beat-by-beat techniques, specified rhythm analysis, and ST segment analysis are outside the scope of this document. The evaluation of systems that rely on intensive interaction by a skilled user is also outside the scope of this document. However, if beat-by-beat evaluations are performed, the results of such testing should conform to this recommended practice.

## 2 Definitions of abbreviations

NOTE—Definitions for beat labels (N, V, F, S, Q, U, X, O) are provided in 4.2.

For the purposes of this standard, the following abbreviations apply.

- 2.1 **AF:** Atrial fibrillation or atrial flutter.
- 2.2 **BW:** Data record identified from the NST (Noise Stress Test) database.
- 2.3 **DB:** Database.
- 2.4 **EM:** Data record identified from the NST (Noise Stress Test) database.